



# **PROGRESS WITH PLANNING THE INTERNATIONAL POLAR YEAR 2007–2008**

## **Progress with planning the International Polar Year 2007–2008**

Submitted by SCAR on behalf of the ICSU Planning Group

- (1) In response to Information Paper 120 submitted by SCAR at the ATCMXXVI in Madrid, the representatives of the Antarctic Treaty Parties adopted Resolution 3 (2003) on “Support of the ATCM for the International Polar Year 2007/8”. The text of the Resolution is attached as Annex I.
- (2) Progress during the last year has included the commitment by the ICSU Executive Board to support an International Polar Year in 2007-2008 (IPY) and to do so jointly with the World Meteorological Organisation.
- (3) The official period of the IPY will be from 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007 until 1<sup>st</sup> March 2009 to allow observations during all seasons, and the possibility of two summer field seasons, in each polar region. The geographic focus will extend over latitudes from approximately 60 deg to the pole, both north and south.
- (4) The IPY Planning Group has consulted the polar research community worldwide, through the auspices of ICSU and through a variety of presentations and discussion at major scientific venues. These have demonstrated widespread and enthusiastic support for the initiative.
- (5) Twelve nations have established National Committees for the IPY, and at least seven more are in the process of doing so. Over 30 non-governmental or intergovernmental bodies have endorsed the IPY and / or provided input to the consultation process.
- (6) On the basis of more than 350 “ideas” submitted to the ICSU IPY Planning Group regarding the content of the IPY, an Initial Outline Science Plan has been produced and circulated for discussion and comment. A shortened version of this is attached as Annex II. More details can be found on the web site [www.ipy.org](http://www.ipy.org).
- (7) Key venues for further feedback and discussion will be the SCAR Open Science Conference in Bremen over 25-31 July 2004 ([www.scar28.org](http://www.scar28.org)) and a Discussion Forum in Paris over 13-14 September 2004.
- (8) The IPY Planning Group will complete its work in October 2004, and will provide ICSU with a report which will include a final version of the Outline Science Plan and recommendations on the organisational structure and process to implement the IPY.
- (9) ICSU and WMO will then establish a Joint Steering Committee for the IPY.
- (10) On behalf of ICSU, SCAR requests that the ATCM notes the progress made and reaffirms its support for the IPY 2007-2008.

Annex I  
**Resolution 3 (2003)**

**SUPPORT OF THE ATCM FOR THE INTERNATIONAL POLAR YEAR 2007/8**

The representatives,

*Aware* that the Polar Regions are key components of the Earth System;

*Considering* the important role of the Polar Regions both in driving and responding to Global Climate Change;

*Recognising* the opportunities afforded by new technological and logistical developments for polar research in the 21<sup>st</sup> century to develop an understanding of key global phenomena at the frontiers of discovery;

*Acknowledging* the important contribution to scientific knowledge resulting from international cooperation in scientific investigations in the Polar Regions;

*Noting* the opportunity offered by the 125<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the first International Polar Year (IPY), the 75<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the second IPY, and the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the International Geophysical Year (IGY), to galvanise an intensive programme of internationally coordinated research in the Polar Regions;

*Noting* the active commitment to an International Polar Year of the World Meteorological Organisation (WMO), and interest of other international bodies responsible for the coordination of research in the Arctic.

*Noting* the establishment by the International Council for Science (ICSU) of an overarching Planning Group to coordinate the planning for and the establishment of the IPY (2007/08) that will encompass a wide range of science issues of global interest.

Annex II  
**INITIAL OUTLINE SCIENCE PLAN**  
**20<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2004**

**The ICSU IPY 2007-2008 Planning Group**  
**[www.ipy.org](http://www.ipy.org)**

Version 5.1.1, May 10, 2004

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This document summarises the current state of progress of the ICSU Planning Group (PG) for the International Polar Year 2007-2008 (IPY). The PG is an international, multidisciplinary group of polar scientists, which was established by the International Council of Science (ICSU) in June 2003 following a proposal from the US Polar Research Board (US-PRB), the European Polar Board (EPB), and the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research (SCAR).

The concept of the International Polar Year 2007-2008 is of an international programme of coordinated, interdisciplinary scientific research and observations in the Earth's polar regions to explore new scientific frontiers, to deepen our understanding of polar processes and their global linkages, to increase our ability to detect changes, to attract and develop the next generation of polar scientists, engineers and logistics experts, and to capture the interest of schoolchildren, the public and decision-makers.

The official period of the IPY will be from 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007 until 1<sup>st</sup> March 2009 to allow observations during all seasons, and the possibility of two summer field seasons, in each polar region. The geographic focus will extend over latitudes from approximately 60 deg to the pole, both north and south.

The IPY will include a broad range of activities organized around a select number of scientific themes. On the basis of a substantial input of ideas regarding the content of the IPY submitted by scientists and organisations from around the world, the Planning Group has defined the following five main themes:

1. To determine the present environmental status of the polar regions by quantifying their spatial and temporal variability.
2. To quantify, and understand, past and present environmental and human change in the polar regions in order to improve predictions
3. To advance our understanding of polar - global teleconnections on all scales, and of the processes controlling these interactions.
4. To investigate the unknowns at the frontiers of science in the polar regions.

5. To use the unique vantage point of the polar regions to develop and enhance observatories studying the Earth's inner core, the Earth's magnetic field, geospace, the Sun and beyond.

Five emerging observational initiatives serve the scientific themes:

1. A synoptic set of multidisciplinary observations to establish the status of the polar environment in 2007-2008
2. The acquisition of key data sets necessary to understand factors controlling change in the polar environment
3. The establishment of a legacy of multidisciplinary observational networks
4. The launch of internationally coordinated, multidisciplinary expeditions into new scientific frontiers
5. The implementation of polar observatories to study important facets of Planet Earth and beyond

This document is the ICSU International Polar Year Planning Group's synthesis of input from the polar community to identify the overarching research themes and possible implementation activities. This Initial Outline Science Plan and the process that led to it will be presented at a variety of international science venues beginning with the Arctic Science Summit Week in Iceland April 2004. The plan is available on the Web at [www.ipy.org](http://www.ipy.org). The goal of these presentations will be to elicit feedback from major polar stakeholders such as national committees, funding agencies, operational groups, scientific coordination bodies and satellite agencies and to encourage these stakeholders to begin to develop truly international, multidisciplinary plans to address the themes identified. The ICSU International Polar Year 2007-2008 Planning Group will use the various discussions, and the written feedback to formulate a final version of the Outline Science Plan to be delivered to the ICSU Executive Board with its final report in October 2004.

## 1. PURPOSE OF THE DOCUMENT

This document summarises the current state of progress of the ICSU Planning Group (PG) for the International Polar Year 2007-2008 (IPY). The PG is an international, multidisciplinary group of polar scientists, which was established by the International Council of Science (ICSU) in June 2003 following a proposal from the US Polar Research Board (US-PRB), the European Polar Board (EPB), and the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research (SCAR). The PG was tasked to begin the process of planning the IPY. In December 2003 ICSU invited a representative of the World Meteorological Organisation (WMO) to become an *ex officio* member of the group.

This summary describes ICSU's charge to the PG and subsequent deliberations and actions of the Planning Group to generate wide international discussion and involvement of the science community in the formulation of the IPY. From this foundation, the document defines a set of objectives for the IPY and outlines a science plan that provides the starting point for the next phase of IPY planning and implementation. During this stage of planning, the broad vision will be widely discussed, debated, and refined for incorporation in the PG's report to ICSU due in October 2004. In November 2004, the PG will be superseded by a new, joint ICSU-WMO Committee, responsible for the oversight and coordination of the IPY implementation.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

The concept of the International Polar Year 2007-2008 is of an international programme of coordinated, interdisciplinary scientific research and observations in the Earth's polar regions to explore new scientific frontiers, to deepen our understanding of polar processes and their global linkages, to increase our ability to detect changes, to attract and develop the next generation of polar scientists, engineers and logistics experts, and to capture the interest of schoolchildren, the public and decision-makers.

The IPY will include a broad range of activities organized around a select number of scientific themes. On the basis of a substantial input of ideas regarding the content of the IPY submitted by scientists and organisations from around the world, the Planning Group has defined the following five main themes:

6. To determine the present environmental status of the polar regions by quantifying their spatial and temporal variability.
7. To quantify, and understand, past and present environmental and human change in the polar regions in order to improve predictions
8. To advance our understanding of polar - global teleconnections on all scales, and of the processes controlling these interactions.
9. To investigate the unknowns at the frontiers of science in the polar regions.
10. To use the unique vantage point of the polar regions to develop and enhance observatories studying the Earth's inner core, the Earth's magnetic field, geospace, the Sun and beyond.

These themes, plus related science questions and associated measurements, are discussed in detail later in this document.

Overall, the IPY seeks to foster new observations and research exploiting innovative, modern technology, whilst building on and enhancing polar initiatives already planned or underway.

The official period of the IPY will be from 1st March 2007 until 1st March 2009 to allow observations during all seasons, and the possibility two summer field seasons, in each polar region. The geographic focus will extend over latitudes from approximately 60 ° to the pole, both north and south. The aim is to establish a manageable and feasible number of core activities, within a much broader set of associated initiatives.

### **3. RATIONALE FOR IPY 2007-2008 (IPY CONCEPT)**

The Planning Group has considered carefully the motivation for organizing an International Polar Year. The history of significant contributions from past coordinated international science campaigns (see Box 1) demonstrates that there is considerable benefit to be gained.

#### **Box 1: History of Past International Polar Years**

The idea of nations organizing to conduct a coordinated effort to study the polar regions originated some 125 years ago. The scientific goals of the first International Polar Year (1882-1883), sponsored by the International Meteorological Organisation (a predecessor of the World Meteorological Organization), were to explore geophysical phenomena that could not be surveyed by any one nation alone. There were 15 expeditions (13 to the Arctic and 2 to the Antarctic) and 12 nations participated. In addition to important science activities and exploration of new terrain, this first IPY set a precedent for international cooperation in the realm of science.

The second International Polar Year was held in 1932-1933. This effort was also proposed by the International Meteorological Organization and it accomplished significant advances in meteorology, magnetism, atmospheric science, and the understanding of ionospheric phenomena. About 40 nations participated in related activities, although the overall effort was somewhat diminished by the financial constraints internationally of the Depression years.

Fifty years after the second International Polar Year, the world came together again but this time to focus on geophysical processes world-wide. The International Geophysical Year of 1957-1958, sponsored by ICSU and WMO, celebrated the 75<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> anniversaries of the first and second international polar years, and brought together 67 nations around the idea that the many technologies developed during World War II could be focused to the benefit of science. The accomplishments of IGY are too numerous to list but include discovery of the Van Allen Radiation Belt encircling the Earth, the first estimates of the size of Antarctica's ice mass, and confirmation of the theory of continental drift. There were geopolitical benefits as well, including development of, and ultimately the ratification of, the Antarctic Treaty. It continued the legacy that scientists from around the world can work together, even in tense political and economic times, for the betterment of humankind.

Indeed, the justification for an intense focus on the polar regions is many faceted. The polar regions have great scientific importance: they are integral components of the Earth system, intimately linked to the global climate system, sea level, biogeochemical cycles, marine, freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems, and human activities, both regional and global. Given these connections, the polar regions respond to, amplify, and drive changes elsewhere in the Earth system. The interplay of the ocean, atmosphere, cryosphere, biosphere, geosphere and human activities in the polar regions makes these zones especially influential in the behaviour of climate on decadal and human time scales. So although the polar regions may seem distant from the lives of the majority of the world's people, they are in practice relevant in tangible ways. Given our existing knowledge and understanding about the Earth as a system, the potential of new technologies especially in the areas of electronic communications and information dissemination and processing, and the potential to marshal the expertise and capabilities of the world's polar research community, IPY 2007-2008 offers a unique opportunity to catalyse internationally coordinated, interdisciplinary research activities and to explore the human dimensions of these scientific questions to an unprecedented degree.

The rationale for the IPY can be summarised as follows:

#### *Why International?*

- Polar processes extend across national boundaries
- The science challenge exceeds the capabilities of any one nation
- A coordinated approach maximizes outcomes and cost effectiveness
- International collaboration shares benefits and builds relationships

#### *Why Polar?*

- Polar regions are active, highly connected components of the planet
- Significant changes are occurring in the polar regions
- Polar regions hold unique information on the past behaviour of the Earth system
- Polar regions having growing economic and geopolitical importance, especially the Arctic
- The harsh conditions and remoteness of the polar regions have hampered scientific inquiry compared to mid- and low-latitudes
- There is a need to re-establish and enhance operational observing systems in the polar regions
- The polar regions offer a unique vantage point for a variety of terrestrial and cosmic phenomena

#### *Why a "Year"?*

- An intensive, coordinated burst of effort will accelerate advances in knowledge and understanding
- A defined period polar "snapshot" will provide a crucial benchmark for detecting and understanding change in comparison with past and future data sets



- It provides an opportunity for observations in both polar regions throughout all seasons
- The legacy of enhanced observing systems generated by IPY will provide an improved foundation for ongoing monitoring

#### *Why 2007-2008?*

- The anniversaries of past IPY and the IGY set a firm deadline
- There is a pressing need to capture contemporary information on change
- A 3-4 year planning horizon is challenging but feasible
- The timescale allows advances in technology and logistics to be exploited to address new issues and access new areas

## **4. SHORT DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANNING PROCESS**

Although numerous discussions about possible ways to celebrate the IPY and IGY anniversaries have taken place in a variety of nations and venues over the past few years, focused planning began in early 2003 when the International Council for Science appointed a small group of scientists to serve as a central planning group. The Terms of Reference and membership of the ICSU IPY Planning Group (PG) are given in Appendices I and II.

To date the PG's efforts have focused mainly on

- gathering, summarising and making widely available information on existing ideas for an IPY
- serving as a clearinghouse for ideas
- stimulating, encouraging and organising debate amongst a wide range of interested parties on the objectives and possible content of an IPY
- formulating a set of objectives for an IPY, and
- developing an initial high level science plan.

This has included close cooperation with the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics (IUGG) concerning their IGY+50 initiative and in particular their electronic Geophysical Year (eGY), the International Union of Geological Sciences (IUGS) concerning their International Year of Planet Earth initiative (IYPE) and the proposed International Heliophysical Year (IHY).

In a little more than a year, the science community has progressed from its earliest discussions of why such a campaign should be held to serious planning of what IPY might accomplish and what resources are needed. Scientists from twenty-four nations have provided input. Nineteen nations have established either IPY National Committees or National Points of contact (Appendix III). In addition more than thirty ICSU and non-ICSU science coordinating bodies with an interest in polar research have provided strong endorsements of the IPY and often detailed scientific input to the PG (Appendix IV).

From the beginning, the goal of the ICSU Planning Group was to develop a planning process that was both driven by cutting edge science and by the view of global

science community. Thus through ICSU the PG invited the science community to contribute ideas on the pressing scientific issues which should form the content of the IPY. The objective was twofold; (i) to measure the level of interest in the community in an IPY, and (ii) to map out the range and scope of the scientific domain within which an IPY might operate.

The response to the call was very strong, with more than 350 ideas received to date, and with the list continuing to grow (Summary given in Appendices V(a) – V(c)). Some have been provided by individuals, some by National Committees, some by other science coordinating bodies, and some by groups of scientists who organized themselves around common questions. Members of the PG, especially the Chair and Vice-chair, have promoted and discussed the IPY at a variety of high profile scientific meetings. In addition the PG arranged an IPY Discussion Forum held in Paris on 31st April 2004, to present the IPY concept and gather feedback on how best to proceed. These inputs have been critical to the IPY planning.

As of April 2004, the PG had met three times. These meetings provided a forum for the free flow of ideas, for consideration of the input submitted by the science community, and for the development of various documents to advance IPY 2007-2008, including a May 2003 initial proposal to ICSU, a September 2003 letter to ICSU nations and unions calling for input, a January 2004 further letter to ICSU nations and unions reporting on the initial response and requesting further input, a February 2004 Progress Report to ICSU, and this Outline Science Plan. In spite of the short timescale, the Planning Group has made significant progress in defining the rationale for, scope of, and ambitions for IPY 2007-2008.

## **5. OBJECTIVES OF THE INTERNATIONAL POLAR YEAR 2007-2008 AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CORE ACTIVITIES**

On the basis of its own considerations and various inputs received as part of the IPY consultation process, the PG has set the following objectives for an IPY:

- Utilise the vantage point of the polar regions to carry out an intensive and internationally coordinated burst of high quality, important research activities and observations that would not otherwise be undertaken
- Lay the foundation for major scientific advances in knowledge and understanding of the nature and behaviour of the polar regions and their role in the functioning of the planet
- Leave a legacy of observing sites, facilities and systems to support ongoing polar research and monitoring
- Strengthen and enhance international collaboration and co-operation in polar regions research and monitoring
- Address both polar regions and their global interactions
- Link researchers across different fields to address questions and issues lying beyond the scope of individual disciplines
- Collect a broad-ranging set of samples, data and information regarding the state and behaviour of the polar regions to provide a reference for comparison with the future and the past

- Ensure data collected under the IPY are made available in an open and timely manner
- Intensify the recovery of relevant historical data and ensure that these also are made openly available
- Attract, engage and develop a new generation of polar researchers, engineers and logistics experts
- Optimise exploitation of available polar observing systems, logistical assets and infrastructure, and develop and embrace new technological and logistical capabilities
- Build on existing and potential new funding sources
- Engage the awareness, interest and understanding of schoolchildren, the general public and decision-makers worldwide in the purpose and value of polar research and monitoring

Given practical limitations on available assets, effort, infrastructure and funds, the PG adopted the following view regarding priorities, based on the position taken by the IGY Steering Group 50 years previously:

“During the IPY the regular scientific facilities of the world must be supplemented by additional observations suitably distributed in space and time as needed for the solution of the selected problems.

- Highest priority should be given to problems requiring concurrent synoptic observations at many points involving co-operative observations by many nations
- The extraordinary efforts that could be generated during the IPY in these relatively inaccessible regions of the Earth mean that the observations there should preferably cover all major geophysical phenomena, in order to augment our basic knowledge of the Earth and solar and other influences acting upon it

IPY should also include epochal observations of slowly varying terrestrial phenomena to establish basic information for subsequent comparison at later epochs”

The characteristics of the core activities of the IPY beginning in 2007 have been defined as follows:

- High scientific quality, addressing an important question or issue
- Capable of resulting in major progress
- Address one or both polar regions
- Contribute to international collaboration / coordination
- Logistically and technically feasible and achievable within IPY timeframe
- Avoid duplication or disruption of established initiatives and plans
- Provide open and timely access to data
- Maximise effective utilisation of available logistical assets

- Explicitly address roles and tasks for young scientists, technical and logistics experts
- Include explicitly addressed outreach activities.

Additional desirable characteristics are :

- Build on existing activities – adding value
- Interdisciplinary or with potential for interdisciplinary linkage and synthesis within the IPY programme overall
- Provide international access to field sites to support additional science and monitoring activities
- Address training / capacity building including opportunities for individuals to convert to polar science and monitoring
- Provide opportunities for regional scholarship within broader international activities
- Readily communicable to public.

## 6. THEMES FOR THE INTERNATIONAL POLAR YEAR 2007-2008

The five scientific themes have been developed from extensive input from the polar science community and are intended to provide a framework for the specific activities comprising the International Polar Year 2007-2008. (see section II).

Each theme is presented below along with several key related questions that the IPY 2007-2008 activities will make significant contributions towards answering, along with some possible activities proposed by the community. Following a discussion of each of the five major themes, we present an emerging vision describing a preliminary integration of possible IPY activities.

**Theme #1** *To determine the present environmental status of the polar regions by quantifying their spatial and temporal variability.*

Previous International Polar Years and the International Geophysical Year brought the international scientific community together to obtain an integrated assessment of the polar regions and polar processes. Today, rapid environmental change underway in the polar regions has increasingly significant global ramifications. As our planet changes, well planned synoptic observations of the environmental status of the polar regions will serve as a necessary benchmark for scientists and decision-makers globally. Consequently a key output of the IPY 2007-2008 will be to document the contemporary environmental status of the polar regions, quantifying their spatial and temporal variability and characterizing present day processes.

Determining the spatial and short-term temporal variability of the climate and environment in the polar regions will address questions such as:

11. What is the status of the high latitude ocean circulation and composition?
12. How do polar ecosystem structure and function vary through space and time and how much of this variation can be attributed to anthropogenic change?
13. What are the contemporary factors of social cohesion and values for polar societies?

The activities proposed to capture the modern environmental status of the poles and to document the modern spatial variability include physical, biological and social programs. Achieving such synoptic and multidisciplinary observations will involve transects of ice sheet, land and ocean; an enhanced observational network for annual time series measurements; new technologies such as robotic and autonomous observational systems; and enhanced use of satellite observations. Physical processes targeted should include the sea ice thickness distribution and its development, snow cover, ice sheet and glacier mass balance, the polar hydrological cycle, key ocean atmospheric exchanges, and ice shelf – ocean interaction. Questions concerned with polar biodiversity require biodiversity surveys including modern genomic techniques; attribution of functional diversity; spatial and temporal sampling at a variety of scales. The programs emphasizing the status of the polar inhabitants require a network of social observatories, comparative case studies and databanks of social realities.

In addressing this theme it will be critical to develop an integrated, interdisciplinary plan for synoptic observations. The planning process must serve to integrate these activities building a truly multidisciplinary programme and optimizing limited logistical capability. We envision the acquisition of a synoptic set of multidisciplinary observations as a key component of the IPY 2007-2008.

**Theme #2** *To quantify, and understand, past and present environmental and human change in the polar regions in order to improve predictions*

Physical, chemical, biological and social processes in the polar regions act together to produce a dynamically changing environment: an environment which has seen major environmental shifts in the past. To provide a framework for interpreting the synoptic observations made during the International Polar Year 2007-2008 it is imperative that significant advances are made in our understanding of the factors which drive environmental change in the polar regions. It is also imperative that the abilities to both monitor and predict changes in the environment are developed and implemented during the International Polar Year 2007-2008. The target must be to quantify past changes, understand the ongoing changes and improve our ability to monitor and predict future changes. Major questions that will be addressed under this theme include:

- a. How are climate, environment, and ecosystems in the polar regions (including high latitude oceans) changing?
- b. How has polar diversity responded to long-term changes in climate?
- c. What are the inter-hemispheric connections in these changes?
- d. How has the planet responded to multiple glacial cycles?
- e. What critical factors triggered the cooling of the polar regions?

The activities proposed to quantify, monitor, understand, and predict environmental change were represented by four distinct methodologies. These are the recovery of key paleoclimatic records; documenting the physical factors which controlled past climate change; enhancing modeling capability through reanalysis and improved parameterization; and the development of a long-term observation system.

Recovery of key paleo-climatic records was advocated as an activity necessary to quantify the magnitude and to understand mechanisms controlling past environmental changes, and to identify inter-hemispheric connections. The proposed

activities cover time scales ranging from tens of million of years (sediment cores in the Arctic Ocean) through hundreds of thousands of years (planning for deep ice cores) and thousands of years (lake cores and circum polar shallow ice cores) to hundreds of years (borehole temperatures and permafrost studies). The recovery of strategic circum polar paleoclimatic records will enable a comprehensive analysis of the polar environment.

A number of proponents advocated the geophysical mapping of key ocean gateways in both the polar regions as well as East Antarctic subglacial features. These features each played important controlling roles in the cooling of the polar regions and represent fundamental boundary conditions for the polar environment today. To understand recent change, proposed activities include meteorological and sea-ice reanalyses, establishment of a comprehensive database of polar climate data, intensification of polar climate studies addressing the role of cryospheric processes and feedbacks, and parameterization of the hydrological cycle of cold regions. This is especially important in the light of recent evidence that the hydrological cycle may be accelerating. Finally, to monitor and predict future change, a combined effort of monitoring and modeling was widely advocated.

Concepts advocated include improvement and further development of the World Weather Watch Global Observing System in the polar regions, including space-based component, enhanced monitoring of the ozone layer and transport of greenhouse gases and aerosols, and the establishment of the Arctic Ocean and the Southern Ocean Observing Systems as well as the Arctic hydrologic cycle observing system.

**Theme #3** *To advance our understanding of polar - global teleconnections on all scales, and of the processes controlling these interactions.*

Although the polar regions are frequently omitted from political maps of the world, their global influence is profound and far reaching. The polar regions remain the largest source of water capable of causing significant global sea level rise, represent the largest sinks in the global carbon cycle and are home to some of the world's major fisheries. Just as the polar regions influence global processes the global processes are impacting the poles. Examples include the formation of the ozone hole, the accumulation of pollutants in the Arctic system, and the influence of global satellite communication connectivity on polar residents. The questions which must be addressed in the IPY 2007-2008 teleconnection theme include:

- a. What role do the polar regions play in the global carbon cycle?
- b. What is the stability of the Earth's major ice masses and what will be their impact on global mean sea level?
- c. What are the linkages between the physical, chemical and biological systems in the polar regions?
- d. What are the interactions between the polar regions and lower latitudes including linkages through climatic, social, ecologic, and hydrologic processes?
- e. How do actors, institutions, relations explain changes at a variety of levels both globally and within the polar regions?

The programs proposed to enhance our understanding of the polar/global connections include physical, biological and social ones. Activities proposed to

address these issues include measurements of carbon fluxes in both marine and terrestrial polar ecosystems, improvement of polar meteorological networks and the establishment of an enhanced ocean observing system, analysis of climate indices and data sets, modeling, social surveys, and comparative case studies and investigations of living conditions

Although the activities proposed to the ICSU IPY Planning group were focused on the polar regions, it is clear that coordination with global programs will be necessary to achieve an advanced understanding of the polar - global teleconnections. As the planning progresses increased coordination with WCRP, IGBP and IHDP will be required to achieve this target.

**Theme #4** *To investigate the unknowns at the frontiers of science in the polar regions.*

Humans have probed the polar regions, investigating the frontiers of the planet since the people began fishing and hunting in the Arctic as the ice sheets retreated thousands of years ago. Although few geographic frontiers remain on the earth's surface, scientific frontiers remain to be investigated beneath the polar ice sheets and under the ice-covered oceans. Today the new scientific frontiers in the polar regions rest at the intersection of disciplines and are ideally suited as an IPY 2007-2008 theme. Many major questions on the interactions between the icy polar domains and sub-ice ecosystems and the underlying solid earth were raised. The questions which must be addressed by IPY investigations at the scientific frontiers are:

- a. What are the characters of the sub-ice and deep ocean polar ecosystems?
- b. What is the pattern and structure of polar marine and terrestrial biodiversity, at all trophic levels?
- c. How does phylogenetic and functional diversity vary across extreme environments, and what are the evolutionary responses underpinning this variation?
- d. What are the nature, composition and morphology of the sea floor and earth's crust beneath the polar ice cover?
- e. What effect does the solid earth have on ice sheet dynamics?

A diverse range of activities was proposed to address these questions such as the study of sub-glacial lakes and other unknown terrain beneath the Antarctic ice sheet using airborne geophysics, and marine geophysical and biological exploration of the Gakkel Ridge. Tools to support these activities will include seismic and hydrophone networks, rapid access drilling, remotely operated vehicles, sample recovery and genomic studies.

**Theme #5** *To use the unique vantage point of the polar regions to develop and enhance observatories studying the Earth's inner core, the Earth's magnetic field, geospace, the Sun and beyond.*

The unique position of the poles on the planet makes them an ideal site for observation of diverse processes. Improved understanding of many processes, such as the rotation of the inner core, the strength of the earth's magnetic dipole, geospace, cosmic ray detection and astronomy, is uniquely benefited by polar

observations. A number of well formed proposals were received from disciplinary based groups aiming to use the polar regions as observing platforms. These were complemented by interest in developing broader science agendas for new polar research stations proposed by several National Committees. Questions that can be addressed by polar observations include:

- a. How does the neutral atmosphere interact with geospace at the polar regions and what are the consequences?
- b. What is the influence of solar processes at the polar regions on earth's climate?
- c. What is the state of the earth's magnetic dipole?
- d. Is the inner core rotating differentially?

Resolution of some of these issues will require extended (up to 6-month) uninterrupted time-series observations in solar, planetary and stellar astronomy. The proposed activities for the polar observatories were generally mono-disciplinary but reflected well-developed concepts. They included the concept of the International Heliophysical Year, presently supported by an international steering group.

#### **Box 2 WMO Co-sponsorship of IPY 2007-2008**

At Fourteenth World Meteorological Congress in May 2003, the WMO approved the concept of an International Polar Year as a means to achieve a broad set of research objectives. This activity was independent of the initial ICSU effort to plan an IPY, but communication was quickly established and at the second Planning Group meeting of the ICSU committee, a suggestion was made by WMO to merge interests in an IPY. The Planning Group recommended this arrangement to the ICSU Executive Board which agreed in February 2004 and a joint ICSU-WMO IPY Organising Committee will be convened following the submission of the Science Plan to the ICSU Executive Board in October 2004.

There are many advantages to this co-sponsorship besides the historical fact that both bodies spawned the IGY. WMO is a leading international scientific organization in many countries and its endorsement of IPY greatly facilitates the involvement of the National Meteorological and Hydrological Services and scientists from those nations in IPY. WMO's political structures connect to the governments of many countries, increasing the possible pool of resources to support IPY. WMO and ICSU already share in bridging organizations, such as WCRP that have expressed a broad set of programs suitable for IPY.

In their planning, WMO had already set forth many activities intended for IPY (listed in Appendix VI). These activities are particularly relevant to Themes #1, #2 and #3 set out in Section VI of this document. It is expected that these will change as WMO takes advantage of the heightened potential for expanded observations and for establishing new observational networks throughout the polar regions. Such enhancements to their programs serves as an excellent example to other existing or planned programs to view IPY as a means to improve what already exists, to recover what has been lost, and to expand what has been planned. It is the intent of IPY to neither degrade nor diminish any of the excellent programs addressing issues of the polar regions, but to be an enabling and enhancing activity enriching them all and to accelerate research initiatives that would otherwise be slow to emerge.



## 7. NEW OBSERVATIONAL SYSTEMS

Like the previous IPY's and the IGY, the International Polar Year 2007-2008 will be limited in time. This fact encourages activities that focus on data collection and that utilize the potential of increased coordination of logistic assets. Many submitted ideas recognized this and incorporated it in their inputs in various ways. Often similar activities, sampling strategies and field programs were proposed by different discipline based groups. At the same time, similar activities were advocated by a several national or even different multinational groups. Observational systems or observational programs emerged to address each scientific theme. We hope our view of observations that serve multiple disciplines will prompt groups with a more disciplinary focus to consider and discuss how to make their observational needs more interdisciplinary and thus increase the overall value of their possible IPY contribution. Similarly, we hope the overlapping national and multinational groups will be able to build an effective interdisciplinary, international program achievable within the IPY timeframe.

Below we present the emerging observational systems that serve the scientific themes. We hope this synthesis stimulates the next level of discussion, debate and planning.

### *A synoptic set of multidisciplinary observations to establish the status of the polar environment in 2007-2008*

This synoptic set of multidisciplinary observations is targeted at establishing the status of the polar environment during the International Polar Year 2007-2008, providing future generations with a benchmark for future change and furthering our understanding of the recent changes. These activities may include coordinated polar transects, deployment of instrumentation in inaccessible regions, collection of satellite data and collection of records of changing polar environments.

Internationally coordinated field transects supported by ships, aircraft and traverse vehicles were proposed by a broad range of disciplinary based scientists from biologists interested in the Census of Marine life and genetic diversity of polar organisms to oceanographers interested in the state of polar sea ice and water masses to geodetic scientists interested in the form of post-glacial rebound at the poles. Transects of the atmospheric and oceanic conditions were proposed by several groups. These marine programs were complemented by the concept of installing instrumentation along the ice divides of the Antarctic continent by traverses and the expansion of the WMO meteorological network. A number of groups advocated establishing baseline observation of polar ecosystems which we have reflected with the concept of mapping polar biodiversity along transects.

Complementing the programs of underway observations along set transects were a series of proposals to deploy permanent or semi-permanent instruments in inaccessible regions. In general, these proposed deployments were very discipline based. Some efforts clearly would benefit by bringing together the discipline-based proposals. For example, there were proposals to install polar oceanographic moorings and a polar seismometer network. Merging these efforts would optimize logistics and enhance interdisciplinary work. Similarly meteorological instrumentation could be merged with geodetic instrumentation.

The third prime measurement strategy that will be a critical facet of the International Polar Year 2007-2008 is a coordinated satellite imaging of the polar regions. Existing satellites obtain information across much of the electromagnetic spectrum and provide high spatial and temporal resolution data over the polar regions. A number of additional missions under development, such as Cryostat, have a specific polar mission. Coordination of satellite observations from this international suite of sensors, and additional focus by higher-data rate sensors that do not collect data continuously would secure valuable benchmark data sets and advance the effort to assess the environmental status of the polar regions.

The fourth measurement strategy that was highlighted in the presentation of polar year ideas was the collection of key proxies for changes in climate. These proxies include circumpolar ice cores in high accumulation regions to track the spatial variability in recent change in climate, systematic measurement of borehole temperatures in the polar regions and study of permafrost boreholes.

*The acquisition of key data sets necessary to understand factors controlling change in the polar environment*

A number of concepts were advanced for internationally coordinated mapping of key marine and continental sites that have played important roles in controlling the nature of polar environments including marine studies of the Antarctic and Arctic Gateways. On the continental side, a wide range of aerogeophysical surveys were proposed both to support the acquisition of a long palaeoclimate record as advocated by the International Ice Core Working Group and to determine the controlling topography of the onset of Antarctic Glaciations as suggested by several international groups. These surveying efforts were complemented by proposals for internationally collection of targeted paleoclimatic data sets such as drilling in the Arctic Ocean.

*The establishment of a legacy of multidisciplinary observational networks*

The intensive activity of the IPY 2007-2008 will extend measurements to include observations of linked physical, biological, and chemical observations of the atmosphere, oceans, ice, and land, and will improve spatial and temporal coverage to provide a critical benchmark data set for assessing the state of the polar environment. The infrastructure developed during the IPY 2007-2008 will provide for long-term, spatially distributed interdisciplinary observing networks to understand the polar regions in the coming years and decades. The development and installation of international, long-term, multi-disciplinary observing networks could be a particularly significant legacy of the IPY. These observing systems would provide scientists and decision-makers with real time information on the evolving state of the poles for decades to come. Stations that remain relatively fixed in place, such as on land or on stable ice sheets, as well as stations moving with the ice and the seas, should be developed to integrate physical, biological, and chemical measurements.

The past polar years targeted intensive observational periods and many of the measurements begun in the 1950's during the International Geophysical Year now form the basis for our understanding of how the Earth is changing. The widely articulated vision for the IPY 2007-2008 is for the intensive observation period to be followed by the establishment of both Arctic and Antarctic multidisciplinary observing networks. These observation networks range from the meteorological stations in the

Arctic to the installation of seismometers in a pinwheel array in Antarctica. Our vision is that the jointly sponsored ICSU-WMO International Polar Year 2007-2008 will leave a legacy observation network which will leverage the critical communication and power infrastructure which form the backbone of any permanent observation site to underpin a wide variety of observation from a broad range of disciplines. The net results will be collocated observation measuring such diverse features as the earth's atmospheric, oceanographic, magnetosphere, seismic structure of the lithosphere and mantle and isostatic rebound. These permanent stations will enable future scientists to isolate short-term variability from long-term change from climate to the earth's magnetic dipole. In the same way that IGY "opened" Antarctica for science, the IPY 2007-2008 can be envisaged as potentially a vehicle to provide an upward shift in science access to the Arctic.

*The launch of internationally-coordinated, multidisciplinary expeditions into new scientific frontiers*

Many proposals for the IPY 2007-2008 addressed new scientific frontiers. In earlier IPY and IGY research programs, science-driven exploration of new geographical regions was a major activity. In the IPY 2007-2008, only limited regions of the earth's surface, such as parts of East Antarctica, remain unexplored in the traditional geographic sense. Yet new scientific frontiers and challenges have emerged taking advantage of new disciplines and technologies unknown in the previous IPYs and the IGY.

Several major expeditions to new frontiers were proposed by the international community. These include an expedition mapping the biodiversity of the Gakkel Ridge, an interdisciplinary study of the Gamburtsev Mountains, and exploring the extremophiles of the Antarctic subglacial environments.

*The implementation of polar observatories to study important facets of Planet Earth and beyond*

Many of the proposals highlighted facets of the earth, the geospace, the Sun, the solar system and beyond which can be best studied from the polar regions. Simultaneously several groups indicated the development of new polar stations. The establishment of new stations and enhanced activity at existing stations presents a unique opportunity for the International Polar Year to establish a new suite of observatories at polar stations. Ideally the implementation of these observatories would be coordinated to optimize the use of logistics and encourage the sharing of data. The proposed observatories ranged in focus from the inner core to atmospheric physics to the heliosphere and studies of neutrinos. This effort would embrace the developing initiative to have an International Heliosphere Year during 2007.

## **8. AN EMERGING VISION: THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF IPY ON POLAR SCIENCE**

The IPY 2007-2008 concept has tapped a powerful vein of enthusiasm and excitement within the scientific community. We believe that this in part derives from the universal awareness that IGY was a seminal event in geophysics. The IGY, and past IPYs, are an inspiring heritage. The IGY, in particular, fundamentally changed

how earth and space science is conducted and resonated far beyond the initial years of exploration and research.

The IPY aspires to the same goals of improving our knowledge of earth, space and culture, advancing technology and international science, and engendering a new awareness of our planet. The IPY aims to provide scientists with the opportunity to go where they could not go before, to collect data in ways they have not done before, and to establish monitoring systems where none existed before. Breakthroughs and insights will follow.

Logistic capabilities and funding have limits, but the innovation and imagination of the polar science community do not. It is through the creativity of the individuals who are stimulated by the IPY concept that the potential impact of IPY will be determined. The stage is now set to make significant and enduring advances in polar science. It is the intent of IPY to foster new research ideas and methods including accelerating initiatives that would otherwise be slow to emerge.

Many of the hindrances to cooperation, understanding and knowledge are of our own making. IGY succeeded at the height of Cold War tensions and in an era when international bodies to coordinate science were few and far between. IPY 2007-2008 will be implemented in the age when the world is confronting new and different tensions, and with need to work with a dizzying number of international organizations, both scientific and political, each with a mandate to foster and guide internationally coordinated polar science. Shared involvement in the design, development and placement of innovative observing systems can provide a basis to establish key roles for these organizations to realize the extraordinary promise of IPY.

While we encourage the increase of interdisciplinary research, we explicitly seek to lower the boundary between social science and natural science. The polar regions are home to residents many of whom live in very close contact with their environment. Environmental change impacts them directly and rapid change can be destructive. Our aim is to engage those peoples and high latitude peoples more generally in the purpose and execution of the Polar Year from an early stage.

Polar regions are less remote to the rest of the planet than is commonly assumed. Humans, both polar residents and others, impact the polar regions leading to both environmental and climatic consequences, some understood, some not. For everyone's sake, we must accelerate our understanding of these linkages and consequences.

By focusing our collective attention on IPY, we have begun to focus the attention of the world on the polar regions. This opportunity has abundant potential to impress upon people in all walks of life the multitude of ways that the polar regions are important to every person on Earth. Youth that are inspired to scientific or technical careers or that come to appreciate the importance of the polar regions and its stewardship as part of a closely linked climate and cultural system will give the IPY enduring impact.

We can plan for what we determine is most essential to accomplish during the relatively brief 24-month formal period of IPY, but it is expected that IPY will leave a scientific legacy that will extend well beyond the lifetime of the project itself. Among these will be detailed, comprehensive multidisciplinary data sets for the IPY period

that will provide both a base-line against which to assess future change and a resource for validation of a hierarchy of developing models.

IPY activities will also contribute to future operational earth observation and ongoing monitoring in polar regions through enhancement of the long-term monitoring network, definition of an optimal and most cost effective data collection strategy, and improved calibration and interpretation of satellite data.

There are also likely to be benefits that are entirely unplanned and that become clear only after the formal IPY period has ended. We foresee that polar science in the post-IPY era will be vastly improved. Well-thought out and coordinated investments in time, technology, money, and logistics will create a research environment where fresh ideas seeded by existing, recovered and new data drive newly enlightened researchers to new discoveries about the polar regions and our world. It is this final legacy - the next generation of polar scientists, trained and enthused during IPY 2007-08 – that will be one of the most important.

## **9. NEXT STEPS**

This document is the ICSU International Polar Year Planning Group's synthesis of input from the polar community to identify the overarching research themes and possible implementation activities. This Outline Science Plan and the process that led to it will be presented at a variety of international science venues beginning with the Arctic Science Summit Week in Iceland April 2004. It is available on the Web at [www.ipy.org](http://www.ipy.org).

Through the course of the spring and summer this Outline Science Plan will be presented at the European Geosciences Union, the Arctic Council Meeting, the American Geophysical Union, International Arctic Social Sciences Assembly (IASSA), the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting, the WMO Executive Council session, the SCAR Open Science Meeting and the SCAR/COMNAP meeting. The goal of these presentations will be to elicit feedback from major polar stakeholders such as national committees, funding agencies, operational groups, scientific coordination bodies and satellite agencies on the Initial Outline Science Plan, and to encourage these stakeholders to begin to develop truly international, multidisciplinary plans to address the specific themes identified.

A Second IPY Discussion Forum for additional feedback will be held in Paris September 13-14, 2004, immediately prior to the final meeting of the ICSU International Polar Year Planning Group. To be most effective, feedback should be written and submitted by August 15, 2004 to provide ample time to distribute the feedback to the planning group.

The ICSU International Polar Year Planning Group will use the various discussions, and the written feedback to formulate the final Science Plan to be delivered to the ICSU Executive Board and to WMO in October 2004.

In addition to developing this Science Plan, the Planning Groups is also in the process of developing draft plans for IPY data policies, access and archiving, education and outreach and implementation strategies. These plans will be included in the final report to ICSU and WMO. Draft versions of these documents will be posted on the IPY website for feedback over the next few months, and the content will be discussed as available at the venues indicated above.

## APPENDIX I- ICSU Planning Group Membership and Contact Details

### **Chris Rapley, Chair**

British Antarctic Survey  
Cambridge, CB3 0ET  
United Kingdom  
Email: [c.rapley@bas.ac.uk](mailto:c.rapley@bas.ac.uk)

### **Ian Allison**

Australian Antarctic Division and ACE CRC,  
PO Box 252-80, Hobart  
Tasmania 7001, Australia  
Email: [ian\\_all@antdiv.gov.au](mailto:ian_all@antdiv.gov.au)  
Email: [ian\\_all@aad.gov.au](mailto:ian_all@aad.gov.au)

### **Robert Bindshadler**

Oceans and Ice Branch  
Laboratory for Hydrospheric Processes  
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center  
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA  
Email: [bob@igloo.gsfc.nasa.gov](mailto:bob@igloo.gsfc.nasa.gov)  
Email: [robert.a.bindshadler@nasa.gov](mailto:robert.a.bindshadler@nasa.gov)

### **Gino Casassa**

Centro de Estudios Científicos  
Casilla 1469, Chile  
Email: [gcasassa@cecs.cl](mailto:gcasassa@cecs.cl)

### **Steve Chown**

Department of Zoology  
University of Stellenbosch  
Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602  
South Africa  
E-mail: [slchown@sun.ac.za](mailto:slchown@sun.ac.za)

### **Gerard Duhaime**

GÉTIC, Pavillon Charles-de-Koninck  
Université Laval, Québec G1K 7P4,  
Canada  
Email : [gerard.duhaime@fss.ulaval.ca](mailto:gerard.duhaime@fss.ulaval.ca)

### **Vladimir Kotlyakov**

Glaciological Association  
Institute of Geography, Russian Academy of  
Sciences, Staromonethy, 29, 109017  
Moscow, Russia  
E-mail: [igras@igras.geonet.ru](mailto:igras@igras.geonet.ru)

### **Michael Kuhn (IUGG liaison)**

Institute of Meteorology and Geophysics  
Innrain 52, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria  
Email: [Michael.Kuhn@uibk.ac.at](mailto:Michael.Kuhn@uibk.ac.at)

### **Robin Bell, Vice-Chair**

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory  
Columbia University  
Palisades, New York 10964, USA  
Email: [robinb@ldeo.columbia.edu](mailto:robinb@ldeo.columbia.edu)

### **Olav Orheim**

Norsk Polarinstitutt, Polarmiljøseneteret,  
N-9296 Tromsø, Norway  
Email: [orheim@npolar.no](mailto:orheim@npolar.no)

### **Prem Chand Pandey**

National Centre for Antarctic & Ocean  
Research, Department of Ocean  
Development, Headland Sada, Vasco-da-  
Gama, Goa 403 804, India.  
Email: [pcpandey@ncaor.org](mailto:pcpandey@ncaor.org)

### **Hanne Kathrine Petersen**

Danish Polar Center, Strandgade 100 H  
DK-1401 Copenhagen K, Denmark  
Email: [hkp@dpc.dk](mailto:hkp@dpc.dk)

### **Zhanhai Zhang**

Polar Research Institute of China  
Shanghai Pudong 200129, China  
Email: [zhangzhanhai@263.net.cn](mailto:zhangzhanhai@263.net.cn)

### **Henk Schalke (IUGS liaison)**

IUGS-UNESCO Division of Earth Sciences  
Joint Programme Project  
Starkenborglaan 10, 2341 BM Oegstgeest,  
The Netherlands  
Email: [henkscha@worldonline.nl](mailto:henkscha@worldonline.nl)

### **Werner Janoschek (IUGS liaison)**

Geologische Bundesanstalt  
Rasumofskygasse 23 , A 1030 Wien, Austria  
Email: [wjanoschek@cc.geolba.ac.at](mailto:wjanoschek@cc.geolba.ac.at)

### **Eduard Sarukhanian (WMO liaison)**

World Meteorological Organisation  
7 bis, avenue de la Paix, Case postale No.  
2300, CH-1211 Geneva 2  
Switzerland  
Email: [Esarukhanian@wmo.int](mailto:Esarukhanian@wmo.int)

## APPENDIX II - List of Established IPY National Committees and Points of Contact

### IPY National Committees

#### **BELGIUM**

National Committee formed by The Royal Academies for Science and the Arts of Belgium.

**Prof. Hugo Decleir** (Chairman) - [hdecleir@vub.ac.be](mailto:hdecleir@vub.ac.be).

**Dr. Annick Wilmotte** (Vice-Chair) - [awilmotte@ulg.ac.be](mailto:awilmotte@ulg.ac.be).

**Reinout Van Vaerenbergh** (Secretary) - [Reinout.vanvaerenbergh@kvab.be](mailto:Reinout.vanvaerenbergh@kvab.be)

#### **CHILE**

National Committee chaired by **Dr. Jose Valencia Diaz** - [jvalenci@inach.cl](mailto:jvalenci@inach.cl)

#### **CHINA**

National Committee chaired by **Prof. Dr. Zhanhai Zhang** - [zhangzhanhai@263.net.cn](mailto:zhangzhanhai@263.net.cn)

#### **DENMARK**

The National Committee is chaired by **Prof. Dorthe Dahl-Jensen** – [ddj@qfy.ku.dk](mailto:ddj@qfy.ku.dk)

The Secretariat for the Danish National Committee is located at the Danish Polar Center, Copenhagen.

Greenland Committee (**Green-IPY**) has representation on the Danish Committee and is chaired by **Claus Andreasen** - [claus.andreasen@natmus.gl](mailto:claus.andreasen@natmus.gl)

#### **FRANCE**

The National Committee is chaired by **Prof. Gerard Jugie** - [Gerard.Jugie@ifremer.fr](mailto:Gerard.Jugie@ifremer.fr)

The Committee has three subject-specific Points of Contact

**Prof Yves Frenot** (Science Coordination) - [Yves.Frenot@ifremer.fr](mailto:Yves.Frenot@ifremer.fr)

**Prof. Michel Fily** (Glaciology) - [fily@glaciog.ujf-grenoble.fr](mailto:fily@glaciog.ujf-grenoble.fr)

**Prof. Paul Treguer** (Marine Research) - [Paul.Treguer@univ-brest.fr](mailto:Paul.Treguer@univ-brest.fr)

#### **GERMANY**

The Committee is chaired by **Prof. Reinhard Dietrich** - [dietrich@ipg.geo.tu-dresden.de](mailto:dietrich@ipg.geo.tu-dresden.de).

The Committee Secretary is **Dr. Karsten Gohl** - [kgohl@awi-bremerhaven.de](mailto:kgohl@awi-bremerhaven.de)

#### **ITALY**

The National Committee is chaired by **Prof. Carlo Alberto Ricci** - [riccica@unisi.it](mailto:riccica@unisi.it)

#### **JAPAN**

A Committee has been formed, chaired by **Prof. Yoshiyuki Fujii** – [fujii@pmg.rpi.ac.jp](mailto:fujii@pmg.rpi.ac.jp)

The Secretary of the committee is **Dr Hajime Ito** (NIPR) – [hajime@pmg.rpi.ac.jp](mailto:hajime@pmg.rpi.ac.jp)

#### **NORWAY**

The National Committee is chaired by **Prof. Olav Eldholm** - [olav.eldholm@geo.uib.no](mailto:olav.eldholm@geo.uib.no)

The Committee Secretary is **Dr. Fridtjof Mehlum** – [fme@rcn.no](mailto:fme@rcn.no)

#### **RUSSIA**

The National Committee is chaired by **Prof. Vladimir Kotlyakov** - [igras@igras.geonet.ru](mailto:igras@igras.geonet.ru)

#### **UNITED KINGDOM**

The National Committee is chaired by **Sir John Houghton** - [john.houghton@jri.org.uk](mailto:john.houghton@jri.org.uk)

The Committee Secretary is **Dr. Cynan Ellis-Evans** - [jcel@bas.ac.uk](mailto:jcel@bas.ac.uk)

#### **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

The Chair of the National Committee is **Dr. Mary Albert** - [malbert@crrel.usace.army.mil](mailto:malbert@crrel.usace.army.mil)

A second Point of Contact is **Dr Sheldon Drobot** – [sdrobot@nas.edu](mailto:sdrobot@nas.edu)