# **APPENDIX: Discussion Session Outcomes / Suggested Future Research**

## a) Research activities

- Cross comparison of Heat Flux (HF) results, e.g.
  - HF inferred from point measurements
  - HF inferred from ice observations/water
  - o HF inferred from magnetic data
  - HF inferred from electrical admittance
  - HF inferred from seismic tomography
  - HF inferred from geology
- Plan for understanding/reconciling discrepancies in the above and which methods are most reliable under what circumstances
- Understand the strengths/weaknesses of each form of data
- Understand of the best way to interpolate/extrapolate HF values to create continent or plate-scale HF maps
- Establish the basis for prioritising locations for new HF measurements, optimised for benefit in terms of variable density of future measurements

## b) Research products

Everything below to have quantified uncertainty, and alternate models and probabilistic models encouraged

- Geomap (ongoing)
- Rock Heat Production values database
- HF measurements database
- Ice temperature profiles database
- 3D lithosphere + crust reference model (including lithospheric thickness for GIA, crust for HF) from which to generate 2D HF maps
- 2D HF maps derived from alternate methods
- 2D gridded HF maps with spot points and uncertainties
- Guide to HF products
- Rheology model of Antarctica

## c) Modelling

- A small Model Intercomparison Project (MIP)
- A MIP of ice sheet models in inverse mode designed to infer geothermal flux
- To understand what situations would lead to the biggest contribution to sea level rise from HF
- To understand how big an HF anomaly would have to be to have an impact
- To understand how much laterally varying HF influences ice sheet behaviour
- To understand what spatial scale of lateral variability in HF matters
- To understand what accuracy/resolution of HF measurement is needed
- To find the locations in new HF measurements are most significant to improve modelling
- To find conditions where melt rate can be upper/lower bounded
- To assess equilibrium vs non-equilibrium modelling in full 3D Stokes

d) New observations

- HF measurements
  - $\circ \quad \text{In ice} \quad$
  - $\circ$  In rock (e.g. RAID) including sediment or bedrock, avoiding hydrothermal
  - o Focussed on West Antarctica
  - o Marine measurements on the continental margin
- Samples of bedrock
  - $\circ$  Geochronology
  - $\circ$  Geochemistry
  - o For petrophysics to correlate with airborne geophysics
- Sub ice observations where the bed isn't frozen
  - Better understanding of accuracy near pressure-melting point
- Ground observations
  - Seismic in East Antarctica, Aurora and Wilkes Basins
  - o Active source seismology in the interior
  - o UAV hyperspectral
  - UAV radiometrics
  - o Gamma ray surveying
- Airborne observations
  - o Geomagnetic
  - o Ice radar mapping of internal ice structure, englacial layers
  - o Phase sensitive radar

# e) Other comments / required knowledge

- Note also importance to ice sheet modeller of other factors, e.g. physics of ice, ocean forcing, basal topography inc. coast, atmospheric forcing, HF, GIA and feedback
- Map connections between subglacial lakes
- Better knowledge of basal hydrology
- Better knowledge of permeable nature of ice bed
- Better knowledge of groundwater
- Effect of groundwater storage on ice dynamics
- Complications for subglacial lakes away from the ice divide, ice flow, sliding, advection by water flow
- Better knowledge of sedimentary basins, basement distribution, structures therein
- Role, possibly a variable influence, of HF in paleo ice sheet modelling
- Variation in HF over time for paleo ice sheet model inputs
- Possible influence on HF of ice sheet history
- Possible influence on HF of tectonic history
- Variability of HF in different tectonic provinces
- Evolution of thermal topography over the Cenozoic
- Water content and grain size in the mantle beneath Antarctica
- Relation between mantle volatiles, temperatures, viscosity and seismic velocity
- Ambient seismic noise shear velocity structure to estimate crustal heat production
- Other proxies for temp at depth, e.g. Bouguer gravity spectra and crustal thickness
- Advection effects in borehole measurements
- Neutrino detector