# CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES IN THE SOUTHERN OCEAN

José C. Xavier, Yves Cherel, Geoff Boxshall, Angelika Brandt, Tim Coffer, Jeff Forman, Charlotte Havermans, Anna M. Jażdżewska, Juliana Kouwenberg, Stefano Schiaparelli, Kareen Schnabel, Volker Siegel, Geraint A. Tarling, Sven Thatje, Peter Ward & Julian Gutt





# CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES IN THE SOUTHERN OCEAN

## CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES IN THE SOUTHERN OCEAN

Published by the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research Authors: José C. Xavier, Yves Cherel, Geoff Boxshall, Angelika Brandt, Tim Coffer, Jeff Forman, Charlotte Havermans, Anna M. Jażdżewska, Juliana Kouwenberg, Stefano Schiaparelli, Kareen Schnabel, Volker Siegel, Geraint A. Tarling, Sven Thatje, Peter Ward, Julian Gutt © 2020 Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research

Original Edition: © 2020 Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research Design Agency: botodacruz.com Art Director: Bruno Cruz

Cite book as following:

Xavier, J. C., Cherel, Y., Boxshall, G., Brandt, A., Coffer, T., Forman, J., Havermans, C., Jażdżewska, A. M., Kouwenberg, K., Schiaparelli, S., Schnabel, K., Siegel, V., Tarling, G. A., Thatje, S., Ward, P., Gutt, J. (2020) Crustacean guide for predator studies in the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge, UK. 253 pp.

ISBN 978-0-948277-58-0



Recycled paper

Cover: the crustacean Bovallia gigantea (Photo from Eugenia Moreira).

#### AUTHORS

José C. Xavier <sup>1 & 2</sup>, Yves Cherel <sup>3</sup>, Geoff Boxshall <sup>4</sup>, Angelika Brandt <sup>5 & 6</sup>, Tim Coffer <sup>7</sup>, Jeff Forman <sup>8</sup>, Charlotte Havermans <sup>9 & 10</sup>, Anna M. Jażdżewska <sup>11</sup>, Juliana Kouwenberg <sup>12</sup>, Stefano Schiaparelli <sup>13 & 14</sup>, Kareen Schnabel <sup>8</sup>, Volker Siegel <sup>15</sup>, Geraint A. Tarling <sup>2</sup>, Sven Thatje <sup>16</sup>, Peter Ward <sup>2</sup>, Julian Gutt <sup>17</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Coimbra, MARE - Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, Department of Life Sciences, 3000-456 Coimbra, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, High Cross, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK

<sup>3</sup> Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé (CEBC), UMR 7372 du CNRS- La Rochelle Université, 79360 Villiers-en-Bois, France

<sup>4</sup> Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK

<sup>5</sup> Senckenberg Research Institute and Natural History Museum, Department of Marine Zoology, Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Goethe University Frankfurt, Institute for Ecology, Diversity and Evolution, Max-von-Laue-Str. 13, 60438 Frankfurtam Main, Germany

<sup>7</sup> National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, 10th St. & Constitution Ave. NW Washington, D.C. 20560, USA

<sup>8</sup> National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research Limited, Wellington, New Zealand

<sup>9</sup> Helmholtz Young Investigator Group ARJEL – Arctic Jellies, Section Functional Ecology, Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

<sup>10</sup> Marine Zoology, Bremen Marine Ecology, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

<sup>11</sup> University of Lodz, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, Department of Invertebrate Zoology and Hydrobiology, Laboratory of Polar Biology and Oceanobiology, Banacha 12/16, 90-237 Lodz, Poland

<sup>12</sup> University of Amsterdam - Faculty of Science, Amsterdam, Netherlands

<sup>13</sup> Department of Earth, Environmental and Life Sciences (DISTAV), University of Genoa, Corso Europa 26, Genoa, Italy

<sup>14</sup> Italian National Antarctic Museum (MNA, Section of Genoa), University of Genoa, Viale Benedetto XV 5, Genoa, Italy

<sup>15</sup> Thuenen Institute of Sea Fisheries, Herwigstrasse, Bremerhaven, Germany

<sup>16</sup> School of Ocean and Earth Science, National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK

<sup>17</sup> Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Am Alten Hafen 26, 27568 Bremerhaven, Germany

## ABSTRACT

Crustaceans are an important component in the diet of numerous predators of the Southern Ocean (water masses located south of the Subtropical Front). As identifying crustaceans from food samples using conventional methods is not easy, a crustacean guide is complied here to aid scientists working on trophic relationships within the Southern Ocean. Having the needs of the scientists in mind, we gathered information from > 100 species from 53 families of the most relevant crustaceans in the diet of subantarctic and

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is an international effort under the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research (SCAR) programs, expert and action groups, namely SCAR AnT-ERA, SCAR AntEco, SCAR EGBAMM and ICED. Support was also provided by the Portuguese Polar Program PROPOLAR, University of Coimbra, British Antarctic Survey, Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé du Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique, the Italian National Antarctic Museum (MNA) and the Alfred Wegener Institute. JX was supported by the FCT program (IF/00616/2013) and this study benefited from the strategic program of MARE, financed by FCT (MARE-UIDB/04292/2020). Shane T. Ahyong, Francoise Antonutti, Federico Betti, Charles Oliver Coleman, Martin

Antarctic meso- and top predators, including information on distribution, their relevance in predator diets, sizes, availability of allometric equations and practical procedures to differentiate crustacean species within each family. Additional information of bibliography is added if families possess more that the species mentioned in this book. It is noted that a large number of species still has no allometric equations and the taxonomic status has (remains) to be clarified for some species (one or various species).

Collins, Dave Conway, Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz, Claude de Broyer, Miram Gleiber, Hugo Guímaro, Joana Fragão, Eugenia Moreira, Jens Thorvald Høeg, Todd O'Brien, Jamie Oliver, Evgeny A. Pakhomov, Claude Razouls, José Seco, Meike Seefeldt, Anita Slotwinski, Rod Stewart, Sven Tränkner, Jon Waters, Marianne Wootton, Renuka Badhe, Mike Sparrow, Eoghan Griffin, Chandrika Nath, Yan Ropert-Coudert, Eugene Murphy, Rachel Cavanaugh, Nadine Johnston, Huw Griffiths, Jan Strugnell, Carolina Mexias and Bruno Cruz are acknowledged for their help in providing comments, photographs and/or permissions. A very special thanks to Nicolas Raymond (www.boldfrontiers.com) for the brilliant work with the photographs taken in the Smithsonian Institution.

INDEX		MY	
INTRODUCTION	05	AXONO	GURES
PROCEDURE FOR SORTING AND IDENTIFYING CRUSTACEANS	06	EAN T⁄	EAN FI
GENERAL ABREVIATIONS	09	JSTAC	JSTAC
	07	CRI	CRI
THE STRUCTURE OF THE BOOK	11		
SUPERCLASS MULTICRUSTACEA	13		
SUBCLASS COPEPODA	13		
ORDER CALANOIDA		14	138
Family Calanidae		15	139
Family Candaciidae		20	140
Family Clausocalanidae		21	140
Family Euchaetidae		23	141
Family Heterorhabdidae		25	142
Family International		26	142
		20	143
ORDER SIPHONOSTOMATOIDA		30	144
Family Pennellidae		31	145
Family Sphyriidae		33	145
CLASS MALACOSTRACA	34		
ORDER DECAPODA		32	146
Family Acanthephyridae		35	147
Family Crangonidae		37	148
Family Hippolytidae		38	149
Family Hymenosomatidae		_ 40	
Family Lithodidae		41	150
Family Munididae		43	152
Family Nematocarcinidae		45 47	155
Family Pasiphaeidae		48	153
ORDER FUPHAUSIACEA		50	154
Family Euphausiidae		51	154
ORDER AMPHIPODA	65		
SUBORDER GAMMARIDEA		66	160
Family Amathillopsidae		67	161
Family Ampeliscidae		69	161
Family Cyphocarididae		70	162
Family Dexaminidae		72	163
Family Epimeriidae		73	164

#### 4 | CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES

Family Eurytheneidae	-	75 165
Family Eusiridae	•	77 166
Family Lilieborojidae	•	79 168
Family Lysianassidae		R0 168
Family Dedicerotidae		R4 172
Family Phoxocenhalidae		85 173
Family Scopelocheiridae		R6 173
Family Stegocephalidae		87 174
Family Stepothoidae		38
Family Uristidae		89 174
SUBORDER HYPERIIDEA	ç	92 177
Family Cyllopodidae		93 178
Family Hyperiidae		95 178
Family Phrosinidae	10	182
Family Vibiliidae	10	03 183
SUBORDER SENTICAUDATA	1(	)4 184
Family Callioniidae	1(	)5 185
Family Ischvroceridae	1(	)7
Family Photidae	1(	)9
Family Podoceridae	11	10
Family Pontogeneiidae	11	11 186
ORDER ISOPODA	11	17 191
Family Aegidae	11	18 192
Family Arcturididae	12	20 192
Family Chaetiliidae	12	21 193
Family Serolidae	12	22 194
Family Sphaeromatidae	12	24 195
ORDER LOPHOGASTRIDA	12	25 196
Family Gnathophausiidae	12	26 197
	17	100
OKDER MYSIDA	12	28 198 20 100
	l2	29 199
	13	52 200
SUBCLASS THECOSTRACA	133	
ORDER LEPADIFORMES	1.	33 201
Family Lepadidae	13	34 202
CLASS OSTRACODA	135	
ORDER MYODOCOPIDA	13	35 203
Family Cypridinidae	13	36 204
TABLES	205	
REFERENCES	209	

#### INTRODUCTION

Crustaceans play a key role in the Antarctic marine ecosystems, being a main energy link between primary production and meso- and top predators (Laws 1984, Everson 2000, Knox 2007, Murphy et al. 2012). They are consumed by a wide range of predators including squid, fish, seabirds and marine mammals (Nemoto 1970, Croxall et al. 1985b, Cherel & Klages 1998, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Collins & Rodhouse 2006).

A considerable effort has been put into providing information on the taxonomy of Antarctic crustaceans on a morphological base (Kirkwood 1984, Fischer & Hureau 1985, Boltovskoy 1999, De Broyer et al. 2007, De Broyer et al. 2014). However, there is a need to have a crustacean guide for the Southern Ocean (defined here as south of the Subtropical Front) focused particularly on aiding marine ecologists who work on feeding ecology of Antarctic and subantarctic predators. This is particularly relevant, as more information on several crustaceans has become available and an up-to-date Antarctic guide is highly desirable. Furthermore, access to taxonomists is often the limiting factor in prey species identification; worldwide there has been a decline in the number of trained taxonomists (Pearson et al. 2011).

Here, we specifically aim to describe the main crustacean taxa (see below) preyed upon by key top predators of the Southern Ocean in order to assist scientists and students interested in identifying crustaceans. Special emphasis was placed on the identification of digested prey from some key features because, in most cases, digestion precludes identification from whole intact specimens. However, crustacean exoskeleton is relatively resistant to digestive processes, thus generally allowing identification at the species level of almost all the main swarming crustaceans that form the bulk of the food of consumers in the Southern Ocean. Indeed, as durophagous predators are almost entirely absent in the Southern Ocean, crustaceans are generally swallowed without being chewed which helps their morphological recognition in stomach contents (Aronson & Blake 2001). A review of the available allometric regressions is also provided in order to relate crustacean body parts to total length or mass as well as a review of the predators feeding on those crustacean species.

## PROCEDURE FOR SORTING AND IDENTIFYING CRUS-TACEANS IN SOUTHERN OCEAN FEEDING ECOLOGY STUDIES

The methods applied to feeding ecology studies that include crustaceans vary widely according to the predator studied. Stomach contents or scat analyses continue to provide a valuable source of information on predator - crustacean relationships, where crustaceans are found still in identifiable condition. Although these analyses are hamstrung by differential identification difficulties of prey items (e.g. rapid digestion/disintegration of soft-bodied and small crustaceans, and the short-term dietary snapshot provided due to short digestion times), high quality analysis of stomach contents is an essential part of food-web understanding. This is because such analyses provide a high level of taxonomic context to predator-prey relationships not yet duplicated by other methods (Young et al. 2015). Moreover, identification of prey provides the ground-truthing for many of the more contemporary methodologies that follow.

Crustaceans are more easily digestible in comparison with organisms that possess harder parts (e.g. squid beaks, fish bones and otoliths). Therefore it is important to be informed about potential biases according to the objectives of the study (e.g. how different types of food are digested at different rates, how these biases may affect the quantification and interpretation of your results, what can be done to compensate such biases) (Imber 1973, Croxall et al. 1985a, Brown & Klages 1987). Ideally, samples should be processed in as much detail as possible as soon after collection as practicable (Brown & Klages 1987). This is especially critical for crustaceans to avoid further degradation. Indeed, crustaceans from frozen samples are usually in much worse conditions than fresh material. If considering keeping the sample in ethanol, do so after separating the components of the sample (see below). One positive aspect of frozen material is that colour of the crustaceans can be used to help differentiate closely related species (e.g. the mostly red cephalothorax of Euphausia triacantha).

Using a complete food sample (avoid subsamples if possible, to avoid biases if your sample is not homogeneous) from a penguin as an example, the stomach sample should be analysed after having been weighed and the overall mass recorded. Empty it into a large tray, rinse thoroughly and re-weigh it (without the liquid). All components should be sorted into categories (e.g. crustaceans, cephalopods, fish) and weighed separately. These remains can be sorted into digestive states (e.g. for Antarctic predator fish diet studies, the states of digestion used are: fresh, slightly digested, moderately digested and digested [Stevens et al. 2014]). The most highly digested state is eliminated from detailed analyses (e.g. only very rarely, exoskeleton fragments of crustacean species [e.g. Eurythenes gryllus, Pasiphaea sp. or Gnathophausia sp.] may accumulate [Ridoux 1994]) although it can still provide useful information. Fresh prey items may also be eliminated if they have been deemed to be consumed whilst being caught (e.g. feeding in the net or eating prey already caught on the longline). Also, be aware that some crustaceans (secondary prey) might have been consumed by other larger prey, also caught by the predator (e.g. fresh scavenging amphipods found in the diet of an icefish that was eaten by a toothfish Dissostichus spp.). Depending on the objectives of the study, those old/ secondarily ingested crustaceans may not be included in the results (e.g. for studies aimed at assessing the targeted daily prey consumed by a predator) (Plötz 1986, Skinner & Klages 1994, Cherel et al. 2002c). To retrieve very small prey remains such as crustacean eyes, small squid beaks, and fish otoliths, either they should be searched initially in a tray (e.g. for very small prey,

such as copepods, or small prey items [e.g. crustacean eyes]) and/or use sieves (e.g. 5.6 mm, 1.0 mm and/or 0.55 mesh diameter, to remove fluids). If a plastic bag was used to keep the food sample, please pay attention to the material in the bottom of the bag, as otoliths and beaks are regularly found there. If needed, subsampling is a time-effective way to estimate the number of the commonest crustacean prey in stomach contents containing large numbers of small prey (e.g. 130,000 copepods in a single stomach content of Salvin's prion; Ridoux 1994). Counts often rely on the number of eyes for euphausiid numbers, and of anterior (including eyes) or posterior (including telson and uropods) body parts for hyperiid amphipods.

The key aspect of identifying crustaceans is to be able to differentiate each individual crustacean and to find their potential diagnostic parts (e.g. carapaces, antennae, mandibles, eyes). Digested material is often identifiable by reference to intact material in the same sample. Having a good reference collection of crustaceans for your study area is vital. Identifying crustaceans from stomach contents of predators can be an arduous task that requires spending considerable time analyzing the material at hand, comparing it with reference collections and using guides. Be aware that even using this guide, it is extremely important to get expert advice, before attributing a name to a crustacean. Indeed, it is common to send material to experts to check crustacean identifications. Do please cite the sources of your identifications (e.g. books, identification guides, research papers, collections for research institutes, private collections) in your publications. Indeed, it is recommended in keeping a voucher collection in a permanent collection so that identifications can be verified (and related to other studies) in the future.

The following indices are usually measured to assess the importance of crustaceans in diet studies: Frequency of occurrence, as well as Number and Mass (Croxall 1993, Ridoux 1994, Cortés 1997, Xavier et al. 2002, Barrett et al. 2007, Ratcliffe & Trathan 2011, Karnovsky et al. 2012) [The frequency of occurrence (%) of crustaceans in the diet (number of stomach samples with a certain crustacean species present divided by the total number of stomach samples analyzed), the proportion (%) of individuals of a species (number of individuals of a certain species divided by the total number of individuals) and the contribution to the diet by estimated mass (%) (estimated mass, M, of all individuals of a certain crustacean species divided by the

total estimated mass for all crustaceans)]. It is also desirable to obtain as much information as possible about the prey, such as size (e.g. through the measurement of total length, carapace length or eyes [and use allometric equations to estimate total length]), sex and reproductive status (e.g. juvenile, sub-adult or adult). As numerous Antarctic top predators feed on Antarctic krill Euphausia superba, it is important to have this information from randomly selected individuals, from each sample. In digested samples (especially in scats), in order to obtain an adequate sample of individuals measured, the length of the removed carapace can be used (Hill 1990, Reid & Measures 1998). In extreme cases, the only undigested crustacean material remaining may be the eyes or other appendages, and their diameters can be measured to provide an estimate of total length (but check prior to the study if allometric equations are available for the crustaceans found in your samples) (Ridoux 1994, Reid et al. 1997b, Green et al. 1998b, Everson 2000, Bocher et al. 2001, Marschoff et al. 2008). The taxonomy follows WoRMS (World Register of Marine Species; http://www. marinespecies.org/ [WoRMS Editorial Board 2016]) and must be checked for updated taxonomy.

## GENERAL ABREVIATIONS



Figure 1. Abbreviated terminology of the main measurements in crustaceans (Siegel 2016) (Copyright permission from Springer). See details in list below.

AT = Total Body Length (mm); total body length is from the anterior margin of the eye to the tip of the telson excluding the terminal spine (usually applied to *Euphausia superba*) (Everson 2000, Siegel 2016).

BM = Body Mass wet weight (g),

BMs = Body Mass wet weight (mg),

BMdw = Body Mass dry weight (mg),

BL = Body Length (also known as Total length [TL]) (in cm or mm); body length is from the anterior tip of the rostrum to the posterior end of the telson, excluding setae,

ThL = Thoracic length (in cm or mm); Thoracic length is from the base of the rostrum to the midline dorsal posterior limit of the carapace of the cephalothorax,

CL = Carapace Length (mm); carapace length is from the tip of the rostrum to the mid-dorsal posterior edge of carapace; for decapod research, the post-orbital carapace length (PCL) is also used, from the anterior margin of the eye orbit to the mid-dorsal posterior edge of the carapace; both the CL of larvae and adults as well as TL are often measured from the base of the rostrum/rostral spine to the posterolateral margin of the carapace (as in decapods the rostral spines in specimens, found in the diet of predators, are often damaged).

> DW = Dry Weight (mg) ED = Eye Diameter (mm) EH = Eye Height (mm) (EH = ED;

For crustaceans species with round eyes [e.g. *E. superba*], the used term by scientists is "Eye Diameter" [ED]. For other euphausiids with elongated eyes, such as in *Thysanoessa* sp., some scientists also used the term "Eye Height" [EH])

RCL = Removed Carapace Length (mm); carapace is dissected off, placed dorsal side down on the microscope stage, and measured (providing that the dorsal midline had not been torn)

S1 = Standard Length 1 (mm) for Euphausiacea; S1 length is the lateral or dorsal distance between the anterior tip of the rostrum and the posterior end of the uropods, excluding their terminal setae (Mauchline 1980, Siegel 2016); considering the accuracy of measurements, for euphausiids S1 length can probably be regarded as identical to BL length;

S3 = Standard Length 3 (mm) for Euphausiacea; S3 length is the lateral distance between the anterior lateral edge of the carapace and the posterior margin of the 6th abdominal segment (Mauchline 1980)

TLt = Telson Length (cm)

UL = Uropod Length (mm); total length of uropods, excluding setae.



Figure 1.1. A schematic diagram to illustrate the average sizes of some of crustaceans found in the Southern Ocean, from Calanoida (smaller organisms) to Decapoda (larger organisms).

## THE STRUCTURE OF THE BOOK

Crustaceans are separated by superclasses, subclasses, orders, suborders and alphabetically by their respective families. The scale was put in the photographs, when possible, as below:



## ANIMALIA (= METAZOA)

PHYLUM ARTHROPODA Van Siebold, 1848

SUBPHYLUM CRUSTACEA Brünnich, 1772

# CRUSTACEAN TAXONOMY

## SUPERCLASS MULTICRUSTACEA

Regier, Shultz, Zwick, Hussey, Ball, Wetzer, Martin & Cunningham, 2010

#### SUBCLASS COPEPODA

Milne-Edwards, 1840

Pelagic copepods are a key component of the zooplankton fauna in the Southern Ocean, being numerically the dominant group with huge biomass (Kouwenberg et al. 2014). The below list of species encountered in the stomach contents of Southern Ocean predators is not exhaustive. Taking into account that there are 388 species in the Antarctic and Subantarctic regions, others are likely to be found in new studies concerning predators' diets. Indeed, some species are rare endemics, others living at depths below 1000 m are not encountered by visual predators. However, squid and fish may forage at greater depths that allow these copepods to be part of their food. Some large meso-bathypelagic species (prosome length 4-6 mm), making up more than 40% of total copepod biomass together with the species below include: Heterostylites nigrotinctus (Brady, 1918); Mixtocalanus vervoorti (Park, 1980); Onchocalanus paratrigoniceps Park, 1983; Onchocalanus wolfendeni Vervoort, 1950; Scaphocalanus antarcticus Park, 1982; Scaphocalanus parantarcticus Park, 1982. Other species than those listed, showing fair abundance

during productive periods include epipelagic *Clausocalanus brevipes* (Frost & Fleminger 1968), and other Clausocalanidae occuring in the Subantarctic region, the widespread *Oithona atlantica* (Farran,1908), *O. similis*-group (Claus 1866), and other epipelagic Oithonidae, and more species from the widespread families Euchaetidae, Heterorhabdidae and Oncaeidae.

These are very likely to be encountered by visual predators. A list of all described Antarctic copepods can be found at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls. fr/loc.php?loc=4, and of the Subantarctic at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/loc. php?loc=3.

# **ORDER CALANOIDA**

Sars G. O., 1903

- » FAMILY CALANIDAE DANA, 1849
- » FAMILY CANDACIIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893
- » FAMILY CLAUSOCALANIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893
- » FAMILY EUCHAETIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893
- » FAMILY HETERORHABDIDAE SARS G.O., 1902
- » FAMILY METRIDINIDAE SARS G.O., 1902
- » FAMILY RHINCALANIDAE GELETIN, 1976

#### FAMILY CALANIDAE DANA, 1849

Existing species that can be found are:

Calanoides acutus (Giesbrecht, 1902) Calanus propinquus Brady, 1883 Figure 2 | page 139 Calanus simillimus Giesbrecht, 1902 Figure 3 | page 139

*Calanoides acutus* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, from the Antarctic continent ice-edge to the Subtropical Front (Kouwenberg et al. 2014). As a dominant herbivore in the Southern Ocean, *C. acutus* is present in the diet of numerous predators, including fish, snow petrels, blue petrels, cape pigeons, fairy prions, Antarctic prions, common diving petrels and South Georgian diving petrels (Hubold 1985, Foster et al. 1987, Kellermann 1987, Croxall et al. 1988, Montgomery et al. 1989, Hubold & Ekau 1990, Prince & Copestake 1990, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Ridoux 1994, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995b, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Croxall et al. 1997, Reid et al. 1997a, Reid et al. 1997b, Bocher et al. 2000a, La Mesa et al. 2000, Bocher et al. 2001, Cherel et al. 2002a, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Barrera-Oro & Piacentino 2007, Collins et al. 2008, Shreeve et al. 2009, Fijn et al. 2012, Saunders et al. 2014).

*Calanus propinquus* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, from the Antarctic continent ice-edge to the Subtropical Front (Kouwenberg et al. 2014). Similarly to *C. acutus, C. propinquus* is a dominant herbivore in the Southern Ocean, and is present in the diet of numerous predators, including fish, squid, Antarctic prions, fairy prions, Wilson's storm petrels, common diving petrels and South Georgian diving petrels (Hubold 1985, Foster et al. 1987, Kellermann 1987, Croxall et al. 1988, Montgomery et al. 1989, Hubold & Ekau 1990, Kellermann 1990, Prince & Copestake 1990, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1994, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995b, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Croxall et al. 1997, Reid et al. 1997a, Reid et al. 1997b, La Mesa et al. 2000, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Pusch et al. 2004, Barrera-Oro & Piacentino 2007, Collins et al. 2008, Shreeve et al. 2009, Pinkerton et al. 2013, Saunders et al. 2014).

*Calanus simillimus* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, from the Antarctic continent ice-edge to the Subtropical Front (Kouwenberg et al. 2014). Similarly

to *C. acutus* and *C. propinquus*, *C. simillimus* is a dominant herbivore in the Southern Ocean, and is present in the diet of numerous predators, including fish, white-chinned petrels, blue petrels, Antarctic prions, fairy prions, Salvin's prions, thin billed prions, Wilson's storm petrels, common diving petrels, South Georgian diving petrels, fin whales and Sei whales (Nemoto 1962, Nemoto 1970, Mizroch et al. 1984, Croxall et al. 1988, North & Ward 1990, Prince & Copestake 1990, Ridoux 1994, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Croxall et al. 1997, Reid et al. 1997b, Bocher et al. 2000a, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Bushula et al. 2005, Knox 2007, Collins et al. 2008, Shreeve et al. 2009, Quillfeldt et al. 2010, Saunders et al. 2014).



## Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Figure 4 A. The general structures of a calanoid copepod and mouthparts.



Figure 4 B. The details are provided of the structure of calanoid swimming leg, showing the maximum setation of a second leg. System of spine and setal description, used in the family descriptions, is given in the box (Boltovskoy 1999) (Copyright permission from Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands).

Identification keys for adult males and females in Razouls (1994) and Bradford-Grieve et al. (1999). *Calanoides acutus* females (total length range: 4.0-6.2 mm [Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999] and 3.5-5.7 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/ fichesp.php?sp=493]) have an Antenna 1 that extends beyond caudal rami (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999) while in males (very rarely to be encountered and the only known size reported for males is 4.6 mm total length [Giesbrecht 1902]), the right Leg 5 Endopod 1 and Endopod 2 have 1 inner seta each (Bradford-Grieve

et al. 1999). Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http://copepodes. obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=493. *Calanus propinquus* females (total length: 4.2-6.5 mm [Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999] and 4.8-6.0 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https:// copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=509]) have the seta of Antenna 1 segment 23 longer than the last 8 segments while in males (total length: 4.75-5.3 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=509]), the right Leg 5

Exopod extends less than half way along the left Exopod 2; the right Leg 5 is about half the length of the left (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). This species is sometimes confused with Calanus simillimus but it can be recognised by its larger size. The mean female size is 5.26 mm (n = 15; SD = 0.3200), and the mean male size is 5.10 mm (n = 5; SD = 0.2424) (Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=509). Leg 5, compared with C. simillimus, has differently arranged teeth along the inner edge of the 1st basal segment; each segment has a curved row of 15 small teeth and a basal group of 3 much bigger teeth; the outer edge spine on the 3rd exopodal segment divides the margin in proportions of 5:3. Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http:// copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=509. Calanus simillimus females (total length: 2.5-3.8 mm [Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999] and 2.5-3.97 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=510]) have the seta of Antenna 1 segment 23 shorter than the last 7 segments while in males (total length: 2.62-3.42 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=510]), the right Leg 5 Exopod extends less than half way along left Exopod 2; right Leg 5 is about half as long as the left (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). This species is sometimes confused with Calanus propinquus. It can be recognised, however, by its smaller size. The mean female size is 3.28 mm (n = 22; SD = 0.4063) and the mean male size is 3.23 mm (n = 11; SD = 0.2642. Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/ en/fichesp.php?sp=510.

## FAMILY CANDACIIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893

#### Existing species that can be found is:

#### Candacia maxima Vervoort, 1957 Figure 5 | page 140

*Candacia maxima* is a mesopelagic species, distributed in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, extending north of the Subtropical Front (Kouwenberg et al. 2014), but preferentially thought to be subantarctic (Vervoort 1957). It was identified once in the diet of blue petrels (Cherel et al. 2002b).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Identification keys for adult males and females in Razouls (1994). It is a mediumsized species (total length for adult females: 3.5-4.0 mm). Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=544.

### FAMILY CLAUSOCALANIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Drepanopus pectinatus Brady, 1883 Figure 6 | page 140 Drepanopus forcipatus Giesbrecht, 1888 Figure 7 | page 141

Drepanopus pectinatus has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, from the Antarctic continent ice-edge to the Subtropical Front (Kouwenberg et al. 2014), particularly in the inshore waters of Crozet, Kerguelen and Heard Islands (Bayly 1982, Hulsemann 1985). D. pectinatus is an endemic of the Kerguelen Province in the subantarctic Region. Its absence from Prince Edward and Marion Islands supports Brigg's supposition that these islands constitute a separate province (Briggs 1974). Similarly to C. acutus, C. propinquus and C. simillimus, D. pectinatus is a dominant herbivore in the Southern Ocean, and is present in the diet of blue petrels, Salvin's prions, thin billed prions, common diving petrels, South Georgian diving petrels and Sei whales (Nemoto 1970, Mizroch et al. 1984, Ridoux 1994, Bocher et al. 2000a, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b).

Drepanopus forcipatus is distributed in Antarctic (at South Georgia) and subantarctic waters, in the Magellanic/Tierra del Fuego region (including Falkland Islands) and in Pacific waters (Bayly 1982, Hulsemann 1985) but extends considerably further north along the west coast of the continent (Razouls et al., 2005-2020). This species is particularly important in the diet of larval fish from *Champsocephalus gunnari*, *Chaenocephalus aceratus*, Notothenia nudifrons and N. gibberifrons (North & Ward 1990).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Identification keys for adult males and females are available (Razouls 1994, Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). *Drepanopus pectinatus* can be confused with *D. forcipatus* (Hulsemann 1985), but their biogeographical distributions do not overlap. Both are small species (*D. pectinatus* females: 1.5-2.7 mm [Hulsemann 1985] and 1.78-3.00 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=613]; *D. forcipatus* females: 1.8-2.7 mm [Hulsemann 1985] and 1.39-2.74 mm [https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/

fichesp.php?sp=612]). The forehead of males and females of *D. pectinatus*, in lateral view, is smooth and vaulted whereas for *D. forcipatus* it is flat and has a prominent, knob-like rostrum (Hulsemann 1985). Also, the base of the second antenna is naked in *D. pectinatus* whereas in *D. forcipatus*, it carries proximally a row of curved, presumably sensory, spines on its posterior side (Hulsemann 1985). *D. forcipatus* can be readily distinguished from *D. pectinatus* by the curve of the P5 female. Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list for *D. pectinatus* are available at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=613, and for *D. forcipatus* at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=612.

## FAMILY EUCHAETIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893

#### Existing species that can be found are:

#### Euchaeta spp.

Paraeuchaeta antarctica Giesbrecht, 1902 Figure 8 | page 141

Paraeuchaeta antarctica (= Euchaeta antarctica Giesbrecht, 1902 [WoRMS 2014b]) has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic, subantarctic and subtropical waters, with its distribution extending from the Antarctic continent ice-edge to the Subtropical Front (Kouwenberg et al. 2014). The species is abundant in some coastal areas. It is a large carnivorous copepod, moving rapidly towards the surface at sunset, and is capable of covering considerable vertical distances in a short time; during daytime it is completely absent from the surface. P. antarctica is present in the diet of fish, squid, macaroni penguins, rockhopper penguins, blue petrels, Antarctic prions, thin billed prions, common diving petrels and South Georgian diving petrels (Hubold 1985, Williams 1985, Montgomery et al. 1989, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Bocher et al. 2000a, Bocher et al. 2000b, La Mesa et al. 2000, Tremblay & Cherel 2000, Bocher et al. 2001, Bocher et al. 2002, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel & Duhamel 2003, Tremblay & Cherel 2003, Collins et al. 2008, Waluda et al. 2012, Pinkerton et al. 2013). It is noticeably a significant prey of the inshore foragers rockhopper penguins and common diving petrels at Kerguelen Islands, with the two predators feeding on different developmental stages (Bocher et al. 2002).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Identification keys for adult males and females are available (Razouls 1994, Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). *Paraeuchaeta* spp. can be easily identified by the presence of a pair of strong raptorial maxillipeds, but species identification within the genus is notoriously difficult. Adult female *Paraeuchaeta antarctica* reach a large size (females: 7.5-9.8 mm [Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999] and 6.51-10.40 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https:// copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=742]); they have an orange colour and, if

present blue ovigerous sacs, A1, A2 and mouthparts are deep red (with colour often preserved after fixation). Description: serrate lamella of Leg 5 Exopod 2 not short, hair tubercle not rounded but tapering into long spiniform process (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/ en/fichesp.php?sp=742.

#### FAMILY HETERORHABDIDAE SARS G.O., 1902

## Existing species that can be found is:

#### Heterorhabdus austrinus Giesbrecht, 1902 Figure 9 | page 142

*Heterorhabdus austrinus* is distributed in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, extending its distribution to subtropical waters and potentially further north (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999, Kouwenberg et al. 2014). *H. austrinus* is present in the diet of squid, fish and blue petrels (Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995b, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel & Duhamel 2003). It is a meso-bathypelagic species and a member of the so-called "*abyssalis*" group (Park 2000).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Identification keys for adult males and females in Razouls (1994) and Bradford-Grieve et al. (1999). Heterorhabdus austrinus females (total length: 3.0-3.9 mm [Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999] and 2.40-4.05 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/ en/fichesp.php?sp=835]) have the genital somite, in dorsal view, with both lateral borders undulating, more pronounced on left (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). H. austrinus males (total length: 2.5-3.6 mm [https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=835]) have right Leg 5 inner lobe arising from proximal part of segment by narrow stalk (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). Vervoort (1957) suggested that this species could be identical to H. pustulifer but this was contested (Bradford 1971). It has been suggested that H. austrinus is very close in habitus and details of the appendages to H. abyssalis (Park 2000) but can be distinguished from it by the genital somite, of which the posterior edge of the left genital flange meets the ventral wall of the somite in a characteristic notch in the H. abyssalis female, and in the male by the Leg 5 of which the right 3rd exopodal segment has a long terminal spine and the outer spine of the left 2nd exopodal segment is not borne on a conical process in H. abyssalis. Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http://copepodes. obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=835.

## FAMILY METRIDINIDAE SARS G.O., 1902

#### Existing species that can be found are:

#### Metridia gerlachei Giesbrecht, 1902 Figure 10 | page 142 Metridia lucens Boeck, 1865

Metridia gerlachei is distributed in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, with its distribution extending from the Antarctic continent ice-edge to the Subtropical Front (Kouwenberg et al. 2014). M. gerlachei is present in the diet of fish (Hubold 1985, Foster et al. 1987, Kellermann 1987, Montgomery et al. 1989, Hubold & Ekau 1990, Kellermann 1990, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995b, Pakhomov et al. 1996a, Hubold & Hagen 1997, La Mesa et al. 2000, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Pusch et al. 2004, Barrera-Oro & Piacentino 2007, Collins et al. 2008, Pinkerton et al. 2013). This typical cold-water species differs from Calanus propinquus and Calanoides acutus in several respects. Schnack-Schiel & Hagen (1994) found that M. gerlachei did not inhabit the upper 50 m in the summer, while the other species concentrated there. The species is found preferentially in deeper waters (Ottestad, 1936; Michels et al., 2012). Its distribution is patchy, while the two Calanidae are more evenly distributed. Vertical migrations of M. gerlachei are considerable (epi- to bathypelagic). C. acutus is epi-mesopelagic and also a less pronounced cold water species. Its highest densities are found between the isotherms for -1° and -2°C, but high concentrations are also found up to +3°C water (Ottestad, 1936; Mackintosh, 1934). C. propinquus is mainly epipelagic. Both C. acutus and C. propinquus are usually found south of the Antarctic Polar Front (Tanaka, 1960; Bradford-Grieve, 1994), only occasionally north of this boundary. Schnack-Schiel & Hagen (1994) described seasonal variations in distribution and population structure for these three species in the Eastern Weddell Sea. M. gerlachei and C. acutus were most abundant in April, while highest concentrations for C. propinquus were reached in February. Younger stages (CI and CII) of M. gerlachei prevailed in autumn, while those of C. acutus and C. propinguus prevailed in summer.

*Metridia lucens* is a cosmopolitan species common in warm waters (subantarctic and subtropical waters, particularly in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans), penetrating far South into Antarctic waters. Widely distributed in the World Oceans, it is also present in the northern hemisphere (Atkinson et al. 1996, Errhif et al. 1997, Hays et al. 1998, Bradford-

Grieve et al. 1999, Visser et al. 2001). *M. lucens* is present in the diet of squid and fish (Young & Blaber 1986, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Williams et al. 2001, Cherel & Duhamel 2003, Bushula et al. 2005).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Identification keys for adult males and females in Razouls (1994) and Bradford-Grieve et al. (1999). Metridia gerlachei females (total length: 2.2-3.2 mm [Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999] and 3.25-4.30 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/ fichesp.php?sp=980]) have rounded posterior prosome corners; caudal rami about 3.5 times as long as wide, whereas males (total length: 2.16-2.70 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https:// copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=980]) have the Leg 5 with 3 inner spines on penultimate segment (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=980. Metridia lucens females (total length: 1.9-4.0 m [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/ fichesp.php?sp=984]) have pointed posterior prosome corners; caudal rami twice as long as wide. The males (total length: 1.5-3.0 mm [Razouls et al., 2005-2020, https://copepodes. obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=984]) also have pointed posterior corners of the prosome (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). There is confusion between this species and M. pacifica. However, the latter does not occur in the subantarctic and Antarctic regions. Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp. php?sp=984.

#### FAMILY RHINCALANIDAE GELENTIN, 1976

Existing species that can be found are:

*Rhincalanus gigas* **Brady, 1883** Figure 11 | page 143 BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.18 (n=20) (0.70-0.85 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

Any other calanoids BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.024 (n=168) (0.22-0.36 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

Rhincalanus gigas is distributed in Antarctic, subantarctic and subtropical waters, with its distribution extending from the Antarctic continent ice-edge to the Subtropical Front (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999, Kouwenberg et al. 2014). R. gigas is present in the diet of fish, macaroni penguins, blue petrels, white-chinned petrels, cape pigeons, Antarctic prions, Salvin's prions, fairy prions, Wilson's storm petrels, common diving petrels and South Georgian diving petrels (Hubold 1985, Williams 1985, Kellermann 1987, Croxall et al. 1988, North & Ward 1990, Prince & Copestake 1990, Ridoux 1994, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995b, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Croxall et al. 1997, Hubold & Hagen 1997, Reid et al. 1997a, Reid et al. 1997b, Bocher et al. 2000a, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Pusch et al. 2004, Bushula et al. 2005, Barrera-Oro & Piacentino 2007, Collins et al. 2008, Main et al. 2009, Shreeve et al. 2009, Waluda et al. 2012, Saunders et al. 2014). This species is subject to a seasonal vertical migration (Ommanney 1936), so that the winter months are passed in the relatively warm intermediate water layer. It is likely that the developmental stages are carried northwards in Antarctic surface waters. At the approach of sexual maturity and near the northern limit of its area of distribution it sinks to the warmer, southerly flowing intermediate water layers.

## Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Identification keys for adult males and females in Razouls (1994) and Bradford-Grieve et al. (1999). *Rhincalanus gigas* are easy to identify due to their large size and the possession of Antenna 1 much longer than the body. Females (total length: 7.5-10.0 mm) lack dorsal spines on pedigerous somites 3 and 4 (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). *R. gigas* males are larger than 6.5 mm (Bradford-Grieve et al. 1999). Full species details including taxonomic identification plates, photographs, dimensions, biogeography, ecology and reference list are available at: http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/fichesp.php?sp=706.

# ORDER SIPHONOSTOMATOIDA Thorell, 1859

A group of parasitic copepods that are found in prey of top predators, particularly fish.

» FAMILY PENNELLIDAE BURMEISTER, 1835

» FAMILY SPHYRIIDAE WILSON C.B., 1919

## FAMILY PENNELLIDAE BURMEISTER, 1835

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Phrixocephalus carcellesi Brian, 1944 Sarcotretes eristaliformis Brian, 1908 Sarcotretes scopeli Jungersen, 1911 Figure 12 | page 145

*Phrixocephalus carcellesi* (a parasitic copepod of fish) is distributed in subantarctic waters, around the southern tip of South America (Brian 1944, Boxshall 1989). It is present in the diet of king shag *Phalacrocorax albiventer* (Boxshall 1989). The only known host of *P. carcellesi* is the southern hake *Merluccius gayi* (Brian 1944).

*Sarcotretes eristaliformis* (a parasitic copepod of fish) is distributed in subantarctic, subtropical and tropical waters, extending its distribution worldwide in the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific oceans, particularly in the Atlantic (Kazachenko & Titar 1985, Hogans 1988, Boxshall 1998, Cherel & Boxshall 2004). Female *S. eristaliformis* is present in the diet of king penguins and white chinned petrels (Cherel & Boxshall 2004, Delord et al. 2010).

Sarcotretes scopeli (a parasitic copepod of fish) is distributed in subantarctic, subtropical and tropical waters, extending its distribution worldwide in the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific oceans, particularly in the north Atlantic and Pacific oceans (Boxshall 1989, Boxshall 1998, Cherel & Boxshall 2004). S. scopeli is present in the diet of king penguins (Cherel et al. 2002c, Cherel & Boxshall 2004). S. scopeli parasitizes myctophid fishes of the genus Protomyctophum (Cherel & Boxshall 2004).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Pennellids typically live with their cephalic holdfast embedded in their fish hosts: in *Phrixocephalus* the holdfast is complex with branching processes set at an angle to the trunk, whereas in *Sarcotretes* the holdfast lies on the same axis as the elongate trunk and comprises 2 or 3 simple processes. *Sarcotretes eristaliformis* (for females) body is up to approximately 45 mm or longer (about twice the length of *S. scopeli*), the neck is about as long as trunk and

finally, a vestige of leg 4 (intercoxal sclerite) is present (Cherel & Boxshall 2004, Uyeno et al. 2012). *Sarcotretes scopeli* (for females) body is up to approximately 25 mm long, the neck is shorter than trunk and the leg 4 is absent (Cherel & Boxshall 2004, Uyeno et al. 2012). Other pennellid genera have been found, e.g. in scats of elephant seals (Geoff Boxshall, pers. comm.).
# FAMILY SPHYRIIDAE WILSON C.B., 1919

# Existing species that can be found is:

#### Sphyrion lumpi (Krøyer, 1845) Figure 13 | page 145

Sphyrion lumpi (a parasitic copepod of fish) lives with its head and neck embedded in its host and is most likely to have been ingested with the host. It is widely distributed in the North and South Atlantic, and into the Antarctic (Ho 1992, Moran & Piasecki 1994, Ridoux 1994, Rohde et al. 1998, Walter et al. 2002). *S. lumpi* has been found in the diets of wandering albatrosses, northern giant petrels, great winged petrels and grey petrels (Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Cherel & Klages 1998).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Females of *Sphyrion* spp. reach large sizes (up to 60 mm) and their morphology is characteristic, precluding misidentification with the other genera of the family, such as *Lophoura*. The Genus *Sphyrion* is in need of revision.

# CLASS MALACOSTRACA

Latreille, 1802

# ORDER DECAPODA

Latreille, 1802

This order comprises mostly benthic species (e.g. crabs, lobsters, most shrimps) but also species (e.g. some shrimps) that occur in the water column and in symbiotic relationships (Basher & Costello 2014, Griffiths et al. 2014). Indeed, crabs and lobsters are very rarely found south of 60 °S and so far not in waters colder than 0 °C, which has been related to physiological constraints (Griffiths et al. 2014, Aronson et al. 2015a).

- » FAMILY ACANTHEPHYRIDAE SPENCE BATE, 1888
- » FAMILY CRANGONIDAE HAWORTH, 1825
- » FAMILY HIPPOLYTIDAE SPENCE BATE, 1888
- » FAMILY HYMENOSOMATIDAE MACLEAY, 1838
- » FAMILY LITHODIDAE SAMOUELLE, 1819
- » FAMILY MUNIDIDAE AHYONG, BABA, MACPHERSON & POORE, 2010
- » FAMILY NEMATOCARCINIDAE SMITH, 1884
- » FAMILY NEPHROPIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY PASIPHAEIDAE DANA, 1852

# FAMILY ACANTHEPHYRIDAE SPENCE BATE, 1888

# Existing species that can be found are:

Acanthephyra pelagica (Risso, 1816) Figure 14 | page 147 Notostomus auriculatus Barnard, 1950 Figure 15 | page 147

*Acanthephyra pelagica* has a circumpolar distribution in the Southern Ocean (Basher & Costello 2014), and in warmer waters into the northern hemisphere close to the Arctic (Kirkwood 1984, Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987, Gorny 1999), being one of the various species of this family present in the Southern Ocean waters (Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987, Gorny 1999). However, these species are not common in food samples from top predators of the Southern Ocean (Klages et al. 1988, Arkhipkin et al. 2003), with *A. pelagica* present in the diet of fish (Clark 1985, Rosecchi et al. 1988, Arkhipkin et al. 2003, Laptikhovsky 2005, Forman et al. 2016). Total length up to 140 mm (Webber et al. 1990).

*Notostomus auriculatus* is distributed in subantarctic waters, extending its distribution to subtropical and tropical waters (including in northern hemisphere, off Canary Islands) (Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987, Gorny 1999). It was identified only as a rare prey in the diet of yellow-nosed albatrosses and pygmy sperm whales *Kogia breviceps* (Cherel et al. 2002d, Poore 2004) and in deep water fish (Forman et al. 2016). Total length up to 150 mm (Webber et al. 1990).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family



Figure 16 A. Diagram of the general structures in crab decapods (Ahyong 2010) with permission from NIWA.



Figure 16 B. Diagram of the general structures in shrimp decapods (Webber et al. 1990) with permission from Fisheries New Zealand, Ministry for Primary Industries (formerly Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries).

Acanthephyra pelagica has a long and slender rostrum, equal in length to its carapace, with 9 or 10 dorsal teeth being more widely separated distally (Kirkwood 1984). Also, their 3rd to 6th abdominal segments have posterior mid-dorsal spines and a telson with 6-11 pairs of lateral spines (Kirkwood 1984, Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987). The rostrum of *Notostomus auriculatus* reaches beyond the antennal scale and with the dorsal carina of carapace strongly arched and serrated (Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987). Other species of this family in the Southern Ocean include *Hymenodora gracilis* and *Hymenodora glacialis* (Basher & Costello 2014). The rostrum of both these species is short and sharp with about 4 short sharp dorsal spines (Kirkwood 1984). For detailed comparisons, see Basher et al. (2014), Basher and Castello (2014) and De Broyer et al. (2014).

# FAMILY CRANGONIDAE HAWORTH, 1825

## Existing species that can be found is:

*Notocrangon antarcticus* (**Pfeffer, 1887**) Figure 17 | page 148 BL = 6.898 + 4.503 CL (n=122) (in mm) (Arntz & Gorny 1991a)

Notocrangon antarcticus has a circumpolar distribution; it is the only crangonid in Antarctic waters and can also be encountered in subantarctic waters, with reports from the South Atlantic (Tierra del Fuego shelf) (Kirkwood 1984, Arntz & Gorny 1991a, Basher et al. 2014, Basher & Costello 2014). A unique record of *N. antarcticus* was obtained north of the Subtropical Front in Pacific tropical waters (Basher & Costello 2014). *N. antarcticus* is present in the diet of fish, gentoo penguins, grey-headed albatrosses, white-chinned petrels and Weddell seals (Dearborn 1965, Targett 1981, Green & Burton 1987, Williams 1990, Kato et al. 1991, Williams 1991, Kock et al. 1994, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995a, Croxall et al. 1997, Pakhomov 1998b, La Mesa et al. 2000, Lake et al. 2003, Xavier et al. 2003a, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Clarke et al. 2008, Main et al. 2009, Xavier et al. 2017, Xavier et al. 2018a).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Notocrangon antarcticus* has a dorsoventrally compressed carapace which is characteristic, with surface very uneven, covered with ridges and mid-dorsal spines. Rostrum extends beyond anterior edge of eye, laterally compressed, acute and unarmed (Kirkwood 1984). The subchelate Pereopod 1, characteristic of crangonids, will help distinguish this species from other decapods. A very good re-description of *N. antarcticus* is available in Komai et al. (1996).

# FAMILY HIPPOLYTIDAE SPENCE BATE, 1888

Existing species that can be found are:

*Chorismus antarcticus* (**Pfeffer, 1887**) Figure 18 | page 149 BL = 8.436 + 4.739 CL (n=120) (in mm) (Arntz & Gorny 1991b) BM = 0.000943 CL<sup>2.976</sup> (n=35) (Lake et al. 2003)

Nauticaris marionis Spence Bate, 1888 Figure 19 | page 149 BM = 0.0053 BL<sup>3.54</sup> (n=30) (0.3-2.2 cm) (Ridoux 1994) BM = 0.001013 CL<sup>3</sup> (Kuun 1998) CL = -1.0512 + 0.2814 BL (n=187) (2.11-4.81 mm) (Kuun et al. 1999) LnBM = -6.3465 + 3.2870 ED (n=457) (0.08-0.22) (Kuun et al. 1999)

*Chorismus antarcticus* is circumpolarly distributed in Antarctic waters, mostly in inshore waters (most common in < 300 m deep (Zarenkov 1968, Kirkwood & Burton 1988) up to 20 m deep (Stefano Schiaparelli, unpubl. data) and can also be encountered in subantarctic waters (Falkland/Malvinas Islands and in Tierra del Fuego shelf) (Kirkwood 1984, Kirkwood & Burton 1988, Arntz & Gorny 1991a, Gorny 1999, Basher et al. 2014, Basher & Costello 2014), generally in shallower waters than *Notocrangon antarcticus* (Basher et al. 2014). *C. antarcticus* is present in the diet of fish, gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins, black bellied storm petrels and Weddell seals (Dearborn 1965, Green & Burton 1987, Williams 1990, Kato et al. 1991, Williams 1991, Kock et al. 1994, Green et al. 2004a, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Xavier et al. 2018a).

*Nauticaris marionis* is distributed in subantarctic and subtropical waters, from southern New Zealand to the Prince Edward Islands, virtually restricted to the shelf regions (< 100 meters) but occurring up to 550 m deep between Cook Strait and Stewart island, New Zealand (Fenwick 1978, Perissinotto & McQuaid 1990, Branch et al. 1993, Pakhomov et al. 1999, Pakhomov et al. 2000, Poore 2004, de Cook, unpubl. data), reaching total lengths of up to 50-60 mm (Poore 2004) (de Cook, unpubl. data). *N. marionis* is present in the diet of fish, gentoo penguins, king penguins, macaroni penguins, rockhopper penguins, Crozet shags and subantarctic fur seals (Blankley 1982, LaCock et al. 1984, Clark 1985, Adams & Klages 1987, Brown & Klages 1987, Espitalier-Noel et al. 1988, Ridoux 1994, Bushula et al. 2005, Tremblay et al. 2005, Cherel et al. 2007, Luque et al. 2007).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Chorismus antarcticus has a rostrum that is elongate and deep, as long as carapace, with 7-9 dorsal teeth and 6-9 ventral teeth. Carpus of Pereopod 2 with 11 articles (Kirkwood 1984). The carapace has a well-developed antennal spine, a rather small pterygostomian spine and no supraorbital spines (Komai et al. 1996). Nauticaris marionis has a rostrum shorter than the remaining carapace and with more than 8 dorsal teeth and 1 to 4 ventral teeth; eye small; Pereopod 1 short with a large stout chela; Pereopod 2 long with a long slender chela (Branch et al. 1991). The deep, blade-like, rostrum, with 6-11 dorsal teeth running back onto the carapace and 2-3 ventral teeth, is diagnostic (Poore 2004). The last (sixth) segment of the abdomen has a small, moveable, sharp plate posteriorly on each side, which is difficult to see without a microscope, but unique to Nauticaris marionis in the Hippolytidae (de Cook, unpubl. data). The shrimp is transparent in life with irregular diagonal bands of red on the carapace and transverse bands of red on the abdomen. The carapace and abdomen are also tinged with blue and the egg mass is blue-green (de Cook, unpubl. data). Carpus of Pereopod 2 with about 15 articles (Poore 2004). N. marionis should be compared with Nauticaris magellanica from the South Atlantic (Boschi et al. 1992). An additional note for the deepwater benthic species Lebbeus antarcticus (Hale, 1941) that has been found (in low numbers) in Weddell seal stomachs from the Antarctic peninsula (Ward 1985a) and recently Nye et al. (2013) have found it near the South Shetlands. Its description is well illustrated in both papers: L. antarcticus has a short, narrow rostrum with 5-6 dorsal and 1-2 ventral teeth (Ward 1985a, Nye et al. 2013); the carapace has supraorbital, antennal, and pterygostomian spines; carpus of Pereopod 2 with 6-9 articles (Nye et al. 2013).

# FAMILY HYMENOSOMATIDAE MACLAEY, 1838

# Existing species that can be found is:

#### Halicarcinus planatus (Fabricius, 1775)

*Halicarcinus planatus* has a circumpolar distribution in subantarctic waters, in temperate and temperate - cold waters, including around New Zealand, Australian and South American waters (Lucas & Hodgkin 1970, Melrose 1975, Richer de Forges 1977, Gorny 1999, Pohle et al. 1999, Diez & Lovrich 2010), with carapace length reaching 19 mm (females; Auckland Is. and Campbell Is.) and 23.5 mm (males; Campbell Is.) (Garth 1958, Melrose 1975). Its distribution in Antarctic waters is still controversial (Thatje & Arntz 2004). Adults of *H. planatus* are present in the diet of fish, black-browed albatrosses, Kerguelen shags, brown skuas and kelp gulls, while zoeal larvae occur in food samples of thin-billed prions and common diving petrels (Richer de Forges 1977, Bocher et al. 2000a, Bocher et al. 2000b, Cherel et al. 2000, Cherel et al. 2002a, Bushula et al. 2005, Cook et al. 2013).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Halicarcinus planatus is a true crab; it is a small species with a round, smooth and convex carapace, a tri-dentate rostrum and lateral carapace wall with acute spine. For details on adults and on zoeal larvae, see Richer de Forges (1977). So far, *H. planatus* was found only in food samples from seabirds at Kerguelen Islands and from fish samples from Marion Island (see above), where it is the only Brachyura, thus allowing an easy identification.

# FAMILY LITHODIDAE SAMOUELLE, 1819

# Existing species that can be found are:

Neolithodes yaldwyni Figure 20 | page 150 Paralomis birsteini Figure 21 | page 150 Paralomis stevensi Figure 22 | page 151

*Neolithodes yaldwyni* is a large king crab that is only found in the Antarctic Ocean, primarily in the Ross Sea but with records from the Amundsen Sea and the Antarctic Peninsula, with carapace length up to 183 mm (Ahyong 2010, Griffiths et al. 2014). It has not been found in Antarctic toothfish stomachs (Forman and Stevens, unpubl. data) but it is regularly caught during Antarctic toothfish surveys in the Ross Sea and is likely to be a prey item (Ahyong 2010).

*Paralomis birsteini* has a near circum-Antarctic distribution, with records from the Ross Sea in the vicinity of Scott and Balleny Islands, the Crozet and Kerguelen Islands, the Bellingshausen Sea and central Macquarie Ridge with carapace length of up to 89.9 mm (Ahyong 2010, Griffiths et al. 2014, Aronson et al. 2015a). This species has been reported from Antarctic toothfish stomachs (Stevens 2004).

*Paralomis stevensi* is only known from the Ross Sea with one record to date from the Amundsen Sea, with carapace length of up to 96.7 mm (Ahyong 2010). It was originally described from a specimen found in an Antarctic toothfish stomach (Ahyong 2010).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

King crabs are typically distributed in deeper waters off continental shelf depths and they mostly inhabit the seamounts north of the Antarctic continent (with very few records from the Antarctic continental slope) (Thatje & Arntz 2004, Thatje et al. 2008, Aronson et al. 2015b). The three genera that may be encountered in the Southern Ocean are *Lithodes*, *Neolithodes* and *Paralomis*. They can be distinguished e.g. by the overall shape of the carapace, pear-shaped in *Lithodes* and *Neolithodes* and subpentagonal in *Paralomis*, the presence (*Lithodes* and *Neolithodes*) or absence (*Paralomis*) of a deep, longitudinal,

medial fissure on the Sternite 5 (sternite between the first pair of walking legs) and the segmentation of abdominal somites, the second somite being composed of 3 plates or fused to a single plate in *Lithodes*, composed of 5 plates in *Neolithodes* and entire (undivided) in Paralomis (Ahyong 2010). Two other species of Neolithodes are found in the Southern Ocean, N. capensis (most likely just at Kerguelen Plateau; see above) and N. diomedeae (South America and South Georgia Island) but they both have to be considered rare. Neolithodes yaldwyni and N. capensis appear to be closely related with only slight differences between them. Ahyong (2010) differentiates them according to the proportion of the walking leg (Pereopods 2-4) propodi compared to the dactyli, the dactyli of N. capensis being half the propodus length in adult males versus  $\geq 0.6$  in N. yaldwyni. Neolithodes diomedeae has distinctly long cheliped fingers (twice as long as the palm), which are triangular in cross-section, they are shorter than the palm and rounded in cross-section in N. yaldwyni. The two species of Paralomis, P. stevensi and P. birsteini, appear to be closely related in size and morphology. They may also be distinguished by the proportion of the dactyli compared to the propodi of the walking legs (in P. stevensi the dactyli are shorter than the extensor, outside, margin of the propodi while in P. birsteini they are slightly longer), and the right cheliped of large males is more strongly inflated than the left in P. stevensi compared to P. birsteini. However, distinguishing features overlap considerably depending on size and sex so the reader is referred to the in-depth discussion provided by Ahyong (2010). Eleven other king crab species are found around the subantarctic islands, ridges, New Zealand and South American continental shelves but nearly all of the records remain north of the Polar Front (Griffiths et al. 2014). These belong to the genera Paralomis, Neolithodes and Lithodes that occur around the Antarctic Peninsula, South Georgia, the Scotia Arc and Bellingshausen Sea (Thatje & Arntz 2004, García Raso et al. 2005) and Kerguelen Islands (Macpherson 2004). Repeated exploratory fisheries for two species of Paralomis (P. spinosissima and P. formosa) around South Georgia since the early 1990s were largely unsuccessful (Belchier et al. 2012). The Bellingshausen Sea record for Neolithodes capensis is most likely referable to N. yaldwyni (Ahyong & Dawson 2006), other records remain as single sightings (for L. murrayi and P. elongata) and no records of occurrences in predator stomachs are provided. However, recent findings of movement of crabs into higher-latitude areas following warmer water incursions might result in a higher number of species in the Southern Ocean than at present (Smith et al. 2012).

# FAMILY MUNIDIDAE AHYONG, BABA, MACPHERSON & POORE, 2010

# Existing species that can be found is:

# *Munida gregaria* (Fabricius, 1793) Figure 23 | page 152 LogBM = -3.052 + 2.911 LogCL (n=47) (Tapella & Lovrich 2006)

The squat lobster Munida gregaria (= M. subrugosa Dana, 1852) (Tapella & Lovrich 2006, Vinuesa 2007, Pérez-Barros et al. 2008) is distributed in subantarctic and subtropical waters, in shallow waters (< 200 meters deep) in New Zealand, off Tasmania, southern South America, in southwestern Pacific (south Chile), south Atlantic (Patagonian shelf, including in Falkland/Malvinas waters, Argentina and Uruguay) (Henderson & Britain 1888, Matthews 1932, Zeldis 1985, Gorny 1999, Tapella & Lovrich 2006). The carapace length of 'pelagic' morph is  $20.74 \pm 0.91$  mm (mean  $\pm$  SD; n = 17) and 'benthic' form is  $19.92 \pm 1.74$  mm (n = 18) (Zeldis 1983). It can produce high-density pelagic swarms (off Otago, New Zealand and off the southern tip of South America) that can be 0.1-5 km long and with an average density of 2700 individuals m<sup>-3</sup> and (Zeldis & Jillett 1982), once settled, can have high benthic densities (up to 30 individuals m<sup>-2</sup>) (Zeldis 1985). *M. gregaria* occurs in the diet of squid, fish, yellow-eyed penguins, gentoo penguins, rockhopper penguins, magellanic penguins, Buller's albatrosses, royal albatrosses, wandering albatrosses, grey-headed albatrosses, and sooty shearwaters, thin billed prions and south American sea lions (Clark 1985, West & Imber 1986, McClatchie et al. 1989, Moore & Wakelin 1997, Alonso et al. 2000, Cruz et al. 2001, Pütz et al. 2001, Clausen & Pütz 2003, Xavier et al. 2003a, Xavier et al. 2003b, Nyegaard et al. 2004, Quillfeldt et al. 2010, Rosas-Luis et al. 2014), being the most important crustacean prey in gentoo penguins, rockhopper penguins, magellanic penguins and sooty shearwaters.

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Munida gregaria* is a squat lobster belonging to the Anomura. It has a long and slender rostrum, laterally compressed, with a well-developed supra-orbital spine on either side of its base. Second abdominal segment with 2 pairs of very short, sharp dorsal spines on anterior

ridge, the inner pair stronger, the outer pair or both pairs sometimes obsolete to reduced to blunt tubercles, the third and fourth abdominal segments bear a median pair of spines each (Hendrickx 2003, Baba 2005). The carapace is almost rectangular in dorsal view and the eyestalks are elongated and the Maxilliped 3 endopod foliaceous in the pelagic 'subrugosa' form while short and non-foliaceous in the benthic form (Retamal 1981, Kirkwood 1984).

# FAMILY NEMATOCARCINIDAE SMITH, 1884

## Existing species that can be found are:

Nematocarcinus lanceopes Spence Bate, 1888 Figure 24 | page 153 Nematocarcinus longirostris Spence Bate, 1888

*Nematocarcinus lanceopes* has an Antarctic circumpolar distribution, being also present in subantarctic, in southern Pacific Ocean waters (close to Chile shelf), southern Indian Ocean waters (Crozet and Kerguelen Islands), southern Atlantic Ocean and off South Africa (Basher & Costello 2014). They have been recorded in the diets of Antarctic toothfish from the Ross Sea (Stevens, unpubl. data).

Nematocarcinus longirostris has an Antarctic circumpolar distribution, being also present in subantarctic, subtropical and tropical waters, and in the South Pacific waters (close to Chile shelf), South Indian Ocean (Crozet and Kerguelen Islands), and the South Atlantic (off South Africa) (Kirkwood 1984, Gorny 1999, Basher & Costello 2014). *N. longirostris* occurs in the diet of macaroni penguins and white-chinned petrels (Brown & Klages 1987, Cooper et al. 1992). As *N. longirostris* are deep-water epibenthic species, and only bathypelagic during reproduction, the occurrence of this species in petrels could be secondary ingestion (see introduction).

## Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The nematocarcinid shrimp are large epibenthic shrimp. *N. lanceopes* has a long and slender rostrum, similar or just longer than the carapace length. The rostrum has between 25-35 dorsal spines (mean = 29 spines), 4-10 ventral spines (mean = 5.6 spines), and a maximum carapace length of 34.5 mm (n=35 Ross Sea specimens [Forman, unpubl. data]). The rostrum can either be curved or straight. The number of post-orbital dorsal spines ranges from 3-6 (Macpherson 1984, Tiefenbacher 1990, Komai et al. 1996). *N. longirostris* has a long and slender rostrum, approximately one and a half times the carapace length. The rostrum has at least 38 dorsal spines (grading from minute closely packed, articulated spines at the proximal end), and 5-7 ventral spines (Kirkwood 1984). The number of post orbital

dorsal spines range from 8-9 (Macpherson 1984, Tiefenbacher 1990, Komai et al. 1996). Because the rostrum is often broken the number of postorbital spines is a useful diagnostic feature. *Nematocarcinus* spp. could be confused with *Acanthephyra* spp. as they are similar in size and colour. However, the rostrum on *Acanthephyra* spp. have fixed teeth that tend to be spaced evenly and further apart compared to Nematocarcinidae which have both fixed teeth and articulating spines. The legs on *Nematocarcinus* spp. are also characteristically longer and thinner Figure 24 | page 153.

# FAMILY NEPHROPIDAE DANA, 1852

## Existing species that can be found is:

#### Thymops birsteini (Zarenkov & Semenov, 1972)

*Thymops birsteini* is distributed in Antarctic (occurring at South Georgia) and subantarctic waters, in the south Atlantic (Patagonian shelf; up to Argentina and Uruguay region) and southwestern Pacific (one position in south Chile, Beagle Channel, with larvae/ juveniles in deeper troughs) waters (Gorny 1999, Thatje et al. 2003, Laptikhovsky & Reyes 2009, Griffiths et al. 2014). *T. birsteini* is present in the diet of fish (Pilling et al. 2001, Arkhipkin et al. 2003, Brickle et al. 2003, Laptikhovsky 2005, Roberts et al. 2011).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Thymops birsteini resembles a typical lobster with 2 large claws, 4 pairs of walking legs and a long pleon (tail). It has parabranchial grooves and a different arrangement of the branchiocardiac and sellar grooves, the abdominal pleura are broadly overlapping and poorly developed exopods of the second and third maxillipeds. It also possesses spines on the lateral margin of the telson and in the uropodal exopods, and 3 spines on the posterior margin of the sixth abdominal somite (Zarenkov & Semenov 1972). The exopods are present, although somewhat reduced in size (Zarenkov & Semenov 1972).

# FAMILY PASIPHAEIDAE DANA, 1852

Existing species that can be found are:

Pasiphaea acutifrons Spence Bate, 1888 Pasiphaea scotiae (Stebbing, 1914) Figure 25 | page 153

BL/TL = 2.63 (n=2) (8.0-8.7 cm) (Ridoux 1994) BL/ED = 4.18 (n=2) (8.0-8.7 cm) (Ridoux 1994) BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.007 (n=2) (4.0-4.1 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

*Pasiphaea acutifrons* is distributed in subantarctic waters and in more northern waters, being found off southern South America, in the Pacific coast (off Peru and Chile), off Japan and in the Atlantic coast (Argentina and off Falkland/Malvinas Islands) (Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987, Gorny 1999). *P. acutifrons* is present in the diet of fish (Laptikhovsky 2005, Vidal et al. 2011).

Pasiphaea scotiae (also previously identified as *P. longispina* Lenz & Strunk, 1914) (Clarke & Holmes 1987, Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987) is a common and widespread species in the midwater and deep-sea Antarctic waters (Kirkwood 1984, Clarke & Holmes 1987, Arntz & Gorny 1991a, Gorny 1999, Basher & Costello 2014), including in abyssal depths (Basher et al. 2014), but can occur at the surface (Ainley et al. 1986). *P. scotiae* is generally distributed further south than *P. acutifrons* (Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987, Gorny 1999). *P. scotiae* is the commonest 'Natantia' prey of seabirds in the Southern Ocean, being present in the diet of wandering albatrosses, grey-headed albatrosses, black-browed albatrosses, sooty albatrosses, light-mantled sooty albatrosses, white-chinned petrels, blue petrels, Kerguelen petrels, Antarctic petrels, great winged petrels, snow petrels, soft-plumaged petrels, grey petrels, Antarctic prions and thin billed prions (Klages et al. 1990, Cooper et al. 1992, Ridoux 1994, Lorentsen et al. 1998, Catard et al. 2000, Cherel et al. 2000, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel et al. 2002d, Xavier et al. 2003a, Xavier et al. 2003b, Xavier et al. 2004, Connan et al. 2007a, Connan et al. 2007b, Delord et al. 2010, Richoux et al. 2010, Fijn et al. 2012, Connan et al. 2014).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Pasiphaea scotiae has a number of distinctive characters, notably the strikingly long, pointed and upturned rostrum tip (Clarke & Holmes 1987) which extends beyond the anterior edge of the eye (Kirkwood 1984). *P. scotiae* is often found in bad condition in food samples and identification then relies on a combination of loose remains including stalked eyes, anterior parts of the cephalothorax and (often broken) rostrum. But successful identifications can often be made using the cutting edge of all chelae which is pectinate, telson bifurcate with 7 or 8 spines and, importantly, the diagnostic merus of Pereopod 2 armed with 6 or 7 strong spines. In *P. acutifrons*, the rostrum overreaches the frontal margin of carapace but generally does not reach the posterior margin of the cornea in most cases (Iwasaki & Nemoto 1987); the rostrum of the largest specimen in Iwasaki and Nemoto (1987) (carapace length of 295 mm) overreaches the posterior margin of the cornea. Merus of second pereopod armoured with 10-19 spines.

# ORDER EUPHAUSIACEA

Dana, 1852

Within this order, the family Euphausiidae has more species (all pelagic) than any other family, being morphologically similar to Mysida. It contains Euphausia superba, which plays a key role in Antarctic food webs (Knox 2007). If not stated otherwise, all length measurements are given in mm.

» FAMILY EUPHAUSIACEA DANA, 1852

# FAMILY EUPHAUSIIDAE DANA, 1852

Existing species that can be found are:

*Euphausia crystallorophias* Holt & Tattersall, 1906 Figure 26 | page 155 BL = 1.512 \* CL + 13.28 (Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988) All: BMs = 0.0017 \* S1<sup>3.373</sup>, size range 17-37 mm, number of krill measured n=150 (Siegel 1993)

**Males:** BMs =  $0.0113 * S1^{2.79}$  (n=118) (Rakusa-Suszczewski & Stepnik 1980) **Females:** BMs =  $0.0055 * S1^{3.04}$  (n=118) (Rakusa-Suszczewski & Stepnik 1980)

*Euphausia frigida* Hansen, 1911 Figure 27 | page 155 BMs = 0.040 \* S1<sup>2.339</sup> (8-23 mm, n=80) (Siegel 1993)

*Euphausia superba* Dana, 1850 Figure 28 | page 156, Tables 1, 2 and 3 | page 206, 207 and 208

The BIOMASS Handbook presented a variety of alternative length measurements for Antarctic krill (Mauchline 1980). For practical reasons the Antarctic krill science community has limited itself to two methods, the "standard 1 measurement" (S1) and the "Discovery measurement" (AT); for their definitions see abbreviations section. In some older literature related to *E. superba* we also find the reference to BL measurements from the tip of the rostrum to the tip of the telson. The 'Discovery' method (AT) was not mentioned in Mauchline's handbook, but is one of the most widely used measurements for total length of Antarctic krill. It was applied since the "Discovery Investigations" and is currently used by many research institutions. The question of length measurements was reviewed by CCAMLR to establish standard methods for the scientific observer programme on commercial krill vessels. The current Scientific Observers Manual of CCAMLR requires measurements according to the 'Discovery' standard of total length (AT) to the nearest millimeter below. This was thought to be most appropriate to be undertaken at sea on commercial vessels (Siegel 2016).

#### For all stages

AT = 0.133 + 1.030 S1 (n=151) (Siegel 1982) AT = 0.971 + 1.001 S1 (Miller 1983) S1 = 0.024 + 0.967 AT (n=151) (Siegel 1982) S1 = 2.322 + 2.843 CL (Miller 1983) S1 = 8.192 + 5.233 UL (Miller 1983) BL = 3.466 + 2.763 CL (n=1599) (18-58 mm) (Ichii & Kato 1991) BL = 2.861 + 6.948 UL (n=606) (19-57 mm) (Ichii & Kato 1991) BL = 1.378 + 6.626 UL (n=101) (Nicol 1993)

A detailed study on the reliability of linear functions related to uropod length was carried out (Marschoff et al. 2008), because this parameter is usually used during growth rate (IGR) or stomach analysis studies. The authors modeled the relationship between uropod length and various estimates of krill length to understand whether any biases could impact the estimates of growth rate in krill. They showed that there was considerable variability in some measures of length, with some relationships performing better than others. This suggests that accounting for variability between body length measurements needs to be considered when examining growth rate using the IGR technique. It also suggests that a linear equation does not capture the relation between total and uropod length.

$$\begin{split} &\text{S1} = 6.77419 * \text{UL}^{1.0387} \text{ from stomach samples (Marschoff et al. 2008) } \text{or} \\ &\text{S1} = 7.17847 * \text{UL}^{0.99209} \text{ from net samples (Marschoff et al. 2008)} \\ &\text{BL} = 11.56 + 2.44 \text{ RCL (n=269) (28-63 mm) (Hill 1990)} \\ &\text{BL} = 22.7 + 1.29 \text{ RCL (n=209) (20-45 mm) (Reid & Measures 1998)} \\ &\text{BL} = 10.43 + 2.26 \text{ RCL (n=154) (Goebel et al. 2007)} \\ &\text{BM/BL}^3 = 0.0074 \text{ (n=4) (0.32-0.46 cm) (Ridoux 1994)} \\ &\text{BL} = 1.93 \text{ ED } + 0.60 \text{ (n=10) (3.3-5.0 cm) (Ridoux 1994)} \\ &\text{ED} = 0.574e^{0.0292\text{BL}} \text{ (Sun & Wang 1996)} \\ &\text{ED} = 0.2395 + 0.1573 \text{ CL (Shin & Nicol 2002) (based on experiments; see Shin & Nicol 2002)} \end{split}$$

ED = 0.3781 + 0.1218CL (Shin & Nicol 2002) ED = 0.3403 + 0.1321CL (Shin & Nicol 2002)

#### For Juveniles

BL = -1.59 + 3.28RCL (n=50) (28-48 mm) (Hill 1990)

#### For Males

BL = 13.9 + 2.29 RCL (n=350) (38-57 mm) (Reid & Measures 1998) BL = 0.62 + 3.13 RCL (n=541) (25-57 mm) (Goebel et al. 2007) S3 = 6.753 + 2.131 CL (n=120) (Färber-Lorda 1990) S3 = 1.869 + 2.748 CL (n=60) (older males?) (Färber-Lorda 1990) S1 = 3.759 + 2.813 CL (fresh males n=236) (Miller 1983) S1 = 2.823 + 2.770 CL (preserved males) (Miller 1983) CL = 1.657 + 0.263 AT (males n = 539) (Siegel 1982)

#### **For Females**

BL = 15.3 + 2.09 RCL (n=292) (36-63 mm) (Reid & Measures 1998) BL = 11.6 + 2.13 RCL (n= 436) (28-58 mm) (Goebel et al. 2007) S3 = 9.139 + 1.836 CL (n=112) (Färber-Lorda 1990) S1 = 6.901 + 2.490 CL (females n=264) (Miller 1983) CL = -1.592 + 0.367 AT (females n=293) (Siegel 1982)

In sexually mature animals, the relationship of standard length (S1) to carapace length (CL) is significantly different for males and females. For females, it can be concluded that a swelling of the cephalothorax due to a ripening of the ovaries would account for the observed increase of the carapace length in gravid specimens. For males, the observed differences in the regression functions might be explained by a difference in the rate of carapace growth of mature animals opposed to more immature specimens. It was quite obvious from the data that a linear regression function for males does not properly fit the smaller length groups. In addition, larger size groups of males show a far greater variation of data within each length

class. Consequently, the use of carapace length as an index of standard length must be viewed with great reservation (Mauchline 1980, Siegel 1982). Using a different type of total length *versus* carapace length, it was also found a significant difference between males and females, suggesting that mature males have slower carapace growth than females (Färber-Lorda 1990). Furthermore, he found evidence that males might reduce carapace length growth relative to total length with increasing age and therefore presented two different equations for males (see above). His results confirm that carapace length is not a reliable size measurement.

Numerous length-weight relationships have been provided for *E. superba* in the published literature and have been (at least, partly) summarized (Siegel 1993, 2016). To facilitate the use of the different relationships, the regression parameters are listed in Tables 1, 2 and 3. Some data were published as the linearized logarithmic version of the regression function; these results have been re-calculated to facilitate direct comparison with other published coefficient values.

#### Euphausia triacantha Holt & Tattersall, 1906 Figure 29 | page 156

BMs =  $0.0383 * S1^{2.495}$ , size range 13-38 mm, number krill measured n=115 (Siegel 1993) BMs =  $0.007 * AT^{2.9891}$ , size range 20-35 mm in south-west Atlantic sector of Southern Ocean (Liszka, unpubl. data) BMdw =  $0.0007 * AT^{3.2243}$ , size range 20-35 mm in south-west Atlantic sector of Southern Ocean n = 69 (Liszka, unpubl. data)

#### Euphausia vallentini Stebbing, 1900 Figure 30 | page 157

BL = 6.6295 + 2.6048 CL (in mm) (Graham Hosie & Mareli Stolp pers. comm.) (Green et al. 1998b)

BL = 1.74 ED + 0.07 (n=151) (0.8-2.7 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

BMs = 0.00884 BL  $^{2.904}$  (in mm) (Graham Hosie & Mareli Stolp pers. comm.) (Green et al. 1998b)

BM = 0.00316 BL<sup>3.8</sup> (n=25) (0.01-0.11 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

 $Log_{10}BM_{dw} = -4.007 + (3.754 * Log_{10}BL)$ , samples from south-west Atlantic including immature, female and male specimens. Specimens ranged from 12 to 21 mm BL (Tarling 1995)

**Nematoscelis megalops G. O. Sars, 1883** Figure 31 | page 157 **Stylocheiron abbreviatum G. O. Sars, 1883** Figure 32 | page 158 BL = 1.42 ED - 0.50 (n=13) (1.5-2.2 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

#### Thysanoessa gregaria G. O. Sars, 1883 Figure 33 | page 158

 $Log_{10}BM_{dw} = -4.294 + (4.089 * Log_{10}BL)$ , samples from south-west Atlantic including immature, female and male specimens. Specimens ranged from 8 to 18 mm BL (Tarling 1995)

## Thysanoessa macrura G. O. Sars, 1883 Figure 34 | page 159

 $ED = 0.4361 \text{ S3}^{0.5411}$  (n=41) (Färber-Lorda & Mayzaud 2010)

S3 = 4.221  $CL^{0.812}$  (all, n=249),

S3 = 5.602 + 2.060 CL (males, n= 33)

S3 = 4.607 + 2.132 CL (females, n=41), there are significant differences in carapace length versus standard S3 length between juvenile and older stages and between males and females, with a greater carapace length increase relative to standard S3 length in adult specimens (Färber-Lorda 1990).

BMs = 0.00482 \* S1<sup>3.063</sup>, size range 11-28 mm, number of krill measured n=68 (Rakusa-Suszczewski & Stepnik 1980)

BMs = 0.00157 \* S3<sup>3.721</sup> (n=106), data from February (Färber-Lorda 1994)

BMs = 0.002098 \* S3<sup>3.6446</sup> (n=60), data from February (Färber-Lorda & Mayzaud 2010)

#### Thysanoessa vicina Hansen, 1911

BL = 1.56 ED - 0.42 (n=15) (0.5-2.1 cm) (Ridoux 1994) BM = 0.00251 BL<sup>4.4</sup> (n=10) (0.01-0.05 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

*Euphausia crystallorophias* has a circumpolar distribution in neritic Antarctic waters, around the Antarctic continent (John 1936, Fischer & Hureau 1985, Cuzin-Roudy et al. 2014). It is present in the diet of many neritic predators, including fish (being particularly important in the diet of the dusky rockcod *Trematomus newnesi*, Adélie penguins, chinstrap penguins, emperor penguins, Antarctic fulmars, snow petrels, cape pigeons, Wilson's

storm petrels, Weddell seals, crabeater seals, southern elephant seals and minke whales (Marr 1962, Nemoto 1962, Nemoto 1970, Tarverdiyeva & Pinskaya 1980, Volkman et al. 1980, Jażdżewski 1981, Targett 1981, Linkowski et al. 1983, Takahashi & Nemoto 1984, Hubold 1985, Williams 1985, Plötz 1986, Foster et al. 1987, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Montgomery et al. 1989, Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Hubold & Ekau 1990, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Ridoux 1994, Robertson et al. 1994, Watanuki et al. 1994, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995b, Vacchi & La Mesa 1995, Cherel & Kooyman 1998, Ichii et al. 1998, Kent et al. 1998, Pakhomov 1998a, Pakhomov 1998b, La Mesa et al. 2000, Van Franeker et al. 2001, Burton & van den Hoff 2002, Clarke et al. 2002, Ainley et al. 2003, Hoff et al. 2003, La Mesa et al. 2004a, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Pusch et al. 2004, Knox 2007, Cherel 2008, Tamura & Konishi 2009, Tierney et al. 2009, Pinkerton et al. 2012, Delord et al. 2013, Pinkerton et al. 2013).

*Euphausia frigida* is mainly distributed in Antarctic waters, but can extend their distribution into subantarctic waters (up to the Subantarctic Front region) in much smaller numbers (John 1936, Fischer & Hureau 1985, Cuzin-Roudy et al. 2014). *E. frigida* is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins, Antarctic prions, Wilson's storm petrels and minke whales (Targett 1981, Croxall et al. 1988, North & Ward 1990, Kock et al. 1994, Vacchi et al. 1994, Watanuki et al. 1994, Pakhomov et al. 1996a, Croxall et al. 1997, Reid et al. 1997a, Croxall et al. 1999, Flores et al. 2004, La Mesa et al. 2004a, Pusch et al. 2004, Clarke et al. 2008, Collins et al. 2008, Main et al. 2009, Shreeve et al. 2009, Tamura & Konishi 2009, Waluda et al. 2012, Saunders et al. 2014, Xavier et al. 2017, Xavier et al. 2018a).

*Euphausia superba* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, extending its distribution from the Antarctic continent to the Antarctic Polar Front (Siegel & Watkins 2016), being one of the most numerous species of macrozooplankton and a key element of the Antarctic marine food web (Marr 1962, Laws 1984, Fischer & Hureau 1985, Everson 2000, Knox 2007). Being so abundant, it is present in the diet of a wide range of predators, including fish, squid, penguins, albatrosses, fulmars, petrels, prions, shags, skuas, seals and whales, being particularly important in the diet of, for example, the nototheniid fish *Notothenia neglecta*, the myctophid fish *Electrona antarctica*, the dragonfish *Cygnodraco* 

mawsoni, the icefish Champsocephalus gunnari, Adélie penguins, chinstrap penguins, emperor penguins, gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins, black-browed albatrosses, grey-headed albatrosses, light-mantled sooty albatrosses, Antarctic fulmars, white-chinned petrels, Kerguelen petrels, Antarctic petrels, snow petrels, blue petrels, white chinned petrels, cape pigeons, Antarctic prions, thin-billed prions, fairy prions, South Georgia diving petrels, Antarctic fur seals, crabeater seals and leopard seals, blue whales, fin whales, humpback whales, minke whales and Sei whales (Nemoto 1962, Solyanik 1965, Nemoto 1970, Laws 1977, Moreno & Osorio 1977, Øritsland 1977, Croxall & Furse 1980, Prince 1980, Tarverdiyeva & Pinskaya 1980, Volkman et al. 1980, Jażdżewski 1981, Targett 1981, Thomas 1982, Linkowski et al. 1983, Brown & Lockyer 1984, Croxall & Pilcher 1984, Mizroch et al. 1984, Laws 1984, Goodall & Galeazzi 1985, Hubold 1985, Nemoto et al. 1985, Siniff & Stone 1985, Williams 1985, Offredo & Ridoux 1986, Croxall & Prince 1987, Kellermann 1987, Croxall et al. 1988, Nemoto et al. 1988, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Klages 1989, Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Barrera-Oro & Casaux 1990, Hubold & Ekau 1990, Kellermann 1990, Klages et al. 1990, Prince & Copestake 1990, Williams 1990, Ichii & Kato 1991, Kato et al. 1991, Williams 1991, Rodhouse et al. 1992, Nicol 1993, Creet et al. 1994, Kock et al. 1994, Lu & Williams 1994, Ridoux 1994, Robertson et al. 1994, Watanuki et al. 1994, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995b, Pütz 1995, Pakhomov & Perissinotto 1996, Reid & Arnould 1996, Croxall et al. 1997, Garcia de la Rosa et al. 1997, González et al. 1997, Reid et al. 1997a, Reid et al. 1997b, Casaux 1998, Cherel & Klages 1998, Cherel & Kooyman 1998, Favero et al. 1998, Ichii et al. 1998, Kent et al. 1998, Lorentsen et al. 1998, Pakhomov 1998a, Pakhomov 1998b, Croxall et al. 1999, Weimerskirch et al. 1999, Catard et al. 2000, Reinhardt et al. 2000, Cruz et al. 2001, Van Franeker et al. 2001, Barlow et al. 2002, Berón et al. 2002, Bocher et al. 2002, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel et al. 2002d, Clarke et al. 2002, Kock & Jones 2002, Quillfeldt 2002, Casaux et al. 2003, Libertelli et al. 2003, Xavier et al. 2003a, Xavier et al. 2003b, Collins et al. 2004, Flores et al. 2004, Hall-Aspland & Rogers 2004, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Lancraft et al. 2004, Lynnes et al. 2004, Pusch et al. 2004, Barrera-Oro & Piacentino 2007, Collins et al. 2007, Connan et al. 2007a, Connan et al. 2007b, Knox 2007, La Mesa et al. 2007, Casaux et al. 2008, Cherel 2008, Clarke et al. 2008, Collins et al. 2008, Connan et al. 2008, Main et al. 2009, Montalti et al. 2009, Shreeve et al. 2009, Tamura & Konishi 2009, Tierney et al. 2009, Delord et al. 2010, Richoux et al. 2010, Fijn et al. 2012, Pinkerton et al. 2012, Casaux & Barrera-Oro 2013, Delord et al. 2013, Pinkerton et al. 2013, Xavier et al. 2013, Cherel et al. 2014, Connan et al. 2014, Moreira et al. 2014, Saunders et al. 2014, Xavier et al. 2017, Dimitrijević et al. 2018, Xavier et al. 2018a).

*Euphausia triacantha* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, distributed as far north as the Subantarctic Front (Baker 1959, Siegel 1987, Tarling 1995, Cuzin-Roudy et al. 2014) *E. triacantha* is not an important prey for predators. It is present in the diet of fish, squid, gentoo penguins, blue petrels, cape pigeons and Wilson's storm petrels (Williams 1983, Croxall et al. 1988, Williams 1990, 1991, Kock et al. 1994, Ridoux 1994, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel & Duhamel 2003, Pusch et al. 2004, Collins et al. 2007, Collins et al. 2008, Main et al. 2009, Shreeve et al. 2009, Pinkerton et al. 2013, Saunders et al. 2014, Xavier et al. 2017, Xavier et al. 2018a).

Euphausia vallentini has a circumpolar distribution in subantarctic waters, across the northern limit of the Antarctic Polar front (i.e. seldom found in Antarctic waters) and further north, such as the Patagonian shelf (Fischer & Hureau 1985, Tarling 1995, Gibbons et al. 1999) where it co-occurs with the smaller euphausiid species E. lucens and Thysanoessa gregaria. It is present in the diet of squid, fish, penguins, albatrosses, petrels, shearwaters, prions and whales but it is particularly important in the diet of, for example, the icefish Champsocephalus gunnari, gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins, rockhopper penguins, blue petrels, white chinned petrels, cape pigeons, short-tailed shearwaters, Antarctic prions, Wilson's storm petrels, black-bellied storm petrels, common diving petrels and South Georgian diving petrels (Nemoto 1962, Nemoto 1970, Laws 1977, Williams 1983, LaCock et al. 1984, Mizroch et al. 1984, Clark 1985, Croxall et al. 1985a, Duhamel & Hureau 1985, Horne 1985, Steele & Klages 1986, Brown & Klages 1987, Hindell 1988, Klages et al. 1989, Hindell 1989, Cooper et al. 1992, Green & Wong 1992, Ridoux 1994, Bost et al. 1994, González et al. 1997, Green et al. 1998b, Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998, Hull 1999, Weimerskirch et al. 1999, Bocher et al. 2000b, Cherel et al. 2000, Tremblay & Cherel 2000, Cruz et al. 2001, Mouat et al. 2001, Van Franeker et al. 2001, Bocher et al. 2002, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel et al. 2002d, Tremblay & Cherel 2003, Lescroël et al. 2004, Raya Rey & Schiavini 2005, Cherel et al. 2007, Connan et al. 2007a, Connan et al. 2007b, Knox 2007, Connan et al. 2008, Main et al. 2009, Connan et al. 2010, Quillfeldt et al. 2010, Delord et al. 2013, Cherel et al. 2014, Connan et al. 2014).

Two other *Euphausia* species, namely *E. longirostris* and *E. similis* were identified in the diet of gentoo penguins at Crozet islands, north of the Antarctic Polar Front (Ridoux 1994).

Nematoscelis megalops is distributed in subantarctic waters, at the Subantarctic Front region, being distributed northwards widely in both hemispheres (Cuzin-Roudy et al. 2014) and is present in the diet of squid, fish, gentoo penguins, rockhopper penguins, sooty albatrosses, cook petrels and short tailed shearwaters (Clark 1985, Montague et al. 1986, Young & Blaber 1986, Klages et al. 1988, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1994, Ridoux 1994, Imber 1996, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Tremblay et al. 1997, Rojas et al. 1998, Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998, Williams et al. 2001, Brickle et al. 2003, Cherel et al. 2005, Connan et al. 2010, Delord et al. 2013, Temperoni et al. 2013).

*Stylocheiron abbreviatum* is distributed in subtropical and tropical waters (from 0-40 °S/N), being distributed widely in both hemispheres (Mauchline & Fisher 1969, Gibbons et al. 1999). *S. abbreviatum* is present in the diet of gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins and cape pigeons (Ridoux 1994).

*Thysanoessa gregaria* is distributed in subantarctic waters, subtropical waters and further north (Bary 1956, Pakhomov & Froneman 2000, Cuzin-Roudy et al. 2014), including the northern hemisphere (Lindley 1977, Gibbons et al. 1999, Taki 2011). *T. gregaria* is present in the diet of squid, fish, penguins and shearwaters, being particularly important in the diet of rockhopper penguins (Clark 1985, Croxall et al. 1985a, Horne 1985, Montague et al. 1986, Hindell 1988, Klages et al. 1988, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1994, Ridoux 1994, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Tremblay et al. 1997, Freeman 1998, Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998, Cherel et al. 1999, Hull 1999, Tremblay & Cherel 1999, Cruz et al. 2001, Mouat et al. 2001, Tremblay & Cherel 2003, Schiavini & Raya Rey 2004, Raya Rey & Schiavini 2005, Connan et al. 2007b, Brickle et al. 2009, Connan et al. 2010, Stevens 2012b, Delord et al. 2013).

*Thysanoessa macrura* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic, subantarctic and subtropical waters, extending its distribution from the Antarctic continent shelves to the north of the Subantarctic Front (Haraldsson & Siegel 2014, Driscoll et al. 2015). *T. macrura* 

is considered one of the most common, abundant and widespread species of euphausiids in the Southern Ocean (Cuzin-Roudy et al. 2014) and, despite the difficulties of differentiating *T. macrura* from *T. vicina* (see below) in numerous studies (Kock et al. 1994, Ridoux 1994, Reid et al. 1997b, Bocher et al. 2000a, Bocher et al. 2000b, Bocher et al. 2001, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Tremblay & Cherel 2003, Lescroël et al. 2004, Cherel et al. 2007, Connan et al. 2007b, Connan et al. 2008, Cherel et al. 2014), *T. macrura* has been identified to species level as present in the diet of squid, fish, Adélie penguins, macaroni penguins, rockhopper penguins, gentoo penguins, blue petrels, Antarctic prions, Wilson's storm petrels and minke whales (Croxall & Furse 1980, Prince 1980, Tarverdiyeva & Pinskaya 1980, Linkowski et al. 1983, Williams 1983, Nemoto et al. 1985, Williams 1985, Croxall et al. 1988, Klages et al. 1989, Hubold & Ekau 1990, Prince & Copestake 1990, Williams 1990, 1991, Ridoux 1994, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995a, Pakhomov et al. 1996a, Libertelli et al. 2003, Flores et al. 2004, Pusch et al. 2004, Tamura & Konishi 2009, La Mesa et al. 2011).

*Thysanoessa vicina* is distributed in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans (Cuzin-Roudy et al. 2014) and is present in the diet of fish, macaroni penguins and rockhopper penguins (Brown & Klages 1987, Ridoux 1994, Pakhomov et al. 1996a). Most small unidentifiable specimens of *Thysanoessa* found in the diet of Kerguelen birds probably refer to that species (Bocher et al. 2000a, Bocher et al. 2000b, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Connan et al. 2007b, Connan et al. 2008).



Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Figure 35 A. The general structures in euphausiids. Legend: 1. Carapace, 2. Abdomen, 3. Rostrum, 4. Compound eye, 5. Mid-dorsal Spine, 6. Telson, 7. Uropods, 8. Pleopods, 9. Antennule lappet, 10. Antennule, 11. Flagellae, 12. Antenna, 13. Endopods, 14. Pereiopods, 15. Exopods, 16. Gills, 17. Photophore. (modified from Siegel [2016]).



(lateral view)

al. 1990) (Copyright permissions from Springer, FAO and British Museum).

Figure 35 B. The differences in antennular lappets between *Euphausia* species. (Baker et

Euphausiids have first to be distinguished from Natantia (shrimps and prawns) and mysids. Indeed, mysids and euphausiids share a superficially similar shrimp like appearance and were at one time grouped together in the order Schizopoda. Morphological differences between adults of both groups have been summarized (Murano 1999). Even in much digested stomach contents two features are helpful: (i) gill shape and (ii) eye shape. Unlike Natantia, euphausiid gills are branched and feathery, being thus similar to those of the few mysids that have gills. Unlike Natantia and large mysids, the eyes of euphausiids have no large peduncles. Second, genus and species identification of digested euphausiids relies on a combination of various features, including antennular lappet morphology, rostrum size, eye shape, pereiopod size and setae, and number of abdominal spines, together with species biogeography. At the genus level (Baker et al. 1990), Euphausia species have always round eyes and pereiopods of almost equal lengths bearing well-developed setae, while Thysanoessa (and Nematoscelis) species of the Southern Ocean have bi-lobed eyes, a pair of long predatory legs and no well-developed setae on pereiopods. Note that Thysanoessa species are fragile euphausiids and, in most cases, loose predatory legs have to be searched for in food samples to confirm identification of the genus. The morphology of the tip of the predatory legs allows differentiating Thysanoessa from Nematoscelis species.

Among *Euphausia* species, *E. superba* is by far the largest one (up to 65 mm) (Baker et al. 1990). Hence, fully-grown adult *E. superba* present a unique combination of larger round eyes, larger pereopods with very well-developed setae and larger gills than any other euphausiids. Consequently, large specimens (> 40 mm total length) of that species can be identified with confidence from only a very few much-digested remains, even in the absence of the species-specific antennular lappets. In the analysis of many food samples (Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b), large eyes, pereopods and gills were always associated with loose antennular lappets if present, and never with distinctive remains of other crustacean species. Conversely, medium- and small-sized round eyes cannot be confidently identified as belonging to *E. superba*, but to *Euphausia* sp. (undetermined) only.

In high-Antarctic waters, two *Euphausia* species are very important in the diet of consumers, namely *E. crystallorophias* (up to 41.5 mm) (Kirkwood 1982, Siegel 1987) and *E. superba* (up to 65 mm) (Daly & Zimmerman 2004). Identification relies on antennular

lappets and rostrum. *E. crystallorophias* rostrum is long and acute, reaching beyond the eyes; first antennular segment with a short sharp spine or denticle on its outer distal corner (Fischer & Hureau 1985); and antennular lappet absent (Baker et al. 1990, Gibbons et al. 1999). *E. superba* has a first segment of the antennular peduncle bearing a wide lappet that extends over one quarter to one half the length of the second segment and is nearly as wide as the base of the second segment (Kirkwood 1984, Fischer & Hureau 1985, Baker et al. 1990, Gibbons et al. 1999). Also the abdominal segments are without mid-dorsal spines (Fischer & Hureau 1985), with the sixth segment nearly as long as it is high. In numerous studies, maturity stages of *E. superba* have been identified following Makarov and Denys (1981).

Euphausia frigida (up to 24 mm) (Siegel 1987, Baker et al. 1990) has a short rostrum; Its first antennular segment has a very small, triangular lobe (antennular lappet), which is inconspicuous from lateral view and somewhat rounded (Fischer & Hureau 1985, Gibbons et al. 1999); the abdominal segments are without mid-dorsal spines and the sixth segment is almost twice as long as high in lateral view (Fischer & Hureau 1985). Early records of Euphausia triacantha reported a total length of up to 32 mm (Baker 1959) but it has been found a maximum size of 41.5 mm for the species in winter (Siegel 1987). E. triacantha is easily recognisable by 3 prominent abdominal dorsal spines on segments 3 to 5, and by the distinctive red colour of the anterior end of the cephalothorax of fresh specimens (Kirkwood 1982). It has a first segment of the antennular peduncle with a bifid lappet, with the inner process stouter and more curved outward than the outer (Fischer & Hureau 1985). Also, E. triacantha has a carapace without a post-ocular spine (Baker et al. 1990), and the posterior margin of the pleurae is entire (Gibbons et al. 1999). Euphausia vallentini (up to 28 mm) has a medium-sized rostrum, and a first segment of antennular peduncle with a large, round, broad, laminar lappet on its distal margin (Fischer & Hureau 1985, Baker et al. 1990, Gibbons et al. 1999). Also, the third abdominal segment has a short, thin, mid-dorsal spine which may be broken or absent occasionally (Fischer & Hureau 1985). In order to avoid misidentification with other euphausiid species (not as abundant in the diet of top predators), Euphausia lucens (up to 18 mm) it can be considered that this species has a very acute, small, dentate process on the first segment of the antennular penduncle which projects anteriorly over the proximal part of the second segment. The third segment has a strong dorsal keel. It does not possess a rostrum and there is a pair of lateral denticles on the carapace (Baker et al. 1990).

*Nematoscelis megalops* (up to 26 mm) (Baker et al. 1990) has a second thoracic (predatory) leg with terminal setae on both dactylus and propodus, and 3 segments beyond the knee of thoracic legs 3-6 (Gibbons et al. 1999).

Stylocheiron abbreviatum has dorsal keels on the fourth and fifth, or third, fourth and fifth abdominal segments (Baker et al. 1990). Also the terminal segment of the second thoracic leg has a sharply downward curved and overlapping setae on anterior margin (Baker et al. 1990).

Thysanoessa specimens are difficult to identify down to the species level in food samples, because they have similar morphologies and the pereiopods, which contain the most distinguishing features, are fragile and hence often missing. Thysanoessa gregaria has setae on their thoracic legs with small but clearly visible setulae (Baker et al. 1990, Gibbons et al. 1999). Thysanoessa macrura has an upper flagellum of antennule shorter than the sum of the lengths of 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> segments of peduncle (Fischer & Hureau 1985, Gibbons et al. 1999). Thysanoessa vicina has an upper flagellum of antennule somewhat, or considerably, longer than the sum of lengths of second and third segments of peduncle (Baker et al. 1990, Gibbons et al. 1999). Thysanoessa macrura and T. vicina are difficult to distinguish even when only slightly digested (Ward et al. 1990, Ichii & Kato 1991, Kock et al. 1994), and when caught in nets (in which specimens are also frequently damaged during sampling) the flagella of the antennules are frequently damaged or missing altogether [Baker et al. 1990]), both species are often pooled into a single Thysanoessa spp. category (Ward et al. 1990). However, for separating adults, T. macrura is a much larger species (with females reaching sometimes 31 mm) than T. vicina (grows to 20 mm at most) (Baker et al. 1990). Only recently, it has been observed that T. macrura from late autumn samples can grow much bigger up to 42 mm total length (Haraldsson & Siegel 2014).

# ORDER AMPHIPODA Latreille, 1816

Amphipod crustaceans are well represented in all three macrohabitats of the Southern Ocean: benthic, pelagic and bentho-pelagic waters (e.g. Gammaridea, Hyperiidae) (De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014). It is considered the second most speciose macrobenthic group, after Gastropoda, in Antarctic and subantarctic waters (Linse et al. 2006, De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014). This order comprises species from far more than 20 families; the Antarctic and subantarctic amphipod fauna is mostly dominated by representatives from the Lysianassoidea, Eusiroidea, Stenothoidea, Ischyroceridae, Iphimediidae, Phoxocephalidae and Epimeriidae (De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014). More than 170 Antarctic amphipod species are recorded as prey for top predators. The most diverse amongst them belong to the Lysianassoidea, Pontogeneiidae and Hyperiidae (Dauby et al. 2003).

# SUBORDER GAMMARIDAE

Latreille, 1802

- » FAMILY AMATHILLOPSIDAE PIRLOT, 1934
- » FAMILY AMPELISCIDAE KRØYER, 1842
- » FAMILY CYPHOCARIDIDAE LOWRY & STODDART, 1997
- » FAMILY DEXAMINIDAE LEACH, 1814
- » FAMILY EPIMERIIDAE BOECK, 1871
- » FAMILY EURYTHENEIDAE STODDART & LOWRY, 2004
- » FAMILY EUSIRIDAE STEBBING, 1888
- » FAMILY LILJEBORGIIDAE STEBBING, 1899
- » FAMILY LYSIANASSIDAE DANA, 1849
- » FAMILY OEDICEROTIDAE LILJEBORG, 1865
- » FAMILY PHOXOCEPHALIDAE G.O. SARS, 1891
- » FAMILY SCOPELOCHEIRIDAE LOWRY & STODDART, 1997
- » FAMILY STEGOCEPHALIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY STENOTHOIDAE BOECK, 1871
- » FAMILY URISTIDAE HURLEY, 1963

# FAMILY AMATHILLOPSIDAE PIRLOT, 1934

# Existing species that can be found is:

Parepimeria bidentata Schellenberg, 1931 Figure 36 | page 161

*Parepimeria bidentata* is distributed in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean (Weddell Sea, along Antarctic Peninsula, and South Shetland Islands), extending north up to around South Georgia (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 3.8-14.0 mm (Schellenberg 1931, Andres 1985). The species was found in the diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family



Figure 37 - left. The general structures of an amphipod, Figure 37 - right. with typical pereopod with articles numbered (Vinogradov 1999) (Copyright permission from Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands).

The family Amathillopsidae is represented in the Southern Ocean by two genera: *Amathillopsis* and *Parepimeria*. The latter genus can be recognized by having both pairs of simple gnathopods (Coleman 2007). Five species of *Parepimeria* are hitherto described from the Southern Ocean: *P. bidentata*, *P. crenulata*, *P. irregularis*, *P. major*, and *P. minor*. The list of literature and distribution information can be found in the literature (De Broyer et al. 2007). The morphological description is available (Schellenberg 1931) as well as the key for all known species of *Parepimeria* (Watling & Holman 1980). *P. bidentata* can be distinguished from its congeners by the combination of a long rostrum and the lack of mid-dorsal carina on pereon segments 5-7 (Watling & Holman 1980).
#### FAMILY AMPELISCIDAE KRØYER, 1842

#### Existing species that can be found is:

#### Byblis securiger (K.H. Barnard, 1931) Figure 38 | page 161

*Byblis securiger* is distributed in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean (along Antarctic Peninsula and South Shetland Islands), extending north up to around South Georgia (Loerz & Brandt 2003, De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 9.5 and 37.0 mm (Barnard 1932). It is present in the diet of gentoo penguins (Williams 1990, Kato et al. 1991, Williams 1991, Xavier et al. 2017, Xavier et al. 2018a).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Four genera of Ampeliscidae (*Ampelisca, Byblis, Byblisoides*, and *Haploops*) were hitherto recored south of Subtropical Front. Amphipods belonging to the genus *Byblis* have well developed flagella on both antennae. The basis of pereopod 7 bears a ventrally expanding lobe (somewhat triangular) which is setose along its ventral as well as anterior edge (Barnard & Karaman 1991). Three species from genus *Byblis* are recorded in the Southern Ocean: *B. antarctica*, *B. securiger*, and *B. subantarctica*. The extensive list of literature and distribution information is available (De Broyer et al. 2007). Similarly, the detailed morphological description can also be found (Barnard 1932) where it is presented under its former genus name - *Haploops*. A key for World species identification is available (Barnard 1966). *B. securiger* is characterized by the rostrum reaching the middle of the first article of peduncle of antenna 1, which is much shorter in the other Antarctic representatives of this genus. Additionally, one pair of the corneal lenses is situated on the ventral side of the head. This feature separates this species from *B. antarctica* (lacking corneal lenses) but not from *B. subantarctica* (Barnard 1966).

#### FAMILY CYPHOCARIDIDAE LOWRY & STODDART, 1997

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Cyphocaris anonyx Boeck, 1871 Figure 39 | page 162 Cyphocaris challengeri Stebbing, 1888 Figure 40 | page 162 Cyphocaris richardi Chevreux, 1905 (Chevreux 1905a) Figure 41 | page 163  $BM/BL^3 = 0.025 (n=1) (0.32 cm) (Ridoux 1994)$  $BL = 85.8 TL_t^{0.063} (r = 0.995, N = 90, p < 0.001) (in cm) (Yamada & Ikeda 2000)$ 

*Cyphocaris anonyx* is distributed in Antarctic waters, in the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean (De Broyer et al. 2007), in subantarctic waters, subtropical waters and tropical waters off South Africa (Milne & Griffiths 2013) and in the north hemisphere (Gislason & Astthorsson 1992). *C. anonyx* is present in the diet of fish (Clark 1985).

*Cyphocaris challengeri* is distributed worldwide, including tropical waters off South Africa (Milne & Griffiths 2013) and in the north hemisphere (Yamada & Ikeda 2006). *C. challengeri* is present in the diet of great winged petrels (Ridoux 1994).

*Cyphocaris richardi* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, being also found further north (De Broyer et al. 2007, Milne & Griffiths 2013). *C. richardi* is present in the diet of Adélie penguins, blue petrels, Kerguelen petrels and Antarctic prions (Volkman et al. 1980, Jażdżewski 1981, Ridoux 1994, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Cyphocaris anonyx* is characterized by a sword-like tooth on the basis of pereopod 5, both margins of this tooth are deeply dentate. The tooth is relatively short and not longer than the ischium, merus and carpus of the pereopod 5 combined (Vinogradov 1999). The posterior margins of the pereopods 5-7 are dentate. *C. challengeri* has a sword-like tooth almost as long as articles 3-7 (ischium to dactyl) of pereopod 5 combined and a large fourth and fifth coxal plate, the former covering coxal plate 1-3 and the latter covering part of the

sixth coxal plate (Vinogradov 1999). *Cyphocaris richardi* has the posterior margin of article 2 of pereopod 5 without sword-like tooth but with posterodistal serrate lobe (Vinogradov 1999). Both *C. richardi* (length to 56 mm) and *C. anonyx* (total length to 15 mm) are recognizable by their orange-red colour; most specimens of *C. richardi* present a narrow horn-like process anteriorly over the head (Vinogradov 1999). *C. faurei* K.H.Barnard, 1916, a fourth described species (total length to 30 mm) from the Southern Ocean (Andres 1983), which may also be found in predators' diets, has a less inflated process not covering the entire dorsal surface of the head, and similar to *C. challengeri*, a posterodistal sword-like tooth is clearly shorter than the articles 3-7 (ischium to dactyl) of pereopod 5 combined and does not even reach the posterior margin of the fifth coxal plate. Alive specimens have a red-rose colour. Whilst in *C. anonyx*, the eyes are absent, in *C. richardi* they are small and oval, in *C. challengeri* large and reniform, and in *C. faurei* they are pear-like and broadened ventrally (Vinogradov 1999).

#### FAMILY DEXAMINIDAE LEACH, 1814

#### Existing species that can be found is:

Polycheria kergueleni (Stebbing, 1888) Figure 42 | page 163

*Polycheria kergueleni* is distributed in subantarctic waters, around Kerguelen islands and total length can reach *ca* 5 mm (Stebbing 1888). It is commonly found in the diet of blue petrels, Antarctic prions and thin-billed prions from Kerguelen Islands (Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Connan et al. 2008).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The family Dexaminidae is represented by two genera in the Southern Ocean: *Paradexamine* and *Polycheria*. The representatives of the latter have all pereopods fully prehensile. There are eleven species in genus *Polycheria* reported from the Southern Ocean. The identification key can be found in the literature (Thurston 1974a), where they are treated as forms of *Polycheria antarctica sensu lato*. The detailed morphological description and drawings of *P. kergueleni* are available in the original description by Stebbing (1888) under its former genus name *Tritaeta*. The identification characters are: the coxa 1 (which is produced antero-ventrally), coxa 4 (having postero-ventral angle rounded but antero-ventral angle with strong tooth) and pereopods 3-4 (being stout with merus much longer than propodus) (Thurston 1974a).

## FAMILY EPIMERIIDAE BOECK, 1871

#### Existing species that can be found are:

*Epimeria (Epimeriella) macronyx* (Walker, 1906) Figure 43 | page 164 *Epimeria (Laevepimeria) walkeri* (K.H. Barnard, 1930)

*Epimeria macronyx* (= *Epimeriella macronyx* [Lörz et al. 2009]) has a circum-Antarctic distribution extending as far north as the South Orkney Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007, Lörz et al. 2009), with the total length ranging between 14 and 28 mm (Coleman 2007). *E. macronyx* is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins and emperor penguins (Paulin 1975, Offredo & Ridoux 1986, Foster et al. 1987, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Watanuki et al. 1994, Cherel & Kooyman 1998).

*Epimeria walkeri* (= *Epimeriella walkeri* K. H. Barnard, 1930 [Lörz et al. 2009]) is distributed in Antarctic waters around continental Antarctica as well as around the Antarctic Peninsula and South Shetland Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007, Lörz et al. 2009), with its total length ranging between 11 and 29 mm (Coleman 2007). It is present in the diet of Adélie penguins (Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Epimeria* is one of four epimeriid genera recorded in the Southern Ocean. It has weakly subchelate gnathopods with a well-developed palm (the carpus is subequal in size to the propodus) and a 4-articulated palp of maxilliped (Coleman 2007). There are 27 species of *Epimeria* recorded from the Southern Ocean. The extensive list of literature and distribution information can be found in the literature (De Broyer et al. 2007) where both species are listed under the former genus name (*Epimeriella*). *E. macronyx* has been described and illustrated (Walker 1907, Coleman 2007). The description and drawings of *E. walkeri* are available (Barnard 1930, Barnard 1932, Coleman 2007). Both *E. macronyx* and *E. walkeri* have smooth dorsal side of pereonites and pleonites 1-2; the pleonite 3 has

only a shallow keel. The species can be distinguished by the shape of the coxa 4, which is tapering and acute in the first species, while in the latter is truncate and ventrally rounded (Coleman 2007). An interactive key for World Epimeriidae can be found on the web (http://www.marinespecies.org/amphipoda/idkeys.php) (Horton et al. 2013). However, it is important to underline that recent investigations of the Antarctic *Epimeria* spp. based on both molecular and morphological characters doubled the actual number of *Epimeria* species by uncovering 25 new lineages (Verheye et al. 2016). A description of these new species supplemented by the key to the Antarctic and subantarctic Epimeriidae has recently been published (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Verheye 2017).

#### FAMILY EURYTHENEIDAE STODDART & LOWRY, 2004

#### Existing species that can be found are:

*Eurythenes gryllus* (Lichtenstein in Mandt, 1822) (probably E. *gryllus sensu stricto*) Figure 44 | page 165 BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.025 (n=1) (14.3 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

*Eurythenes obesus* (Chevreux, 1905) Figure 45 | page 165 BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.03 (n=3) (0.2-2.1 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

The complex of species Eurythenes gryllus sensu lato has a circumpolar distribution in the Southern Ocean, being also distributed across the World Oceans, except for the Red and the Mediterranean seas (Stoddart & Lowry 2004, De Broyer et al. 2007, Havermans et al. 2013, Milne & Griffiths 2013). However, recently it has been shown to consist of at least 15 different species-level lineages that can be recognized morphologically (Havermans 2016). So far, five of these have been (re)described (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2015). Of these, four species have been found to co-occur throughout the Southern Ocean: i) E. maldoror d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2015, ii) E. gryllus s.s. (Lichtenstein in Mandt, 1822), iii) E. gryllus sensu d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2015, iv) E. andhakarae d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2015, and v) an undescribed species (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2015). Specimens identified as E. gryllus have been found in the diet of a wide range of top predators, including fish, gentoo penguins, grey-headed albatrosses, black-browed albatrosses, sooty albatrosses, great winged petrels, blue petrels, white-chinned petrels, Kerguelen petrels, Antarctic prions, black bellied storm petrels and brown skuas (Croxall et al. 1988, Wakabara et al. 1990, Cooper et al. 1992, Ridoux 1994, Hahn 1998, Catard et al. 2000, Reinhardt et al. 2000, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Xavier et al. 2003a, Pinkerton et al. 2012, Stevens et al. 2014, Xavier et al. 2017). However, in-depth molecular or morphological studies should reveal to which species these specimens found as prey belong. Eurythenes species in the Southern Ocean seem to be restricted geographically and bathymetrically. Species found from shallow waters to 3000 m depth are E. gryllus s.s. and E. sp. 1 whilst the other two species were so far only sampled at depths below 3000 m (Havermans 2016). Furthermore, E. gryllus s.s. seems to be the only species found around

the subantarctic islands (e.g. Kerguelen), however, this cannot be certified without a more extensive sampling coverage. Hence, DNA barcodes and/or a detailed morphological examination will allow identifying these species.

*Eurythenes obesus* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic and subantarctic waters found at the surface to 1600 m depth, and can be distributed further north in deeper waters (Stoddart & Lowry 2004, De Broyer et al. 2007, Milne & Griffiths 2013). *E. obesus* is present in the diet of red cod (*Salilota australis*), light-mantled sooty albatrosses, grey-headed albatrosses, great winged petrels, soft-plumaged petrels, blue petrels, white-chinned petrels, Kerguelen petrels, Antarctic prions, thin billed prions and black-bellied storm petrels (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Ridoux 1994, Catard et al. 2000, Arkhipkin et al. 2001, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Xavier et al. 2003a, Connan et al. 2014).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

A key for identification of *Eurythenes* species can be found in the literature (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2015). Species belonging to the complex *Eurythenes gryllus sensu lato* can reach much larger sizes than *E. obesus*, the latter has been found with a total length only to 80 mm, however, smaller species and juvenile specimens of the former can be found as well (e.g. *E. sigmiferus* [d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2015]). Hence, size is not a valid criterion to differentiate the two species, difficult to assess in food samples, mainly because they are often much digested and in pieces of various sizes. A key and easy feature however is the length of the dactyls in pereopods 3-7, which are extremely long in *E. obesus* (more than 0.6 of propodus) compared to all other *Eurythenes* species (less than 0.3 of propodus). Hence, as only one loose dactyl is sufficient for species identification, hence dactyls have to be carefully searched in the samples. Furthermore, the eye of *E. obesus* is narrowly linear in contrast with the broad and L-shaped eyes observed in the different species of the *E. gryllus* complex (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2015). For further identification of *E. gryllus sensu lato* is available in d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans (2015).

#### FAMILY EUSIRIDAE STEBBING, 1888

#### Existing species that can be found are:

*Eusirus antarcticus* Thompson, 1880 Figure 46 | page 166 *Eusirus microps* Walker, 1906 Figure 47 | page 166 *Eusirus perdentatus* Chevreux, 1912 Figure 48 | page 167 *Eusirus propeperdentatus* Andres, 1979 Figure 49 | page 167

*Eusirus antarcticus* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, extending to subantarctic waters (e.g. Patagonian shelf, off Argentina, Kerguelen Islands and New Zealand) (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 13 and 36 mm (Barnard 1930, Vinogradov 1999). *E. antarcticus* is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, Antarctic prions and thin billed prions (Paulin 1975, Foster et al. 1987, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Vacchi & La Mesa 1995, Cherel et al. 2002a, La Mesa et al. 2004b, La Mesa et al. 2007).

*Eusirus microps* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters extending north to Bouvet Island (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 25 and 48 mm (Walker 1907). This species is present in the diet of emperor penguins and Weddell seals (Dearborn 1965, Cherel & Kooyman 1998).

*Eusirus perdentatus* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, up to South Shetland and South Orkneys islands (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 30 and 75 mm (Vinogradov 1999, Andres et al. 2002). It is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins and emperor penguins (Offredo & Ridoux 1986, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Emison 2000, Main et al. 2009).

*Eusirus propeperdentatus* has a circum-Antarctic distribution, including in the Scotia Sea (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 4 and 63 mm (Andres 1979, Andres et al. 2002). This species is present in the diet of emperor penguins and gentoo penguins (Jażdżewski 1981, Cherel & Kooyman 1998).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Five genera of Eusiridae are reported from the Southern Ocean, from which the genus Eusirus can be distinguished by the presence of gnathopods of the typical eusirid form (Barnard & Karaman 1991). There are eight species of Eusirus recorded in the Southern Ocean. The taxonomic status of *Eusirus antarcticus* is currently under revision (initiated by Claude de Broyer and Krzysztof Jażdżewski), and E. antarcticus is most likely more than one species (De Broyer et al. 2007). The detailed literature citation and distribution records are available (De Broyer et al. 2007). The thorough description and drawings of E. antarcticus can be found (Stebbing 1888) (under the name E. longipes). Additional drawings of this species are available (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974). The description of E. microps is available (Walker 1907) and additional drawings of this species can be found (Bellan-Santini 1972b). E. perdentatus was thoroughly described and illustrated (Chevreux 1913) as well as E. propeperdentatus (Andres 1979). The identification key for known Antarctic Eusirus species can be found in Andres et al. (2002). The representatives of this genus are known to have fragile body and very often their appendages are broken. The species-specific characters are sometimes difficult to observe and may also vary with size/age of the animal, hence it is highly recommended to use the original literature for species identification (possibly with the aid of molecular tools when available) or limit it to the genus level.

## FAMILY LILJEBORGIIDAE STEBBING, 1899

#### Existing species that can be found is:

#### Liljeborgia georgiana Schellenberg, 1931 Figure 50 | page 168

*Liljeborgia georgiana* has a circum-Antarctic distribution and extends as far north as South Georgia and Bouvet Island, with total length up to 27 mm (d'Udekem d'Acoz 2008). This species is present in the diet of fish (Bellan-Santini 1972a, La Mesa et al. 2007, Collins et al. 2008, d'Udekem d'Acoz 2008).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

There are only two known genera of Liljeborgiidae of which only *Liljeborgia* is present south of Subtropical Front. The representatives of this genus are characterized by the powerful, subchelate gnathopods with carpus produced along propodus (Barnard & Karaman 1991). There are 25 species in genus *Liljeborgia* reported from the Southern Ocean. The extensive citation list and distribution information of the Antarctic and subantarctic *Liljeborgia* species can be found in the literature (d'Udekem d'Acoz 2008, 2009). The detailed description and drawings as well as the identification key are available (d'Udekem d'Acoz 2008). *L. georgiana* is the only one species of this family reported from the fish stomach, however, one has to expect finding also other representatives of this genus as a prey. Therefore, while identifying specimens from Liljeborgiidae a thorough comparison with the other species, other than *Liljeborgia georgiana*, should be made using the available literature (d'Udekem d'Acoz 2008).

#### FAMILY LYSIANASSIDAE DANA, 1849

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Charcotia obesa Chevreux, 1906 Figure 51 | page 168 Cheirimedon femoratus (Pfeffer, 1888) Figure 52 | page 169 Debroyerella fougneri (Walker, 1903) Figure 53 | page 169 Hippomedon kergueleni (Miers, 1875) Figure 54 | page 170 Lepidepecreum urometacarinatum Andres, 1985 Figure 55 | page 170 Orchomenella franklini Walker, 1903 Figure 56 | page 171 Parawaldeckia kidderi (Smith, 1876) Figure 57 | page 171 Tryphosella macropareia (Schellenberg, 1926) Figure 58 | page 172

*Charcotia obesa* (formely *Waldeckia* obesa [Chevreux, 1905]) has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters (De Broyer et al. 2007, De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014) and is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, gentoo penguins, Antarctic terns and leopard seals (Moreno & Osorio 1977, Volkman et al. 1980, Jażdżewski 1981, Linkowski et al. 1983, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Jażdżewski & Konopacka 1999, Hall-Aspland & Rogers 2004, Casaux & Barrera-Oro 2013, Dimitrijević et al. 2018).

*Cheirimedon femoratus* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, extending its distribution to subantarctic waters (De Broyer & Jażdżewski 1993, De Broyer et al. 2007) and it is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, black bellied storm petrels and Antarctic terns (Barnard 1932, Bellan-Santini 1972a, Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Richardson 1975, Volkman et al. 1980, Jażdżewski 1981, Linkowski et al. 1983, Wakabara et al. 1990, Hahn 1998, Jażdżewski & Konopacka 1999).

Debroyerella fougneri (formely Cheirimedon fougneri Walker, 1903) is distributed in Antarctic waters (De Broyer et al. 2007) and it is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins and leopard seals (Bellan-Santini 1972a, Green & Williams 1986, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Vacchi & La Mesa 1995, La Mesa et al. 2000, La Mesa et al. 2004a, La Mesa et al. 2004b).

*Hippomedon kergueleni* is distributed in Antarctic (e.g. Bouvet island [Arntz et al. 2006]) and subantarctic waters, extending to Prince Edward Islands (Branch et al. 1993,

De Broyer et al. 2007), Snares Islands (Lowry & Stoddart 1983b), and the Magellanic/ Tierra Del Fuego region (De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014). *H. kergueleni* is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, Antarctic terns and southern elephant seals (Bellan-Santini 1972a, Thurston 1974a, Volkman et al. 1980, Jażdżewski 1981, Linkowski et al. 1983, Kiest 1993, Jażdżewski & Konopacka 1999, La Mesa et al. 2007).

*Lepidepecreum urometacarinatum* is distributed in Antarctic waters, being found as north as the South Shetland Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007) and is present in the diets of fish (La Mesa et al. 2007, Collins et al. 2008).

*Orchomenella franklini* is distributed in Antarctic waters (De Broyer et al. 2007), often dominating Antarctic shallow communities (Stark 2000, Baird et al. 2012, Havermans 2012) and is present in the diet of fish (La Mesa et al. 2007).

*Parawaldeckia kidderi* has a circumpolar distribution in subantarctic waters (Lowry & Stoddart 1983b, De Broyer et al. 2007, De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014) and is present in the diet of cape pigeons (Ridoux 1994).

*Tryphosella macropareia* is distributed in Antarctic waters (De Broyer et al. 2007) and present in the diet of fish (La Mesa et al. 2007).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Debroyerella fougneri has been recently revised (Lowry & Kilgallen 2015). This species has a rather compressed body and the head is characterized by rounded cephalic lobes with irregular, dark, reniform eyes. Its first gnathopod is very robust with a prominent propodus expanding slightly distally, bearing a slightly oblique and sinuous palm that is densely fringed with short spines and setae (Walker 1903). Its telson reaches a little beyond the end of the peduncles of the third uropods and is cleft with a wide sinus for nearly half its length with a small terminal spine on each division. *D. fougneri* can be differentiated from its formerly congeneric species *Cheirimedon femoratus* by the presence of a teeth on the posterior margin of the third epimeral plate, the long slender setae on the rami of uropod 3 and the comparatively longer coxal plates (Walker 1903, Siqueira & Serejo 2014). *C. fermoratus* has a telson with two robust setae on the medioapical surface (Siqueira & Serejo 2014). A description of *Debroyerella* species is available in Lowry & Kilgallen (2015) as well as a key to all *Cheirimedon* species (Siqueira & Serejo 2014).

Lepidepecreum species can be recognized by their robust bodies that are laterally bulged (species identifications is available [Lowry & Stoddart 2002]), but distinguished from *Charcotia obesa* (although it has recently noted that is a mixture of two species, according to bathymetric ranges [d'Udekem d'Acoz et al. 2018]) - also laterally bulged and very robust with thick coxal plates - by the presence of an acute, triangular cephalic lobe and the absence of the very large posteroventral lobe of the fourth coxal plate, that is so typical of *C. obesa* (Chevreux 1905b). It is important to mention that *C. obesa* is a complex of two separate species: *C. obesa s.s.* and *C. amundseni*. These two taxa has similar geographic distribution but differ in their depth ranges, the former found mainly up to 200 m (d'Udekem d'Acoz et al. 2018). It is highly probable that both species were reported as a prey for other animals, so when studying the stomach content of Antarctic predators it is highly recommended to refer to d'Udekem d'Acoz (2018) publication. However, in *L. umbo*, the fourth coxal plate is enlarged as well, but to a lesser extent as in *C. obesa* in which the lobe is prolonged alongside the border of the following coxal plate.

*Charcotia* species can also be recognized by the parachelate first gnathopod, almost unique amongst the lysianassids; *L. urometacarinatum* has a subchelate first gnathopod (Andres 1983). Whilst the telson of *Charcotia* species is deeply cleft, *L. urometacarinatum* has a telson cleft only over one fifth of its length. Species descriptions of *Charcotia* can be found in a genus revision (Lowry & Kilgallen 2014).

Parawaldeckia kidderi also bears a well-developed posteroventral lobe of the fourth coxal plate, however, can be differentiated from *Charcotia* species by the long plumose setae along the inner margins of the rami of the third uropods as well as long setae on the rami of the first and second uropods. The description of the different *Parawaldeckia* species is available (Lowry & Stoddart 1983a, Lowry & Stoddart 1983b). In contrast with the *Charcotia* and *Lepidepecreum* species, *Hippomedon* and *Tryphosella* species are not laterally bulged but rather compressed; a revision of the genus *Tryphosella* has been done (Lowry

& Stoddart 2011). Keys for the genus *Hippomedon* are available (Gurjanova 1962, Barnard 1964); *H. kergueleni* has been illustrated (Bellan-Santini 1972a) and can be distinguished by a very prominent tooth on the posterodistal corner of the third epimeral plate.

The identification of orchomenid species can be difficult due to the large number of species often separated by minute morphological differences. Moreover, in several cases, species complexes have been detected and therefore, species identification may be eased by the use of DNA barcoding (Havermans et al. 2011). For morphological identification, a number of works can be consulted (Walker 1903, Hurley 1965, Barnard & Karaman 1991, De Broyer et al. 2007).

#### FAMILY OEDICEROTIDAE LILLJEBORG, 1865

# Existing species that can be found is:

#### Oediceroides lahillei Chevreux, 1911 Figure 59 | page 172

*Oediceroides lahillei* is distributed in Antarctic waters of the islands along Scotia Arc (South Shetland Islands, South Orkney Islands, South Sandwich Islands, South Georgia) extending north up to South Georgia and into subantarctic waters in the Magellanic/Tierra del Fuego region (De Broyer & Rauschert 1999, De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 15 and 22 mm (Chevreux 1911). *O. lahillei* is present in the diet of fish (Collins et al. 2008) and gentoo penguins (Xavier et al. 2017).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Seven genera of Oedicerotidae are known from the Southern Ocean. The genus *Oediceroides* is characterized by triturative molar, robust gnathopods of similar size, multicarinate dorsal surface of the pereon segments and single eye which occupies the entire rostrum (Barnard & Karaman 1991). Eight species of *Oediceroides* are hitherto recorded south of Subtropical Front. The detailed literature list and distribution summary is available (De Broyer et al. 2007). No identification key exist for species belonging to this genus. *O. lahillei* has been described and illustrated (Chevreux 1911). The species is quite characteristic by its pereonites bearing tubercule processes on their dorsal surface. This feature can also be found in some other oedicerotid species, however, in the case of *O. lahillei* there is also a similar process on its head. Additionally it possesses a long (reaching the end of 1st peduncular article of antenna 1) convex rostrum, bearing the single eye (Chevreux 1911).

#### FAMILY PHOXOCEPHALIDAE G.O. SARS, 1981

#### Existing species that can be found is:

#### Heterophoxus videns K.H. Barnard, 1930 Figure 60 | page 173

*Heterophoxus videns* has a circum-Antarctic distribution and extends north up to South Georgia as well as Falkland Islands and Magellan region in the subantarctic, with total length ranging between 4 and 10 mm (Barnard 1930, Bellan-Santini 1972b). The species has also been recorded from outside the Southern Ocean (Brazil on the Atlantic Ocean coast and Valparaiso on the Pacific coast) (Alonso de Pina et al. 2008). The species has been reported from fish stomach contents (Bellan-Santini 1972a, Wakabara et al. 1990).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The genus *Heterophoxus* belongs to the phoxocephalid subfamily Harpiniinae which is recognizable by the narrow character of basis of pereopod 5. Within this subfamily five genera are represented in the Southern Ocean. Among them *Heterophoxus* is characterized by the presence of ommatidian eyes (sometimes fading away after fixation but preserving the ommatidia) and the ensiform process on the 2nd article of peduncle of antenna 2 (Barnard & Karaman 1991). There are three species of *Heterophoxus* described from the Southern Ocean (*H. pellusidus*, *H. trichosus*, and *H. videns*). The description and drawings of the species are available (Barnard 1930, Bellan-Santini 1972b). Taxonomic remarks are also available (Alonso de Pina et al. 2008). Among the species of *Heterophoxus* the present species can be recognized by the presence of a small tooth at the posterodistal corner on third epimeral plate (the other two species have a very large tooth) and small serrations on the posterior margin of the lobe of basis of pereopod 7. It is worth noting that the sexual dimorphism in *Heterophoxus* species is reflected in the size of the eyes which are large in males (having the height larger than 3/4 of the height of the head) and clearly smaller in females (height ca. 1/2 height of the head) (Barnard 1930).

# FAMILY SCOPELOCHEIRIDAE LOWRY & STODDART, 1997

#### Existing species that can be found is:

Paracallisoma sp. Chevreux, 1903 Figure 61 | page 173

*Paracallisoma* sp. (also previously identified as *P. alberti* Schellenberg, 1926). It has been considered that *Paracallisoma alberti* Chevreux, 1903 (Chevreux, 1903) and *Scopelocheirus coecus* (Holmes, 1909) were erroneously synonymized by Schellenberg, 1926a, on the basis of a single specimen from Antarctic waters (Thurston, 1990); the former species is restricted to the northeast Atlantic Ocean and the latter one occurs in the Pacific Ocean (De Broyer et al. 2007). Vinogradov (1999) considered *Paracallisoma alberti* to be distributed worldwide, in both hemispheres, with a circumpolar distribution. The Southern Ocean material of *Paracallisoma alberti* has been revised (Horton & Thurston 2015, Lowry & Kilgallen 2015) and is no longer comprised under *P. alberti*, which is restricted to the Atlantic (Kilgallen & Lowry, 2015). This undescribed species is distributed in Antarctic waters (Schellenberg 1926, Brandt et al. 2007, De Broyer et al. 2007) seems to be closely related to *P. platepistomum* Andres, 1977 (Thurston 1990, Kilgallen & Lowry 2015), and has been identified in the diet of Kerguelen petrels (Ridoux 1994).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Paracallisoma* sp. can be differentiated from other scopelocheirid amphipods by the pyriform basis of pereopod 5 and the subrectangular propodus of the first gnathopod (Horton & Thurston 2015). The telson is cleft and the disterolateral, subapical notch with robust setae on each telson lobe is also typical (Vinogradov 1999, Horton & Thurston 2015).

## FAMILY STEGOCEPHALIDAE DANA, 1855

#### Existing species that can be found is:

#### Parandania boecki (Stebbing, 1888) Figure 62 | page 174

*Parandania boecki* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, being also distributed in subantarctic and more northern waters, including the Northern Hemisphere (Berge & Vader 2001, De Broyer et al. 2007, Milne & Griffiths 2013), with total length ranging between 3 and 26 mm (Stebbing 1888, Birstein & Vinogradov 1955). It is present in the diet of blue petrels (Cherel et al. 2002b).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The genus *Parandania* belongs to the Parandaniinae subfamily which can be distinguished by a long flagellum on antenna 1 and a triangular telson (Berge & Vader 2001). There are three species from the genus recorded in the Southern Ocean (*P. boecki*, *P. gigantea*, and *P. nonbiata*). The detailed description and drawings of *P. boecki* are available (Stebbing, 1888). The remarks on the morphological differences between the three *Parandania* species have been described (Berge & Vader 2001), as well as the key for Southern Ocean representatives of the family Stegocephalidae (Berge et al. 2000). It is important to note that, in this key, *P. gigantea* and *P. nonbiata* are listed under the former genus name - *Euandania*.

### FAMILY STENOTHOIDAE BOECK, 1871

# Existing species that can be found are:

#### Antatelson walkeri (Chilton, 1912) Mesoproboloides spinosa Bellan-Santini and Ledoyer, 1974

Antatelson walkeri has been recorded from the Antarctic Peninsula waters, as well as Weddell Sea, South Shetland Islands, South Orkney Islands, South Georgia and Bouvet Island (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 1.0-3.5 mm (Schellenberg 1931, Thurston 1974b). The species was found in the diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

*Mesoproboloides spinosa* has been described from subantarctic (Kerguelen Islands) and later it was also reported from the area of Antarctic Peninsula (De Broyer et al. 2007). The species was found in the diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The genus *Antatelson* belong to the Thaumatelsoninae subfamily which representatives have dorso-ventrally thickened telson and rectolinear basis of pereopods 5-7. There are seven species in the genus *Antatelson* known worldwide, six of which were reported from the Southern Ocean. The list of literature citation and detailed distribution records are available (De Broyer et al. 2007). The description and drawings of *A. walkeri* are also available (Chilton 1912) (under the former generic name *Thaumatelson*), as well as the key for all known species of this genus (Krapp-Schickel 2011). The species can be recognized by the sharp dorsal projection on the epimeron 3 which is directed backwards.

Three species of *Mesoproboloides* are known from the Southern Ocean. Only the original description and drawings of *M. spinosa* are available (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974). The species can be distinguished from its congeners by the combination of lack of the nasiform process on antenna 1, rectolinear basis of pereopod 6 as well as lack of the dorsal process on third pleonite.

#### FAMILY URISTIDAE HURLEY, 1963

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Cicadosa cicadoides (Stebbing, 1888)

Pseudorchomene plebs (Hurley, 1965) Figure 63 | page 174 Pseudorchomene rossi (Walker 1903) Figure 64 | page 175 Tryphosinae incertae sedis intermedia (Schellenberg, 1926) Figure 65 | page 175 Uristes gigas Dana, 1852 Figure 66 | page 176 Uristes georgianus (Schellenberg, 1931) Uristes murrayi (Walker, 1903) Figure 67 | page 176

*Cicadosa cicadoides* is distributed in subantarctic waters (Kerguelen island region) (De Broyer et al. 2007) and is present in the diet of Antarctic prions and thin billed prions (Cherel et al. 2002a).

Pseudorchomene plebs (= Abyssorchomene plebs [Hurley, 1965] and Orchomene plebs [Hurley, 1965] [d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2012]) has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters (e.g. South Orkneys), and subantarctic waters, around Macquarie island (De Broyer et al. 2007, Havermans et al. 2011, d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2012). It is present in the diet of fish, emperor penguins, gentoo penguins and Antarctic terns (Linkowski et al. 1983, Montgomery et al. 1989, Cherel & Kooyman 1998, Jażdżewski & Konopacka 1999, Berón et al. 2002, La Mesa et al. 2004a).

Pseudorchomene rossi (= Abyssorchomene rossi (Walker, 1903) and Orchomene rossi [Walker, 1903], see d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans [2012]) has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, extending its distribution as far north as South Georgia (De Broyer et al. 2007) and is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins and emperor penguins (Bellan-Santini 1972a, Targett 1981, Foster et al. 1987, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Montgomery et al. 1989, Cherel & Kooyman 1998).

Tryphosinae *incertae sedis intermedia* (= *Tryphosella intermedia* (Schellenberg, 1926); this species is being reviewed, and cannot be ascribed to the genus in which it was originally placed (i.e. *Thryphosella*) but it undoubtedly belongs to the Family Tryphosidae (De Broyer et al. 2007, Lowry & Stoddart 2011, Horton et al. 2014, Horton et al. 2019). It is distributed in Antarctic waters (De Broyer et al. 2007) and present in the diet of fish (La Mesa et al. 2007).

Uristes gigas has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, extending its distribution to subantarctic waters and Magellanic/Tierra Del Fuego region (De Broyer & Rauschert 1999, Chiesa & Alonso de Pina 2007, De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014) and is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, emperor penguins, black-browed albatrosses, blue petrels, Antarctic prions and thin billed prions (Offredo & Ridoux 1986, Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Cherel & Kooyman 1998, Cherel et al. 2000, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Collins et al. 2008, Connan et al. 2008).

Uristes georgianus has a distribution encompassing the Adélie Coast as well as the South Shetland Islands to as far north as South Georgia (De Broyer et al. 2007). It was reported in large numbers from fish stomachs (Wakabara et al. 1990).

Uristes murrayi (also previously identified as Tryphosella murrayi (Lowry & Stoddart 2011) has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, extending north as much as South Shetland Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007). U. murrayi is present in the diet of Adélie penguins, fairy prions and Salvin's prions (Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Prince & Copestake 1990, Ridoux 1994).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Pseudorchomene species can be differentiated from other 'orchomenid' amphipod by the triangular or adze-shaped first coxal plate. Within this genus, the different species are separated based on the shape of the first gnathopod which is stocky for *P. plebs* and *P. rossi*, but elongated for *P. debroyeri* and *P. lophorachis* (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2012). Visually, when freshly caught, specimens of *P. plebs* can be easily separated from *P. rossi* on the basis of the eye colour: the former have dark brown/reddish eyes whereas the latter have black eyes (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2012). An identification key for the genus is available (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2012). *P. plebs* and *P. rossi* can also be differentiated based on the uropod 3: in *P. plebs* the inner ramus is shorter than the first article of the outer ramus and the medial border of the outer ramus bears plumose setae whilst in *P. rossi* the inner ramus reaches at least the base of article 2 of the outer ramus and its medial border bears no setae (but sometimes spines) (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Havermans 2012).

*Cicadosa cicadoides* has a simple or poorly subchelate first gnathopod with a slightly elongated article 3, an oblique palm and a large dactyl and the second gnathopod with a minutely subchelate propodus (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Barnard & Karaman 1991). Some other characters defining the species are the separate labrum and epistome, of which the former is dominant and subsharp (Barnard & Karaman 1991). The coxa 1 is expanded and clearly visible, not tapering (Barnard & Karaman 1991). *Cicadosa* differs from *Tryphosella/Uristes* species in the length of the articles 5 and 6 of the first gnathopod, for the former, the article 5 is shorter than 6, in some of the latter species, the article 6 is distinctly longer than the article 5 (Barnard & Karaman 1991). Moreover, in *Tryphosella* species, the labrum and epistome are also differentially produced but the epistome is slightly to strongly dominant in size and projection (Barnard & Karaman 1991). From *Tmetonyx* species it can be distinguished by the notched inner ramus of the second uropod.

Concerning the Uristes species, the taxonomy is complex and several diagnostic characters for distinguishing Tryphosella and Uristes species have been pointed out in various works but subsequently rejected (Barnard & Karaman 1991). Currently accepted characters are the mouthparts, more precisely the length of the plates and setal teeth of the maxilla and the mandibular molars (Lowry & Stoddart 2011), which renders identification difficult especially for specimens from stomach contents. Moreover, within the major species of Uristes (e.g. U. murrayi) species complexes were detected which can be differentiated with very detailed morphometric analyses or DNA only (Feldkamp 2010, Seefeldt 2012). Keys to differentiate the different genera (e.g. Uristes, Tryphosella) are available, however they are only partly valid (Barnard & Karaman 1991).

# **SUBORDER** HYPERIIDEA

Milne Edwards, 1830

- » FAMILY CYLLOPODIDAE BOVALLIUS, 1887
- » FAMILY HYPERIIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY PHROSINIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY VIBILIIDAE DANA, 1852

#### FAMILY CYLLOPODIDAE BOVALLIUS, 1887

#### Existing species that can be found are:

This is the only family of Hyperiidea endemic to the Southern Ocean (Zeidler & De Broyer 2014). It includes the genus *Cyllopus*, with two species that looks very similar. *Cyllopus* are common prey of seabirds (see below).

*Cyllopus lucasii* Bate, 1862 Figure 68 | page 178 BL = 0.56 ED - 0.08 (n=13) (0.9-1.3 cm) (Ridoux 1994) BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.034 (n=2) (0.04-0.07 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

#### Cyllopus magellanicus Dana, 1853

*Cyllopus lucasii* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, from the continent up to the Subantarctic Front (Weigmann-Haass 1983, Vinogradov 1999, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014). *C. lucasii* is a common species near the surface, being sometimes abundant enough to provide a substantial food source for predators (Zeidler & De Broyer 2014). It is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, chinstrap penguins, gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins, emperor penguins, royal penguins, Antarctic fulmars, Kerguelen petrels, Antarctic petrels, blue petrels, cape pigeons, Salvin's prions, Antarctic prions, fairy prions, black-bellied storm petrels and grey-backed storm petrels (Barnard 1930, Prince 1980, Volkman et al. 1980, Jażdżewski 1981, Croxall et al. 1988, Hindell 1988, Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Pütz 1995, Kent et al. 1998, Hull 1999, Van Franeker et al. 2001, Berón et al. 2002, Cherel et al. 2002b, Pinkerton et al. 2012, Saunders et al. 2014).

Cyllopus magellanicus also has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, but is less common near the continent and can extent their distribution to the Subtropical Front and beyond (Weigmann-Haass 1983, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014). C. magellanicus is present in the diet of squid, fish, Adélie penguins, emperor penguins, wandering albatrosses, grey-headed albatrosses, black-browed albatrosses, Antarctic fulmars, blue petrels, short-tailed shearwaters, Antarctic prions, broad billed prions, thin billed prions and Wilson's storm petrels (Imber 1981, Clark 1985, Puddicombe & Johnstone

1988, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1994, Pütz 1995, Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998, Cherel et al. 2000, Van Franeker et al. 2001, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel et al. 2002d, Quillfeldt 2002, Xavier et al. 2003a, Xavier et al. 2003b, Connan et al. 2007b, Connan et al. 2008, Horn et al. 2013, Cherel et al. 2014, Connan et al. 2014).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

For description of the two species, see Weigmann-Haass (1983) and Vinogradov (1999). The two species can be easily confused and thus misidentified (e.g. the surprising lack of *C. magellanicus* in Ridoux 1994). *C. lucasii* reaches a larger total length than *C. magellanicus* (23 versus 17 mm, respectively). Females are by far more numerous than males in birds' stomach contents, with males easily differentiated from females by their longer antennae. Some key features to distinguish both species (including in damaged specimens, typically found in predators diets) are (i) the tip of antenna 1 & antenna 2 in females that presents either a small segment bearing setae (*magellanicus*) or not (*lucasii*), and (ii) gnathopods 1 and P2 (weakly) chelate (*lucasii*) or simple (*magellanicus*) (Weigmann-Haass 1983). For *Cyllopus lucasii*, the head is longer than pereonites 1-3 combined whilst for *C. magellanicus*, the head is as long as pereonites 1-3 combined (Vinogradov 1999).

#### FAMILY HYPERIIDAE DANA, 1852

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Hyperia macrocephala (Dana, 1853) Figure 69 | page 178 Hyperia gaudichaudii Milne Edwards, 1840 Figure 70 | page 179 For Hyperia spp. BL = 0.36 ED + 0.12 (n=6) (0.7-1.9 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

Hyperiella antarctica Bovallius, 1887 Figure 71 | page 179
Hyperiella dilatata Stebbing, 1888 Figure 72 | page 180
Hyperiella macronyx (Walker, 1906) Figure 73 | page 180
Hyperoche luetkenides Walker, 1906 Figure 74 | page 181
Hyperoche capucinus H. Barnard, 1930 Figure 75 | page 181

For *Hyperoche* spp. BL = 0.36 ED + 0.12 (n=6) (0.7-1.9 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

*Themisto gaudichaudii* Guérin, 1825 Figure 76 | page 182 BL =  $(6.61 \times EH) - 0.71$  (n = 1005) (0.04-0.30 mm) (Bocher et al. 2001) BL = 0.56 ED - 0.15 (n=118) (0.3-2.1 cm) (Ridoux 1994) BMs = 0.025 BL<sup>2.83</sup> (n=69) (in mm) (Pakhomov & Perissinotto 1996) BM = 0.0224 BL<sup>2.6</sup> (n=34) (0.01-0.09 cm) (Ridoux 1994) Ln BMs = -5.31 + 2.4 LnTL (in mm) (Alvarez Colombo & Viñas 1994) BM = 0.0002 BL<sup>2.08</sup> (n=69) (in mm) (Watts & Tarling 2012)

*Hyperia macrocephala* is distributed in Antarctic waters, between the Antarctic continent and the Antarctic Polar Front (in the Atlantic sector, as far north as South Georgia) (Bowman 1973, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014), with total length up to 29 mm (Vinogradov 1999). It is present in the diet of a wide range of top predators, including fish, Adélie penguins, chinstrap penguins, emperor penguins, gentoo penguins, grey-headed albatrosses, Antarctic fulmars, blue petrels and Antarctic prions (Paulin 1975, Prince 1980, Volkman et al. 1980, Jażdżewski 1981, Croxall et al. 1988, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988,

Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Williams 1990, 1991, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Robertson et al. 1994, Cherel & Kooyman 1998, Kent et al. 1998, Van Franeker et al. 2001, Xavier et al. 2003a, Xavier et al. 2003b).

*Hyperia gaudichaudii* is distributed in subantarctic and subtropical waters, including along the southern coasts of South Africa, South America and Australia (Bowman 1973, Zeidler & De Broyer 2009), with total length ranging between 10-14 mm (Bowman 1973) (> 20 mm further south) (Vinogradov 1999). It is present in the diet of grey-headed albatrosses, sooty shearwaters and Antarctic prions (Kitson et al. 2000, Cruz et al. 2001, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002d).

*Hyperiella* is the only genus of the family Hyperiidae that is endemic to the Southern Ocean. It includes three species.

*Hyperiella antarctica* is distributed in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, between the Antarctic continent and the Subtropical Front (preferably associated to shallow waters) (Bowman 1973, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014), with total length ranging between 6-8 mm (Vinogradov 1999). *H. antarctica* is present in the diet of fish, macaroni penguins, gentoo penguins, grey-headed albatrosses, blue petrels, Kerguelen petrels, cape pigeons, sooty shearwaters, Antarctic prions, fairy prions, thin billed prions, Salvin's prions, black-bellied storm petrels and Wilson's storm petrels (Prince 1980, Williams 1983, Croxall et al. 1988, Ridoux 1994, Cruz et al. 2001, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Connan et al. 2008, Connan et al. 2014).

Hyperiella dilatata has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, extending its distribution up to the Subantarctic Front (Vinogradov 1999, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014), with total length ranging between 6-8 mm (Vinogradov 1999). *H. dilatata* is present in the diet of fish, royal penguins, light mantled sooty albatrosses and southern elephant seals (Foster et al. 1987, Hindell 1988, Jażdżewski & Presler 1988, Montgomery et al. 1989, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Green & Burton 1993, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995a, Vacchi & La Mesa 1995, Pakhomov et al. 1986a, Green et al. 1988a, Hull 1999, La Mesa et al. 2000).

*Hyperiella macronyx* is distributed in Antarctic waters, extending its distribution from the continent up to the Antarctic Polar Front (Vinogradov 1999, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014), with total length up to 13 mm (Vinogradov 1999). *H. macronyx* is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, emperor penguins and southern elephant seals (Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Green & Burton 1993, Kiest 1993, Pakhomov & Pankratov 1995b, Vacchi & La Mesa 1995, Cherel & Kooyman 1998, La Mesa et al. 2000).

*Hyperoche luetkenides* is distributed in Antarctic waters, between the continent and the Antarctic Polar Front, but also a few records north of it, near Macquarie Island and near the Falkland Islands/Islas Malvinas (Zeidler & De Broyer 2014, Zeidler 2015), with total length ranging between 14-20 mm (adults) (Vinogradov 1999, Zeidler 2015). *H. luetkenides* is present in the diet of blue petrels, Antarctic prions, thin billed prions and South Georgian diving petrels (Bocher et al. 2000a, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b).

Hyperoche capucinus is another large Hyperoche species from the Southern Ocean, distributed between the Antarctic continent and the Antarctic Polar Front, with total length ranging between 14-20 mm (Zeidler 2015). Hyperoche species (representing either H. capucinus or H. luetkenides) have been found in the diet of fish, blue petrels, Antarctic prions and fairy prions (Prince 1980, Jażdżewski & Presler 1988, Prince & Copestake 1990).

Themisto gaudichaudii is characterized by a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic and subantarctic waters, found mainly between the Antarctic continent and the Subtropical Front (less common near the pack ice) (Zeidler & De Broyer 2014). It is the most abundant hyperiid amphipod in the Southern Ocean, being one of the most numerous species of macrozooplaknton in the epipelagic zone. Total length ranges between 4 and 28 mm (Vinogradov 1999). Highest abundances have been observed in shelf areas around subantarctic and Antarctic islands, the Scotia Sea, the Patagonian shelf and the waters off Namibia in the Benguela Upwelling System but also offshore in the Antarctic Polar Frontal Zone (Kane 1966, Auel & Ekau 2009, Mackey et al. 2012, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014). In these regions, *T. gaudichaudii* is the main amphipod in the diet of many consumers in the Southern Ocean and hence forming the main stay of the pelagic ecosystems (Bocher et al. 2001). It has been found in stomachs of fish, squid, penguins, albatrosses, fulmars, petrels, prions, skuas, seals and whales, in particular in myctophid fish (e.g. *Electrona carlsbergi*), Adélie penguins, chinstrap penguins, gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins, rockhopper penguins, royal penguins, white-chinned petrels, blue petrels, sooty shearwaters, Antarctic prions, thin billed prions, fairy prions, Salvin's prions and common diving petrels (Nemoto 1962, Nemoto 1970, Prince 1980, Volkman et al. 1980, Imber 1981, Jażdżewski 1981, Targett 1981, Williams 1983, Takahashi & Nemoto 1984, Clark 1985, Croxall et al. 1985a, Duhamel & Hureau 1985, Horne 1985, Nemoto et al. 1985, Williams 1985, Steele & Klages 1986, Brown & Klages 1987, Duhamel 1987, Croxall et al. 1988, Hindell 1988, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Klages 1989, Klages et al. 1989, Ridoux & Offredo 1989, Prince & Copestake 1990, Wakabara et al. 1990, Williams 1990, Kato et al. 1991, Williams 1991, Cherel & Ridoux 1992, Cooper et al. 1992, Green & Wong 1992, Rodhouse et al. 1992, Green & Burton 1993, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1994, Lu & Williams 1994, Ridoux 1994, Bost et al. 1994, Cooper & Klages 1995, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Cherel et al. 1997, Croxall et al. 1997, González et al. 1997, Reid et al. 1997a, Reid et al. 1997b, Green et al. 1998a, Green et al. 1998b, Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998, Croxall et al. 1999, Hull 1999, Weimerskirch et al. 1999, Bocher et al. 2000a, Bocher et al. 2000b, Catard et al. 2000, Cherel et al. 2000, Reinhardt et al. 2000, Tremblay & Cherel 2000, Bocher et al. 2001, Cruz et al. 2001, Mouat et al. 2001, Van Franeker et al. 2001, Bocher et al. 2002, Burton & van den Hoff 2002, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel et al. 2002d, Lea et al. 2002, Brickle et al. 2003, Cherel & Duhamel 2003, Clausen & Pütz 2003, Libertelli et al. 2003, Tremblay & Cherel 2003, Xavier et al. 2003a, Xavier et al. 2003b, Flores et al. 2004, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Lescroël et al. 2004, Lynnes et al. 2004, Nyegaard et al. 2004, Schiavini & Raya Rey 2004, Xavier et al. 2004, Cherel et al. 2005, Raya Rey & Schiavini 2005, Cherel et al. 2007, Collins et al. 2007, Connan et al. 2007a, Connan et al. 2007b, Knox 2007, Clarke et al. 2008, Collins et al. 2008, Connan et al. 2008, Lea et al. 2008, Brickle et al. 2009, Main et al. 2009, Montalti et al. 2009, Shreeve et al. 2009, Tamura & Konishi 2009, Connan et al. 2010, Delord et al. 2010, Quillfeldt et al. 2010, Fijn et al. 2012, Waluda et al. 2012, Delord et al. 2013, Horn et al. 2013, Temperoni et al. 2013, Cherel et al. 2014, Connan et al. 2014, Saunders et al. 2014, Xavier et al. 2017, Xavier et al. 2018a, Xavier et al. 2018b).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

A key for the hyperiids in general, and in particular the genus Hyperia is available with illustrations (Bowman 1973). An additional key to the genus Hyperia is also available (Vinogradov 1999). The genus Hyperia is restricted to large species with no fusion of pereonites and the coxal plates not fused with the pereonites (Bowman 1973). Their body is strong, with a broadened pereon, especially in females. The head is large, spherical and without rostrum; the eyes occupy almost the entire surface of the head (Vinogradov et al. 1996). Pereopods 3-4 are longer than 5-7 (Vinogradov et al. 1996). H. antarctica Spandl, 1927, (= H. spinigera Bovallius, 1889 [Zeidler 1992]) is found in Antarctic and sub-Antarctic waters and has a worldwide distribution (Zeidler 2009, Zeidler & De Broyer 2009). A key feature to easily identify Hyperia macrocephala (total length up to 29 mm) is the shape of the coxa 4 that is pointed and projects laterally in adults (Vinogradov et al. 1996, Vinogradov 1999). The species has a head about as long as pereonites 1-2 combined; pereopods 3-4 bear numerous short setae that are not uniformly long on carpus and propodus. (Bowman 1973). H. antarctica can be distinguished from H. macrocephala by setation of the posterior margins of the carpus and propodus of pereopods 3-4 that are uniformly short in the former. Hyperia gaudichaudii is very similar to H. medusarum (Vinogradov 1999), however, this species is found in the southern hemisphere whilst H. medusarum restricted to the northern hemisphere (Bowman 1973). H. gaudichaudii can be differentiated by the head length that, in lateral view, is subequal to the length of pereonites 1 and 2 combined. The propodus of the first and second gnathopod bears many spines on the medial and lateral surfaces and a long dactyl, of which the spines do not reach the apex (Bowman 1973).

The genus *Hyperiella* can be recognized by the non-fused (free) coxal plates and pereonites, like *Hyperia* species. The head is also large, globular but with a flat anterior surface, without rostrum and lateral lobes. The first and second antennae of the females are 4-articulated (Vinogradov 1999). It can be differentiated from *Hyperia* species by the pereopods 5 or 5 and 6 that are longer than pereopods 3 and 4 whilst in *Hyperia* species the pereopods 3-7 are approximately equal in length, or 3-4 slightly longer than 5 and 6 (Vinogradov 1999). Further detailed identification features of the genus *Hyperiella* are available (Bowman 1973, Weigmann-Haass 1989b, Vinogradov et al. 1996, Vinogradov

1999). *H. macronyx* can be differentiated by the subequal pereopods 5-6 that are longer than all other pereopods and the basipodite (or peduncle) of the third uropod that is about twice as long as the telson. In *H. dilatata* and *H. antarctica*, the fifth pereopod is much longer than the sixth, and the basipodite (or peduncle) of the third uropod is distinctly more than twice as long as the telson (Vinogradov 1999). *H. dilatata* can be differentiated from *H. antarctica* by the anterodistal corners of the basis, ischium and merus of pereopods 6 and 7 that bear sharp triangular processes in the former and blunt processes in the latter, as well as by the epimeral plates, bearing sharp posterodistal corners in *H. dilatata* whilst in *H. antarctica* only the epimeral plates 2 and 3 bear sharp corners (Vinogradov 1999).

Identification features of the genus Hyperoche are available (Weigmann-Haass 1990, Vinogradov et al. 1996, Vinogradov 1999, Zeidler 2015). Two species occurred in the Southern Ocean, but only one was found in the diet of predators (see above). Hyperoche luetkenides is a large species (total length up to 20 mm). Hyperoche species can be identified by the first pereonites, which are partially or wholly fused dorsally and by the coxa 7 that is fused with the pereonite (Zeidler 2015). Hyperoche species also bear a knife-shaped carpus (vs. a spoon-shaped one in the other species) of the gnathopods, a laminate mandibular molar and often but not always retractile dactyls on the gnathopods (Zeidler 2015). H. luetkenides can be confused with Hyperoche medusarum but the later species is restricted to the colder waters of the northern hemisphere (Zeidler 2015); therefore Hyperoche medusarum identified in the diet of squid, blue petrels and Antarctic prions (Croxall et al. 1988, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1994) are most likely to be H. luetkenides. Another species, Hyperoche capucinus, also occurs in the Southern Ocean and can be differentiated from H. luetkenides by the small, pointed rostrum of pereonite 1 reaching over the head and the structure of the gathopods with the propodus projected anteriorly over the dactylus. An exhaustive description is available (Zeidler 2015).

*Themisto gaudichaudii* is the only species of its genus in the Southern Ocean. Its body is slender in both sexes, somewhat compressed laterally. Key features comprise the presence of an expanded carpus on pereopod 3 and pereopod 4 with its posterior margins bearing strong setae and a fifth pereopod that is much longer than pereopod 6 and pereopod 7 (the two latter being nearly subequal in length). The seven pereopods of the pereon are

free (Schneppenheim & Weigmann-Haass 1986). Head is large, approximately globular, without rostrum and lateral lobes but with large compound eyes, occupying most of the surface. The first gnathopod is simple, the second chelate bearing a spoon-shaped distal process of the carpus. Often, the fifth pereopod is very long with an extended carpus and an even longer (much longer than the carpus) straight and narrow propodus (as in the forma bispinosa sensu Schneppenheim & Weigmann-Haass 1986; description [Vinogradov 1999]). T. gaudichaudii can be determined to sex based on secondary sexual characters: males are identified based on the flagellum of the second antennae, which become divided into many segments and filaments, whereas these remain short and unsegmented in the females (Kane 1963, Kane 1966, Schneppenheim & Weigmann-Haass 1986). Noticeably, the sex ratio is highly female-biased in most samples (Bocher et al. 2001). The degree of dorsal spination on pereon-pleon segments (as described by Vinogradov 1999 to differentiate the species) seems to vary with age within T. gaudichaudii populations (Havermans, pers. comm.). Two different morphs exist: the bispinosa form (with the pereopod 5 much longer as the pereopod 6), and the compressa form (with pereopod 5 and 6 being subequal in size), occurring in sympatry (Havermans et al. 2019). Currently only one species is described for the Southern Ocean, but the existence of several undescribed species is suspected (Zeidler & De Broyer 2014), which may be corroborated by molecular methods.

#### FAMILY PHROSINIDAE DANA, 1853

Existing species that can be found is:

*Primno macropa* Guérin-Méneville, 1836 Figure 77 | page 182 BL = 0.76 ED - 0.13 (n=56) (0.2-1.3 cm) (Ridoux 1994) BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.03 (n=1) (0.04 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

*Primno macropa* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic, subantarctic and subtropical waters, occurring between the Antarctic continent and the Subtropical Front, with very occasional excursions further north (Bowman 1978, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014), with total length up to 21 mm (Vinogradov 1999). As the second most common species of Hyperiidea from the Southern Ocean (second to *T. gaudichaudii*), *P. macropa* is present in the diet of numerous predators, including fish, squid, emperor penguins, gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins, royal penguins, rockhopper penguins, blue petrels, cape pigeons, sooty shearwaters, Antarctic prions, thin billed prions, fairy prions, black-bellied storm petrels, Wilson's storm petrels, common diving petrels and South Georgian diving petrels (Clark 1985, Williams 1985, Hindell 1988, Jażdżewski & Presler 1988, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1994, Ridoux 1994, Pütz 1995, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Reid et al. 1997a, Reid et al. 1997b, Hull 1999, Bocher et al. 2000a, Cruz et al. 2001, Mouat et al. 2001, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2007, Connan et al. 2007b, Collins et al. 2008, Main et al. 2009, Waluda et al. 2012, Saunders et al. 2014).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

A key feature to identify species of the genus *Primno* is the expanded carpus of pereopod 5 with a strong anterior dentation including several long teeth separated by groups of short teeth (Bowman 1978, Vinogradov 1999). These spiny articles typical of the species are often found loose in stomach samples and are an easy indicator of the presence of *Primno* in the diet. Furthermore, *Primno* species bear longer first antennae but the second antennae are reduced to a tubercule. Pereopods 3, 4 and 6 are simple (Vinogradov 1999). *Primno macropa* has a relatively slender body and a head produced into a single very short rostrum; rostrum truncate in dorsal view. Mid-dorsal spines and posteroventral spine of pleonite 3 sharper and more pronounced than in other species of this genus found elsewhere (Bowman 1978).

### FAMILY VIBILIIDAE DANA, 1852

Existing species that can be found is:

*Vibilia antarctica* Stebbing, 1888 Figure 78 | page 183 BL = 0.94 ED + 0.44 (n=37) (0.6-1.3 cm) (Ridoux 1994)BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.03 (n=1) (in cm) (Ridoux 1994)

*Vibilia antarctica* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic, subantarctic and subtropical waters, commonly found up to the Subtropical Front (Zeidler & De Broyer 2014) and even further north (Milne & Griffiths 2013), with total length up to 14 mm (Vinogradov 1999). *V. antarctica* is present in the diet of fish, gentoo penguins, grey-headed albatrosses, black-browed albatrosses, Antarctic fulmars, Antarctic petrels, blue petrels, cape pigeons, Antarctic prions, fairy prions, thin billed prions, black bellied storm petrels and Wilson's storm petrels (Prince 1980, Croxall et al. 1988, Jażdżewski & Presler 1988, Ridoux 1994, Pakhomov et al. 1996b, Croxall et al. 1997, Weimerskirch et al. 1999, Cherel et al. 2000, Van Franeker et al. 2001, Berón et al. 2002, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002c, Collins et al. 2007, Connan et al. 2007b, Collins et al. 2008, Connan et al. 2008, Main et al. 2009, Cherel et al. 2014, Xavier et al. 2017, Xavier et al. 2018a).

*Vibilia australis* Stebbing, 1888 is found near the Falkland Islands/Islas Malvinas and *V. viatrix* Bovallius, 1887 north of these islands (Zeidler & De Broyer 2014). *Vibilia* sp., corresponding to either *V. australis* or *V. viatrix*, has been found in the diet of fish (Arkhipkin et al. 2001).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Vibilia antarctica* is the only species of *Vibilia* inhabiting truly Antarctic waters (Weigmann-Haass 1989a, Zeidler & De Broyer 2014). Unlike many other hyperiids, *Vibilia* species have small and separate eyes and their first antennae are short and broad with a laterally flattened flagellum, facilitating their identification (Vinogradov 1999). For *V. antarctica*, the second gnathopods bear a posterodistal process of the carpus reaching the distal end of the propodus and a strong dactyl that is equal to half or third of the propodus (Vinogradov 1999). A key to the genus is available (Vinogradov 1999).

# SUBORDER SENTICAUDATA Lowry & Myers, 2013

- » FAMILY CALLIOPIIDAE G.O. SARS, 1893
- » FAMILY ISCHYROCERIDAE STEBBING, 1899
- » FAMILY PHOTIDAE BOECK, 1871
- » FAMILY PODOCERIDAE LEACH, 1814
- » FAMILY PONTOGENEIIDAE STEBBING, 1906
# FAMILY CALLIOPIIDAE G.O. SARS, 1893

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Oradarea walkeri Shoemaker, 1930 Figure 79 | page 185 Stenopleura atlantica Stebbing, 1888 Figure 80 | page 185

*Oradarea walkeri* is a species with a circum-Antarctic distribution, extending north to South Orkney Islands and Bouvet Island (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 5 and 12 mm (Bellan-Santini 1972b, Thurston 1974b). The species was found in the diet of fish (Bellan-Santini 1972a).

*Stenopleura atlantica* is widely distributed in the world, including Antarctic waters (where it was recorded from Davis Sea), South Atlantic waters (e.g. Patagonian shelf), North Atlantic and Indian Ocean, having a circumpolar distribution in tropical waters (Vinogradov 1999, Vinogradov et al. 2004, De Broyer et al. 2007, Miloslavich et al. 2010), with total length ranging between 3.5 and 7 mm (Stebbing 1888, Barnard 1962b). *S. atlantica* is present in the diet of squid (Rosas-Luis et al. 2014).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The genus *Oradarea* can be recognized from other genera of the Calliopiidae by having the second gnathopod subchelate and elongated with long carpus and propodus (the first gnathopod is also subchelate but carpus and propodus is not elongated). Additionally, the accessory flagellum on antenna 1 is 1-articulated, while telson is entire (Barnard & Karaman 1991). There are 14 species in the genus *Oradarea* reporded from the Southern Ocean. The list of literature and detailed distribution records is available (De Broyer et al. 2007). The detailed drawings of *O. walkeri* are also available (Bellan-Santini 1972b), as well as the key and additional drawings for Southern Ocean *Oradarea* (Thurston 1974b). It is important to note that after the revision of the genus *Oradarea* (Thurston 1974b) one additional species (*O. crenelata*) has been described (Alonso de Pina 1995). The species of this genus are distinguished on the basis of combination of the length of rostrum, shape and length of inter-antennal and post-antennal lobes, the presence of dorsal spines on

pereonites and pleonites as well as the shape of the telson. Taking this into account and also the number of the Antarctic species, it is highly recommended to refer to specialist literature when identifying the amphipod material.

*Stenopleura atlantica* is the only species in the genus known worldwide. The detailed description and drawings of the species are available (Stebbing 1888) as well as additional figures (Barnard 1962b). The species has smooth body, very short coxa 1-7 and entire telson (trifid). Its gnathopods are similar in shape and size, subchelate with oblique palm longer than hind margin and carpi much shorter than propodi (Barnard & Karaman 1991).

# FAMILY ISCHYROCERIDAE G.O. SARS, 1893

#### Existing species that can be found are:

#### Jassa spp.

#### Pseudischyrocerus distichon K.H. Barnard K.H., 1930

Species belonging to genus *Jassa* are widely distributed in both Antarctic and subantarctic waters (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 2 and 24 mm (Conlan 1990) and have often been found in the diet of fish and Antarctic shags (Bellan-Santini 1972a, Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Wakabara et al. 1990).

*Pseudischyrocerus distichon* is a circum-Antarctic species that extends its distribution as far north as South Georgia, Bouvet Island as well as Prince Edward and Marion Islands in the subantarctic (De Broyer et al. 2007). The species was found in the diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The authors who found representatives of the genus *Jassa* in the stomach content of Antarctic vertebrates identified them as *J. falcata* (Bellan-Santini 1972a, Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Wakabara et al. 1990). However, a revision of the genus stated that *J. falcata* is northern hemisphere species and the Southern Ocean records of this taxon should be checked as they possibly belong to other species (Conlan 1990). The key and several illustrations of the *Jassa* species can be found in the above cited revision (Conlan 1990). The distribution records of known Antarctic and subantarctic species are also available (De Broyer et al. 2007). The genus *Jassa* is recognized by the enlarged gnathopod 2 which possess large process ("thumb") on the palm. However, it is important to note that this feature is well developed only in adult males but not always present in females and weakly developed in juvenile males. The available keys to genera are often produced only for males and while identifying the amphipods from stomach content it is better to refer to original descriptions and specialist literature.

The representatives of the genus *Pseudischyrocerus* have 1-articulated accessory flagellum on antenna 1 and their gnathopod 1 is smaller than gnathopod 2. The first gnathopod is weakly subchelate and has an unlobed, elongated carpus; the second gnathopod is weakly to strongly subchelate (Barnard & Karaman 1991). There are three species in the genus *Pseudischyrocerus* reported from the Southern Ocean (*P. crenatipes*, *P. denticauda*, and *P. distichon*). The distribution records are available (De Broyer et al. 2007), but there is no identification key for these species. The description and drawings are available (Schellenberg 1931), some under the name *Eurystheus distichon* (Barnard 1930). The differences between the species are on the palm of male gnathopod 2, as well as on the pereopods 5-7. However, the species are subject to a large sexual dimorphism which is expressed in the shape of gnathopod 2; additionally, the pereopods 5-7 are very fragile and very often missing, so it is highly recommended to check the original descriptions and drawings of all species while identifying the material.

### FAMILY PHOTIDAE BOECK, 1871

#### Existing species that can be found is:

#### Gammaropsis (Gammaropsis) longicornis Walker, 1906

*Gammaropsis longicornis* has been reported from localities all around the Antarctic as well as from the Scotia Arc islands (South Shetland, South Orkney Islands and, South Georgia), with total length ranging between 3 and 6 mm (Walker 1907, Schellenberg 1931). It was also found in subantarctic (Magellan/Tierra del Fuego region, and Falkland Islands/ Islas Malvinas and Kerguelen Islands) (De Broyer et al. 2007). The species was found in the diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The genus Gammaropsis is characterized by the article 3 of the first antenna being equal or longer than article 1, by the normally developed coxal plates 1-4, and by gnathopod 2 being larger than gnathopod 1 (both weakly to strongly subchelate). The uropod 3 has both rami long and straight (Barnard & Karaman 1991). There are 18 species in the genus Gammaropsis reporded from the Southern Ocean of which 14 belong to the subgenus Gammaropsis. The representatives of this subgenus can be distinguished by the uniform size and shape of anterior coxae, the short carpus of gnathopod 2 and the long (more than 1-articulated) acessory flagellum of antenna 1 (Barnard & Karaman 1991). The list of literature citations and detailed distribution records are available (De Broyer et al. 2007). The description and drawings of G. longicornis are also available (Walker 1907), as well as additional figures (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974). The key for the species of Gammaropsis in the world is available, but it is based only on adult males (Thurston 1974b). It is important to note that one additional Antarctic species (G. deseadensis) was described after preparation of that key (Alonso 1981). Similarly to the representatives of the family Ischyroceridae, the genus Gammaropsis also displays a significant sexual dimorphism with differences in the gnathopd morphology and size. However, the shape of this appendage was used as a main character when describing the species. Hence, also in this case it is needed to refer to the original publications while identifying the material, especially when dealing with female specimens.

### FAMILY PODOCERIDAE LEACH, 1814

# Existing species that can be found is:

#### Podocerus capillimanus Nicholls, 1938

*Podocerus capillimanus* is distributed in the Antarctic Peninsula area as well as South Orkney Islands, South Shetland Islands and Bouvet Island, with total length ranging between 3.5 and 8.5 mm (Thurston 1974b, De Broyer et al. 2007). It has also been found in the subantarctic in Magellan/Tierra del Fuego region as well as around the Prince Edward, Marion Islands and Kerguelen Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007). The species was found in the diet of cape pigeons (Ridoux 1994).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The genus *Podocerus* has antenna 1 shorter than antenna 2. The accessory flagellum on the first antenna is 1-articulated, the pereopods 3-7 have similar size, urosomite 1 is not longer than urosomites 2 and 3 combined while uropod 2 is biramous (Barnard & Karaman 1991). There are six species of *Podocerus* reported from the Southern Ocean. The record of one of them (*P. brasiliensis*) needs confirmation as this species is generally found in warm waters. The key for all species of *Podocerus* is available (Barnard 1962a). The description and drawings of *P. capillimanus* are also available (Nicholls 1938, Thurston 1974b). Among the Antarctic *Podocerus* species, *P. capillimanus* can be recognized by the smooth dorsal side of the pereon and pleon segments. However, when studying stomach content materials it is recommended to refer to the specialist literature.

## FAMILY PONTOGENEIIDAE STEBBING, 1906

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Bovallia gigantea Pfeffer, 1888 Figure 81 | page 186 Djerboa furcipes Chevreux, 1906 Figure 82 | page 186 Gondogeneia antarctica (Chevreux, 1906) Figure 83 | page 187 Gondogeneia georgiana (Pfeffer, 1888) Gondogeneia spinicoxa Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer, 1974 BM = 0.02 BL<sup>3.01</sup> (n=8) (0.8-0.34 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

Gondogeneia subantarctica (Stephensen, 1938) Liouvillea oculata Chevreux, 1912 Figure 84 | page 187 Paramoera fissicauda (Dana, 1852) Figure 85 | page 188 Paramoera walkeri (Stebbing, 1906) Figure 86 | page 188 Prostebbingia brevicornis (Chevreux, 1906) Figure 87 | page 189 Prostebbingia longicornis (Chevreux, 1906) Prostebbingia serrata Schellenberg, 1926 Figure 88 | page 189 Schraderia gracilis Pfeffer, 1888 Figure 89 | page 190

*Bovallia gigantea* is distributed in Antarctic waters, in Antarctic Peninsula region as well as along the Scotia Arc as far North as South Georgia (De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014), with total length ranging between 10 and 45 mm (Barnard 1932). This species is present in the diet of fish, Antarctic shags and Weddell seals (Richardson 1975, Moreno & Osorio 1977, Duarte & Moreno 1981, Linkowski et al. 1983, Barrera-Oro & Casaux 1990, Wakabara et al. 1990, Casaux et al. 1997a, Casaux et al. 1997b, Favero et al. 1998, Casaux et al. 2001, Casaux et al. 2008, Zamzow et al. 2011, Casaux & Barrera-Oro 2013).

Djerboa furcipes is distributed in Antarctic waters in the Antarctic Peninsula region as well as around South Orkney Islands and South Georgia. It is also distributed in subantarctic waters in Prince Edward and Marion, Crozet and Kerguelen Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 3 and 22 mm (Barnard 1932). This species is present in the diet of fish (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Richardson 1975, Casaux 1998, Zamzow et al. 2011) and gentoo penguins (Xavier et al. 2017). Gondogeneia antarctica is distributed in Antarctic waters in the Antarctic Peninsula region, along the Scotia Arc and in subantarctic waters, in Falkland Islands/Islas Malvinas extending up to the Magellanic/Tierra Del Fuego region (De Broyer et al. 2007, De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014), with total length ranging between 3 and 21 mm (Thurston 1974b). *G. antarctica* is present in the diet of fish, gentoo penguins, cape pigeons and skuas (Moreno & Osorio 1977, Duarte & Moreno 1981, Williams 1990, 1991, Creet et al. 1994, Barrera-Oro & Piacentino 2007, Barrera-Oro & Winter 2008, Montalti et al. 2009, Zamzow et al. 2011, Moreira et al. 2014, Xavier et al. 2017).

*Gondogeneia georgiana* is distributed in Antarctic waters, in Antarctic Peninsula region, South Shetland Islands up to South Georgia region (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 7 and 16 mm (Schellenberg 1931, Andres 1982). This species is present in the diet of gentoo penguins (Williams 1990, 1991, Xavier et al. 2017, Xavier et al. 2018a).

Gondogeneia spinicoxa is distributed in Antarctic (South Shetland Islands) and subantarctic (Crozet and Kerguelen Islands) waters (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 13 and 15 mm (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974). This species is present in the diet of gentoo penguins, Antarctic shags and Kerguelen terns (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Ridoux 1994).

Gondogeneia subantarctica was recorded from the Antarctic Peninsula area, South Shetland Islands as well as Campbell and Auckland Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 7 and 11 mm (Stephensen 1927). This species is present in diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

*Liouvillea oculata* is distributed in Weddell Sea, Antarctic Peninsula area as well as South Shetland Islands and South Orkney Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 9 and 19 mm (Chevreux 1912, Thurston 1974b). The species was found in the diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

Paramoera fissicauda has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic, subantarctic and subtropical waters, extending its distribution up to the Magellanic/Tierra Del Fuego region and Chatham Islands (New Zealand) (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 5 and 22 mm (Schellenberg 1931). This species is present in the diet of fish, Antarctic prions and thin billed prions (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Cherel et al. 2002a).

Paramoera walkeri has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, extending its distribution up to South Georgia (De Broyer et al. 2007, De Broyer & Jażdżewska 2014), with total length ranging between 9.5 and 17 mm (Thurston 1974a). This species is present in the diet of fish, Adélie penguins, gentoo penguins and cape pigeons (Bellan-Santini 1972a, Paulin 1975, Puddicombe & Johnstone 1988, Kiest 1993, Watanuki et al. 1994, La Mesa et al. 2000, Van Franeker et al. 2001, La Mesa et al. 2004b, Xavier et al. 2017).

Prostebbingia brevicornis (= Pontogeneiella brevicornis [De Broyer & Jażdżewski 1993, De Broyer et al. 2007, Kim et al. 2014]) is distributed in Antarctic and subantarctic waters (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 5 and 22 mm (Thurston 1974b). It is present in the diet of fish, gentoo penguins, cape pigeons and Antarctic shags (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Richardson 1975, Jażdżewski 1981, Ridoux 1994, Zamzow et al. 2011).

*Prostebbingia longicornis* is distributed in Antarctic Peninsula area and along the Scotia Arc extending north up to South Georgia (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 6 and 22 mm (Thurston 1974b). This species is present in the diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

*Prostebbingia serrata* has a circum-Antarctic distribution with the most northern record at the South Orkney Islands (De Broyer et al. 2007), with total length ranging between 9 and 12 mm (Bellan-Santini 1972b). This species is present in the diet of fish (Wakabara et al. 1990).

*Schraderia gracilis* is widely distributed in the Antarctic and subantarctic waters, with sizes ranging between 3 and 16 mm (Thurston 1974b). This species is present in the diet of fish (Bellan-Santini 1972a).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Bovallia gigantea*, *Djerboa furcipes*, and *Liouvillea oculata* are the sole species belonging to their genera. The literature citations and detailed distribution records are available (De Broyer et al. 2007) as well as the identification key for these genera (Barnard & Karaman 1991). *Bovallia gigantea* is characterized by dorsal teeth on the last pereon and two first pleon segments, by a short rostrum, a first article of antenna 1 longer than the head and the third one weakly produced. The accessory flagellum is absent. Both pairs of gnathopods are subchelate with the carpus distinctly shorter than the propodus, but possessing a distal lobe. Rami of uropod 3 are subequal and the telson is cleft to its half. *Djerboa furcipes* is characterized by dorsal spines on pleon segments 1 and 2, thin, long, subchelate gnathopods 1, 2 which carpus has similar length as propodus. The inner ramus of uropod 3 is short and the telson is deeply cleft. *Liouvillea oculata* has dorsal spines on the first two pleon segments, a long rostrum (reaching the end of the first article of peduncle of antenna 1) and very large eyes which occupy almost the whole surface of the head. The gnathopods are subchelate, while telson is cleft 1/4 (Barnard & Karaman 1991).

The genera *Gondogeneia*, *Paramoera* and *Prostebbingia* group amphipods which generally look very similar. There are just a few characters which can be used by non-specialists to discriminate them. The genus *Gondogeneia* is characterized by the lack of an accessory flagellum on antenna 1 (if it is present it is scale-like), the lack of an oblique setal row on the inner plate of maxilla 2 and by the telson lacking apical armaments. Amphipods belonging to the genus *Paramoera* possess a very short accessory flagellum, an oblique setal row on inner plate of maxilla 2 as well as apical armaments on the telson. The genus *Prostebbingia* groups animals lacking an accessory flagellum, possessing an oblique setal row on inner plate of second maxilla and lacking apical setae on the telson (Barnard & Karaman 1991).

There are 15 species in the genus *Gondogeneia* reported from the Southern Ocean. Ten of them, including *G. antarctica*, *G. georgiana*, and *G. subantarctica*, can be found in the identification key produced (Thurston 1974b), where they are presented under the former genus name *Pontogeneia*. It is important to note, however, that *G. spinicoxa* and four other species are omitted in that key. The extensive literature citations and distribution records of *Gondogeneia* species are available (De Broyer et al. 2007) as well as the most detailed illustration of *G. antarctica* (Chevreux 1906). Equally, *G. spinicoxa* has been also best described by Bellan-Santini and Ledoyer (1974) while *G. georgiana* has been drawn in detail by Andres (1982). The most detailed description and drawings of *G. subantarctica* are available (Stephensen 1927), where the species is mentioned as *Pontogeneia antarctica*. The differences between species within this genus are very minute, based partly on the appearance of flagellum of the first antenna, which is often broken. When studying the amphipod material it is necessary to refer to the original descriptions and drawings to avoid mistakes.

There are 22 species belonging to the genus *Paramoera* recorded from the Southern Ocean. There is no overall identification key for this genus, but the extensive literature citations and distribution records are available (De Broyer et al. 2007). *P. fissicauda* is the best drawn and described by Stebbing (under the name *Atyloides australis*) as well as by Bellan-Santini and Ledoyer (Stebbing 1888, Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974). The most detailed description and drawings of *P. walkeri* are also available (Chevreux 1913) under the name *Bovallia walkeri*. Similarly to *Gondogeneia* the differences between species within *Paramoera* are small and specialist literature is required to identify the amphipod material.

There are six species of *Prostebbingia* reported from the Southern Ocean (one of which – *P. laevis* - is *nomen dubium*). There is no identification key available, but the literature citation and distribution records are available (De Broyer et al. 2007). *P. brevicornis* and *P. longicornis* are thoroughly described and illustrated (Chevreux 1906) under the former genus name – *Atyloides*. Additional drawings of *P. brevicornis* are also available (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974, Kim et al. 2014). Thurston has discussed the differences between the two species cited above (Thurston 1974b). *P. serrata* is best described and drawn in original description (Schellenberg 1926); additional drawings are also available (Bellan-Santini 1972b). The species within genus *Prostebbingia* can be divided into two groups: one assembling species having interantennal lobe of head rectangularly rounded and the second where this part of the head is triangularly acute. *P. serrata* belong to the first group. The species can also be recognized by large tooth on the posterodistal corner of epimeral plate 3 combined with serrate and not very expanded posterior margin of basis of pereopod 5 (Schellenberg 1926).

Both *P. brevicornis* and *P. longicornis* belong to the group with an acute interantennal lobe of head. However, the differences between these two species are very difficult to observe, so it is recommended to use the specific literature when identifying the material.

The genus Schraderia can be recognized by the elongated subchelate gnathopods of both pairs (carpus is usually shorter than propodus). Accessory flagellum on antenna 1 is present, the dorsal side of the body is smooth and the rami of uropod 3 are subequal (Barnard & Karaman 1991). There are five species of Schraderia reported from the Antarctic and subantarctic waters. S. gracilis was thoroughly described and illustrated (under the name Stebbingia gracilis) (Chevreux 1913). Additional drawings and discussion on the morphological forms of this species is available (Thurston 1974b). There is also an identification key for Schraderia species (Bellan-Santini & Ledoyer 1974), however, it does not include two species described the same year by Thurston (Thurston 1974b). Schraderia gracilis has distinct serrations on the postantennal lobe of head, ventral margin of coxae 1-3 as well as posterior margin of epimeral plate 3. The posterior margin of pereopods 5-7 and apices of the deeply cleft telson are also serrated. It is important to note, however, that there are two recognized forms or subspecies of S. gracilis recorded from the Antarctic waters. The typical form (S. gracilis gracilis) have all the aforementioned features, while they are not so pronounced in the second one (S. gracilis calceolata) (Thurston 1974b). Taking this into account it is highly recommended to use the specific literature while identifying the material.

# ORDER ISOPODA

Latreille, 1817

Antarctic and subantarctic marine isopods are represented in 50 families, with most isopod species being benthic; only few taxa have secondarily regained the ability to swim (Kaiser 2014). The geographic distribution of all Southern Ocean Isopoda described until 1991, including biogeographic maps, was already summarized (Brandt 1991).

- » FAMILY AEGIDAE WHITE, 1850
- » FAMILY ARCTURIDIDAE POORE, 2001
- » FAMILY CHAETILIIDAE DANA, 1849
- » FAMILY SEROLIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY SPHAEROMATIDAE LATREILLE, 1825

### FAMILY AEGIDAE WHITE, 1850

#### Existing species that can be found is:

Aega semicarinata Miers, 1875 Figure 90 | page 192

Aega semicarinata is a temporary parasite (as adults; maximum total length: 58.00 mm) of fish with a circumpolar distribution in subantarctic waters, including around subantarctic islands (e.g. Prince Edward Islands, Kerguelen Islands, Macquarie Island, Falkland Islands), Magellanic region /Tierra del Fuego and coast of Chile (Kensley 1980). It was found twice in the diet of black-browed albatrosses, expected to be from fish parasitized with *A. semicarinata* (Cherel et al. 2000). This species has also been found at Cape Hoorn and at Cape Point, South Africa. This species has been recorded from littoral depths to 334 m (Niersteasz 1931).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family





Figure 91 B. Illustration of sexual differences between pleopods 1 and 2 in the Asellota (Janiroidea). Legend: A. Female operculum (pleopod 2) in ventral view; B. Male pleopods 1 and 2 in ventral view; C. Male pleopod 2 with details of appendix masculina (upper arrow) and tip (a) as well as exopod (lower longer arrow); D. Male pleopod 3; E. Male pleopod 4; F. Male pleopod 5. (Naylor & Brandt, 2015) (Copyright permission from Linnean Society of London).

Aega semicarinata has a pleotelson with a concave terminal margin forming two blunt points; surface pocked with a pair of large circular depressions on dorsal surface (Branch et al. 1991).

### FAMILY ARCTURIDIDAE POORE, 2001

# Existing species that can be found is:

#### Arcturides cornutus Studer, 1882 Figure 92 | page 192

The valviferan isopod Arcturides cornutus (= A. tribulis Hale, 1946, A. acuminatus Sheppard, 1957 and A. cornutus Studer, 1884 [Park 1996]) reaches 20 mm total length. It is a subantarctic species distributed in the Indian and Pacific Oceans, particularly in the region of Kerguelen, Crozet and Prince Edward Islands (Kensley 1980, Park 1996), Marion Island (Beddard 1886, Nierstrasz 1941) and Heard Island (Kussakin 1982) at depths between 90-650 m. A. cornutus was found once in the diet of black-browed albatrosses (Cherel et al. 2000).

### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Only one species in the family, illustrated in Branch et al. (1993). Strong frontally directed supraocular spines, not surpassing the small frontal eye, body surface smooth, without spines or ornamentation. Original description has been already done (Studer 1882).

### FAMILY CHAETILIIDAE DANA, 1849

#### Existing species that can be found is:

#### Glyptonotus antarcticus Eights, 1852 Figure 93 | page 193

*Glyptonotus antarcticus* (which comprise several undescribed species (Agrawal et al. 2013); total length ~ 100 mm but possibly reaching bigger sizes) may have a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters (considered a high Antarctic shelf organism), around some Antarctic islands, such as South Georgia and South Sandwich islands, in subantarctic waters (Castelló 2004, Held & Wägele 2005), at the South Shetland Islands(Miers 1883), Montagu Island (Kussakin 1967), Anvers Island (Schultz 1978), Wiencke Island and Palmer Archipelago (Sheppard 1957), Enderby Land (Haie 1946), Terre Adelie, Curie, Bernard and Lamarck Islands (Amar & M. L. Roman 1973), Ross Sea and from Gauss Station (Monod 1931) and from Scott base (Meyer-Rochow 1980). *G. antarcticus* is present in the diet of fish and Weddell seals (Moreno & Osorio 1977, Barrera-Oro & Casaux 1990, Foster & Montgomery 1993, Casaux et al. 1997a, Zamzow et al. 2011, Casaux & Barrera-Oro 2013). However, one has to keep in mind that this species is not one and therefore most likely not to have a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters; genetic analysis revealed four groups of haplotypes representing cryptic, but reproductively isolated species rather than a single species (Held & Wägele 2005).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Glyptonotus antarcticus* is a large endemic Antarctic species (total length up to 90 mm). It is the only chaetiliid isopod recorded from Antarctic waters (Kaiser 2014) and easily identifiable by its large size.

#### FAMILY SEROLIDAE DANA, 1852

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Ceratoserolis trilobitoides (Eights, 1833) Figure 94 | page 194 Septemserolis septemcarinata Miers, 1875 Figure 95 | page 194 Spinoserolis latifrons (White, 1847) Figure 96 | page 195

Ceratoserolis trilobitoides (Eights, 1833) (= Serolis cornuta Studer, 1879 (Wägele 1986, Boyko 2016), Ceratoserolis cornuta (Studer, 1879), Serolis trilobitoides Eights, 1933) is a species being distributed circumantarctically (maximum total length ~ 50 mm) in Antarctic (i.e. Indian and Atlantic sectors of the Southern Ocean, including South Georgia, South Shetland Islands and South Sandwich Islands) and subantarctic and subtropical waters (Marion Island, Prince Edward Islands, Crozet Islands and Kerguelen Islands) waters(Kussakin 1967, Kensley 1980, Luxmoore 1982). However, it is cryptic, as the molecular data strongly suggest that Ceratoserolis trilobitoides sensu lato contains at least one, perhaps more, previously overlooked species (Held 2003). C. trilobitoides is present in the diet of black-browed albatrosses (Cherel et al. 2000).

Septemserolis septemcarinata (= Serolis septemcarinata Miers, 1875 (Schotte 2014a); maximum total length: 28 mm but most records are smaller, Brandt, unpubl. data) is distributed in Antarctic (e.g. around South Georgia, South Sandwich Islands), subantarctic (e.g. Marion Island, Prince Edward Islands, Crozet Islands and Kerguelen Islands), Patagonia and the Falkland Islands (Nordenstam 1933) and subtropical waters (Kensley 1980, Luxmoore 1982, Brandt 1991, Leese et al. 2010). It was found once in the diet of black-browed albatrosses (Cherel et al. 2000).

Spinoserolis latifrons (= Serolis latifrons White, 1847 (Schotte 2014b); maximum total length ~ 25 mm) is distributed in Antarctic waters, Subantarctic (e.g. Marion Island, Prince Edward Islands, Crozet Islands and Kerguelen Islands) and subtropical waters (White 1847, Kensley 1980, Castelló 2004). It is present in the diet of rockhopper penguins and black-browed albatrosses (Ridoux 1994, Cherel et al. 2000).

### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

All specimens of the Serolidae can easily be identified by their largely flattened body shape (dorsoventrally), thus they look like a coin and many species are round in shape. Septemserolis septemcarinata: eyes large, paired; pleotelson bears seven longitudinal ridges, terminal margin broadly notched. Serolis cornuta: eyes present; pleotelson, margin coarsely serrated, four middorsal spines. Spinoserolis latifrons: eyes absent; pleotelson not ridged, terminal margin notched (Branch et al. 1991). It is surprising that another species, Serolella bouvieri (Richardson, 1906), is not very common in the stomachs of predators (Xavier et al. 2017), as this is the most heavily cuticularized species of the Serolidae from which it would occur in the diet of top predators much more than the other species, like Ceratorserolis, as S. bouvieri has no acute lateral epimers while Ceratoserolis has (Angelika Brandt, pers. comm.); Serolella bouvieri, originally described as Serolis bouvieri Richardson, 1906 as a length of up to 35 mm and has a distribution in Antarctic waters from the South Orkney and South Shetland Islands down the West Antarctic Peninsula to the area of the Palmer Peninsula, at Paulet Island, and the western and eastern Weddell Sea (Richardson 1906, 1908, Nordenstam 1933, Sheppard 1933, Stephensen 1947, Sheppard 1957, Kussakin 1967, Brandt 1991).

# FAMILY SPHAEROMATIDAE LATREILLE, 1825

#### Existing species that can be found is:

Cassidinopsis emarginata (Guérin-Méneville, 1843) Figure 97 | page 195

*Cassidinopsis emarginata* is distributed in Antarctic (e.g. South Georgia, South Sandwich Islands, South Orkney Islands) and Subantarctic waters (e.g. Marion Island, Prince Edward Islands, Crozet Islands, Kerguelen Islands, Heard Island, Macquarie Island, Falkland Islands, South American coast (Chile and Argentina) and Auckland Islands and Campbell Island) having a circumpolar distribution in Subantarctic waters (Kensley 1980, Brandt 1991, Edgar & Burton 2000) (Maximum total length: 24 mm). *C. emarginata* is present in the diet of fish and of black-browed albatrosses (Williams 1983, Cherel et al. 2000).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Cassidinopsis emarginata*: pleotelson smooth, rounded terminally, tip feebly emarginate; uropod, exopod stylet-shaped, attached to endopod at midpoint of lateral border (Branch et al. 1991).

# ORDER LOPHOGASTRIDA

Boas, 1883

In the Southern Ocean, the Order Lophogastrida is represented by 3 families (Gnathophausiidae, Eucopiidae and Lophogastridae) (Petryashov 2014b) of which the family Gnathophausiidae are noted to have pelagic or benthopelagic species that are commonly found in predators diets.

» FAMILY GNATHOPHAUSIIDAE UDRESCU, 1984

#### FAMILY GNATHOPHAUSIIDAE UDRESCU, 1984

Existing species that can be found are:

*Neognathophausia gigas* (Willemoes-Suhm, 1873) Figure 98 | page 197 BL/ThL = 2.45 (n=4) (4.2-11.8 cm) (Ridoux 1994) BM/BL<sup>3</sup> = 0.012 (n=4) (1.5-15.0 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

Neognathophausia ingens (Dohrn, 1870) Figure 99 | page 197

Neognathophausia gigas (= Gnatophausia gigas Willemoes-Suhm, 1873 (Meland & Aas 2013, Mees & Meland 2014), Gnathophausia drepanephora Holt & Tattersall, 1905) is circumpolarly distributed in Antarctic waters (as far south as the Antarctic coastal regions) as well as widely distributed in the North Atlantic and North Pacific (with the exception of the Arctic) (Ledoyer 1995, Petryashov 2014a, Petryashov 2014b). *G. gigas* is present in the diet of grey-headed albatrosses, black-browed albatrosses, light-mantled sooty albatrosses, sooty albatrosses, yellow nosed albatrosses, grey faced petrels, great winged petrels, soft plumaged petrels, blue petrels, white-chinned petrels, grey petrels and Kerguelen petrels, Salvin's prions, Antarctic prions and thin-billed prions (Imber 1973, Ridoux 1994, Cherel et al. 2000, 2002d, Connan et al. 2007a, Delord et al. 2010, Richoux et al. 2010, Connan et al. 2014).

Neognathophausia ingens (= Gnatophausia ingens [Dohrn, 1970] [Mees & Meland 2015], Gnathophausia bengalensis Wood-Mason & Alcock, 1891, Gnathophausia calcarata G. O. Sars, 1883, Gnathophausia doryphora Illig, 1906, Gnathophasia inflata Willemoes-Suhm, 1873, Lophogaster ingens Dohrn, 1870) is similarly distributed as G. gigas in the World Ocean but present as far south as the Southern Circumpolar Current Front (SACCF) (Petryashov 2014b). G. ingens is present in the diet of fish, black-browed albatrosses, yellow nosed albatrosses, grey-faced petrels and southern giant petrels (Imber 1973, Clark 1985, Rosecchi et al. 1988, Ridoux 1994, Young et al. 1997, Catard et al. 2000, Cherel et al. 2000, Pinaud et al. 2005, Delord et al. 2013).

# Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Within the genus Neognathophausia, N. gigas and N. ingens have antennal scales without articulation; outer margin ending in small spine (Murano 1999). These large to very large mysids have a crimson red colour; they are often found in pieces in food samples. Two diagnostic features are the shapes of pleural plates and of antennal scales. N. gigas (up to 160 mm body length [Haithcock Pequegnat 1965]) has a slender rostrum (rostrum shorter than carapace), distinctly denticulate (Murano 1999, Meland & Aas 2013). Supra-orbital spines are distinct but small. Antennal scale somewhat tapering toward apex, outer margin with 4 strong teeth (Murano 1999, Meland & Aas 2013). Anterior lappet of the epimera of the first to the fifth abdominal segments small, rounded (Haithcock Pequegnat 1965). N. ingens is the largest mysid species (up to 350 mm (Haithcock Pequegnat 1965); it has a rostrum rather short, broad at base, indistinctly denticulate (in young specimens, rostrum as long as carapace whereas in mature specimens the rostrum is short triangular and less denticulate) (Murano 1999, Meland & Aas 2013). Supra-orbital spines are wanting. Antennal scale subovate, apex truncate, distal half of its outer edge minutely serrate (Murano 1999). Both lappets of the epimera of the second to fifth abdominal segments pointed and spiniform (Haithcock Pequegnat 1965). Compare both species with other Neognathophausia spp., particularly if working with the feeding ecology of predators that forage into warmer waters (see Meland and Aas 2013).

# ORDER MYSIDA

Latreille, 1817

The order Mysida comprises pelagic species from 2 families in the Southern Ocean, both caught by predators (Petryashov 2014b).

» FAMILY MYSIDAE HAWORTH, 1825

» FAMILY PETALOPHTHALMIDAE CZERNIAVSKY, 1882

### FAMILY MYSIDAE HAWORTH, 1825

#### Existing species that can be found are:

Antarctomysis maxima (Holt & Tattersall, 1906) Figure 100 | page 199 Log<sub>10</sub>DW = 2.876 \* Log10BL - 2.724 (n=65) (using frozen specimens, in mm) (Ward 1984)

 $Log_{10}DW = 2.992 * Log10BL - 2.935 (n=65) (using formalin preserved specimens, in mm) (Ward 1984)$ 

BMs = 0.00328 \* BL<sup>3.236</sup> (11-52 mm, n=187) (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988) BMdw = 0.00017 \* BL<sup>3.592</sup> (11-52 mm, n=187) (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988)

#### Antarctomysis ohlinii Hansen, 1908 Figure 101 | page 199

BMs = 0.00373 \* BL<sup>3.194</sup> (12-61 mm, n=151) (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988)
BMdw = 0.00057 \* BL<sup>3.241</sup> (12-61 mm, n=151) (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988)
Log<sub>10</sub>BM<sub>dw</sub>=3.191\*Log<sub>10</sub>BL - 3.409 (n=52) (in mm) (using formalin preserved specimens from Moraine Fjord South Georgia October [Ward 1985b])
Log<sub>10</sub>BM<sub>dw</sub>=3.528\*Log<sub>10</sub>BL-3.918 (n=22) (in mm) (using formalin preserved specimens from Cumberland Bay South Georgia January [Ward 1985b])

*Mysidetes morbihanensis* Ledoyer, 1995 (specific equations available for *M. posthon*: BMs = 0.01018 \* BL<sup>2.911</sup> (8-23 mm, n=113) (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988) BMdw = 0.00051 \* BL<sup>3.253</sup> (8-23 mm, n=113) (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988)

Antarctomysis maxima has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters (found from coastal waters). But also in subantarctic and subtropical waters, generally up to the Subtropical Front (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988, Petryashov 2014b). A. maxima is present in the diet of fish, gentoo penguins, macaroni penguins, blue petrels, Antarctic prions, Wilson's storm petrels, Weddell seals and crabeater seals (Dearborn 1965, Targett 1981, Green & Williams 1986, Croxall et al. 1988, Williams 1990, Kato et al. 1991, Williams 1991, Kock et al. 1994, Pakhomov et al. 1996a, Croxall et al. 1997, Pakhomov 1998a, b, Kock & Jones 2002, Flores et al. 2004, Xavier et al. 2017, Xavier et al. 2018a).

Antarctomysis ohlinii has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters (found from coastal waters up to South Georgia) (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988, Crescenti et al.

1994, Petryashov 2014b), occurring in the diet of fish, emperor penguins, gentoo penguins and Weddell seals (Dearborn 1965, Croxall et al. 1997, Cherel & Kooyman 1998, La Mesa et al. 2004b).

*Mysidetes morbihanensis* is distributed in subantarctic and subtropical waters, in south Indian Ocean waters (Ledoyer 1995) and it is found in the diet of rockhopper penguins and common diving petrels (Bocher et al. 2000a, Tremblay & Cherel 2000, 2003).



Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

Figure 102. The general structures of a Mysidae. (Murano, 1999) (Copyright permission from Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands).

Features allowing identification of Antarctomysis maxima and A. ohlinii are described in Tattersall (1961) and Ledoyer (1995). The best features for their identification are the form of the eyes and the armature of the sympod of the Antenna 2. A. maxima have a larger cornea than A. ohlinii; the sympod of Antenna 2 of A. maxima bears 2 acute spines (only 1 for A. ohlinii). Of the two species, A. ohlinii is generally the larger of the two in South Georgia (up to ~ 65 mm body length vs ~ 50 mm for A. maxima) although at some locations A. maxima may be considerably larger (~ 96 mm found in a trawl carried out in Maxwell Bay South Shetlands; Ward, unpubl. data) (Siegel & Mühlenhardt-Siegel 1988). In size, both species are comparable to E. superba and also share its habit of forming aggregations, thus increasing its attraction to predators. Mysidetes morbihanensis is described in Ledoyer (1995). A key identification feature is the number and size of spines all along the telson, with medium-sized spines interspersed with small spines on the lateral borders (for a total of ~40 spines) and one large spine on each side of the telson at its two posterior ends. For less experienced ecologists, do compare mysids with euphausiids (and they key identification characters) as they can be easily mistaken. Note there are other species in Mysidetes spp. which although abundant in benthic and hyperbenthic net hauls (e.g. M. posthon around South Georgia), they have not, as yet, been reported in predator food remains.

# FAMILY PETALOPHTHALMIDAE CZERNIAVSKY, 1882

#### Existing species that can be found is:

Petalophthalmus armiger Willemoes-Suhm, 1875 Figure 103 | page 200

*Petalophthalmus armiger* is distributed in subantarctic, subtropical and tropical waters off New Zealand and in the south Indian Ocean, and widely distributed in tropical and temperate waters (including in the North Atlantic) in the Indian and Pacific Oceans (Ledoyer 1995, Stevens 2012a, Petryashov 2014a). *P. armiger* is found in the diet of fish and great winged petrels (Ridoux 1994, Stevens 2012a).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The combination of flattened leaf-like eyes without visual elements, and a short, sharp, subtriangular rostrum, differentiates *P. armiger* from other members of this genus (Hendrickx & Hernandez-Payan, 2018).

# SUBCLASS THECOSTRACA Gruvel, 1905

#### Gruvel, 1905

# ORDER LEPADIFORMES

Buckeridge & Newman, 2006

Goose barnacles, as commonly known, are pelagic and have been found attached to all types of floating structures (biotic or abiotic) (Darwin 1854, Hinojosa et al. 2006) and are sometimes ingested by seabirds (see below).

» FAMILY LEPADIDAE DARWIN, 1852

#### FAMILY LEPADIDAE DARWIN, 1852

#### Existing species that can be found is:

Lepas (Anatifa) australis Darwin, 1851 Figure 104 | page 202 Cypris larvae:  $BM/BL^3 = 0.011$  (n=11) (0.21-0.28 cm) (Ridoux 1994)

Lepas (Anatifa) australis (= Lepas australis [Clarke & Johnston 2003, WoRMS 2014a]) has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic, subantarctic and subtropical waters, between 30°S and 60°S) (Nilsson-Cantell 1930, 1939, Foster 1978, Hinojosa et al. 2006). It is present in the diet of rockhopper penguins, black-browed albatrosses, Buller's albatrosses, grey-headed albatrosses, blue petrels, cape pigeons, short tailed shearwaters, Antarctic prions, Salvin's prions, fairy prions, fulmar prions, thin billed prions, broad billed prions, Wilson's storm petrels, black bellied storm petrels, grey backed storm petrels, common diving petrels, South Georgian diving petrels, Crozet shags and brown skuas (Imber 1981, West & Imber 1986, Prince & Copestake 1990, Ridoux 1994, Weimerskirch et al. 1999, Bocher et al. 2000a, Reinhardt et al. 2000, Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Cherel et al. 2002d, Xavier et al. 2003a, Connan et al. 2007b, Richoux et al. 2010, Cherel et al. 2014, Connan et al. 2014). Adult specimens are found in food samples from large seabirds (e.g. albatrosses), while cypris larvae (and stalked juveniles) occur sometimes in significant numbers in the diet of small planktonophageous seabirds, (e.g. storm petrels, prions).

Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

The species is by far the commonest species of pelagic barnacles from the Southern Ocean. Adults are generally in bad conditions precluding differentiating *Lepas (Anatifa) australis* from *Lepas (Anatifa) anatifera*. Biogeography also indicates that cypris larvae are likely to be *Lepas (Anatifa) australis* (Imber 1981).

# CLASS OSTRACODA

Gruvel, 1905

# ORDER MYODOCOPIDA

Sars, 1866

As Ostracoda, the Antarctic Myodocopida (i.e. Gigantocypris) are easily distinguished by its size and shape of a cherry; once seen, it would not be mistaken for any other pelagic form (Tibbs 1965).

» FAMILY CYPRIDINIDAE BAIRD, 1850

# FAMILY CYPRIDINIDAE BAIRD, 1850

#### Existing species that can be found is:

Gigantocypris muelleri Skogsberg, 1920 Figure 105 | page 204

*Gigantocypris muelleri* has a circumpolar distribution in Antarctic waters, and widely reported in the warmer waters of the Atlantic (including in the northern hemisphere [Angel et al. 2007]) and Indian Oceans (Tibbs 1965, Moguilevsky & Gooday 1977, Benassi et al. 1994, McKenzie et al. 2000, Kruk & Chavtur 2003, Mazdygan & Chavtur 2011). *G. muelleri* occurs in the diet of blue and Kerguelen petrels (Ridoux 1994). The specimens of *Gigantocypris* found in food samples of Antarctic prions and thin-billed prions probably refer to that species (Cherel et al. 2002a).

#### Practical procedures to differentiate the species within this family

*Gigantocypris* species are immediately recognisable by their "large" size and rotundity. In *G. muelleri*, the ventral gape of the carapace does not extend its full length. It is well-coloured, appearing like a translucent orange-red ball (Angel 1999).

# CRUSTACEAN FIGURES

# SUPERCLASS MULTICRUSTACEA

Regier, Shultz, Zwick, Hussey, Ball, Wetzer, Martin & Cunningham, 2010

# SUBCLASS COPEPODA

Milne-Edwards, 1840

# **RDER CALANOIDA** Sars G. O., 1903

- » FAMILY CALANIDAE DANA, 1849
- » FAMILY CANDACIIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893
- » FAMILY CLAUSOCALANIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893
- » FAMILY EUCHAETIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893
- » FAMILY HETERORHABDIDAE SARS G.O., 1902
- » FAMILY METRIDINIDAE SARS G.O., 1902
- » FAMILY RHINCALANIDAE GELETIN, 1976

#### SOUTHERNOCEAN | 139

# FAMILY CALANIDAE DANA, 1849



*Calanus propinquus* Brady, 1883 Figure 2 | page 15 (Photo and copyright permission from Miram Gleiber).



*Calanus simillimus* Giesbrecht, 1902 Figure 3 | page 15 (Photo and copyright permission from Miram Gleiber).

#### 140 | CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES

# FAMILY CANDACIIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893



Candacia maxima Vervoort, 1957 Figure 5 | page 20 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond (USNM 269180); Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

# FAMILY CLAUSOCALANIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893



Drepanopus pectinatus Brady, 1883 Figure 6 | page 21 (Photo and copyright permission from Claude Razouls [https://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en/index.php]).


Drepanopus forcipatus Giesbrecht, 1888 Figure 7 | page 21 (Photo and copryright permission from Marianne Wootton and Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science [SAHFOS]).

## FAMILY EUCHAETIDAE GIESBRECHT, 1893



Paraeuchaeta antarctica Giesbrecht, 1902 Figure 8 | page 23 (Photo and copyright permission from Marianne Wootton and Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science [SAHFOS]).

## FAMILY HETERORHABDIDAE SARS G.O., 1902



*Heterorhabdus austrinus* Giesbrecht, 1902 Figure 9 | page 25 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1009514]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

## FAMILY METRIDINIDAE SARS G.O., 1902



*Metridia gerlachei* Giesbrecht, 1902 Figure 10 | page 26 (Photo and copyright permission from Miram Gleiber).

## FAMILY RHINCALANIDAE GELENTIN, 1976



*Rhincalanus gigas* Brady, 1883 Figure 11 | page 28 (Copyright permission from the British Antarctic Survey [ID 10001066]).

## ORDER SIPHONOSTOMATOIDA Thorell, 1859

» FAMILY PENNELLIDAE BURMEISTER, 1835

» FAMILY SPHYRIIDAE WILSON C.B., 1919

## FAMILY PENNELLIDAE BURMEISTER, 1835



Sarcotretes scopeli Jungersen, 1911 Figure 12 | page 31 (Photo and copyright permission from Yves Cherel). Photo from preserved (adult female) specimens from the stomach contents of king penguins breeding in the Falkland Islands (note: Only females are found as parasites. Males are quite small and die young).

## FAMILY SPHYRIIDAE WILSON C.B., 1919



Sphyrion lumpi Krøyer, 1845 Figure 13 | page 33 (Photo and copyright permission from Geoff Boxshall).

## CLASS MALACOSTRACA Latreille, 1802

# ORDER DECAPODA

Latreille, 1802

- » FAMILY ACANTHEPHYRIDAE SPENCE BATE, 1888
- » FAMILY CRANGONIDAE HAWORTH, 1825
- » FAMILY HIPPOLYTIDAE SPENCE BATE, 1888
- » FAMILY HYMENOSOMATIDAE MACLEAY, 1838
- » FAMILY LITHODIDAE SAMOUELLE, 1819
- » FAMILY MUNIDIDAE AHYONG, BABA, MACPHERSON & POORE, 2010
- » FAMILY NEMATOCARCINIDAE SMITH, 1884
- » FAMILY NEPHROPIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY PASIPHAEIDAE DANA, 1852

## FAMILY ACANTHEPHYRIDAE SPENCE BATE, 1888



Acanthephyra pelagica (Risso, 1816) Figure 14 | page 35 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1123161]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen with broken rostrum.



Notostomus auriculatus Barnard, 1950 Figure 15 | page 35

(Left image: Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 256287]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History [Photo from preserved specimen]. Right image: Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA 118826]).

## FAMILY CRANGONIDAE HAWORTH, 1825



*Notocrangon antarcticus* (Pfeffer, 1887) Figure 17 | page 37 (Copyright permission from the British Antarctic Survey [ID 10009564]).

## FAMILY HIPPOLYTIDAE SPENCE BATE, 1888



*Chorismus antarcticus* (Pfeffer, 1887) Figure 18 | page 38 (Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA 35767]).



Nauticaris marionis Spence Bate, 1888 Figure 19 | page 38 (Photo and copyright permission from Evgeny A. Pakhomov).

FAMILY LITHODIDAE SAMOUELLE, 1819

*Neolithodes yaldwyni* Figure 20 | page 41 (Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA 38209]).



Paralomis birsteini Figure 21 | page 41 (Photo by Diane Pitassy [USNM 228830]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History; dorsal view [top] and ventral view [bottom]).



Paralomis stevensi Figure 22 | page 41

(Photo by Shane T. Ahyong; Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA 27835]).

## FAMILY MUNIDIDAE AHYONG, BABA, MACPHERSON & POORE, 2010



Munida gregaria (Fabricius, 1793) Figure 23 | page 43

(Left image: Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli [Photo from preserved specimen]; Right image: Photo and copyright permission from Federico Betti).

## FAMILY NEMATOCARCINIDAE SMITH, 1884



*Nematocarcinus lanceopes* Spence Bate, 1888 Figure 24 | page 45 and 46 (Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA 37046]).

## FAMILY PASIPHAEIDAE DANA, 1852



*Pasiphaea scotiae* (Stebbing, 1914) Figure 25 | page 48 (Photo and copyright permission from José Seco & José Xavier).

## ORDER EUPHAUSIACEA Dana, 1852

» FAMILY EUPHAUSIACEA DANA, 1852

## FAMILY EUPHAUSIIDAE DANA, 1852



*Euphausia crystallorophias* Holt & Tattersall, 1906 Figure 26 | page 51 (Photo and copyright permission from Volker Siegel).



*Euphausia frigida* Hansen, 1911 Figure 27 | page 51 (Photo and copyright permission from Volker Siegel).



*Euphausia superba* Dana, 1850 Figure 28 | page 51, Tables 1, 2 and 3 | page 206, 207 and 208 (Copyright permission from the British Antarctic Survey [ID 10001031]).



*Euphausia triacantha* Holt & Tattersall, 1906 Figure 29 | page 54 (Photo and copyright permission from Volker Siegel).



*Euphausia vallentini* Stebbing, 1900 Figure 30 | page 54 (Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli). Photo from preserved specimen.



Nematoscelis megalops G. O. Sars, 1883 Figure 31 | page 55 (Photo from Rob Stewart; Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA]).



Stylocheiron abbreviatum G. O. Sars, 1883 Figure 32 | page 55 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 45373]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



Thysanoessa gregaria G. O. Sars, 1883 Figure 33 | page 55

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 59133]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Dried specimen in poor condition. Photo from preserved specimen.



*Thysanoessa macrura* G. O. Sars, 1883 (bottom) and *Thysanoessa* spp. (top) Figure 34 | page 55 (Photo of *Thysanoessa Macrura* by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 98577]. Dried specimen in poor condition. Photo from preserved specimen [Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History]); Photo of *Thysanoessa* spp. by José Seco and José Xavier.

### ORDER AMPHIPODA Latreille, 1816

## SUBORDER GAMMARIDAE

Latreille, 1802

- » FAMILY AMATHILLOPSIDAE PIRLOT, 1934
- » FAMILY AMPELISCIDAE KRØYER, 1842
- » FAMILY CYPHOCARIDIDAE LOWRY & STODDART, 1997
- » FAMILY DEXAMINIDAE LEACH, 1814
- » FAMILY EPIMERIIDAE BOECK, 1871
- » FAMILY EURYTHENEIDAE STODDART & LOWRY, 2004
- » FAMILY EUSIRIDAE STEBBING, 1888
- » FAMILY LILJEBORGIIDAE STEBBING, 1899
- » FAMILY LYSIANASSIDAE DANA, 1849
- » FAMILY OEDICEROTIDAE LILJEBORG, 1865
- » FAMILY PHOXOCEPHALIDAE G.O. SARS, 1891
- » FAMILY SCOPELOCHEIRIDAE LOWRY & STODDART, 1997
- » FAMILY STEGOCEPHALIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY STENOTHOIDAE BOECK, 1871
- » FAMILY URISTIDAE HURLEY, 1963

## FAMILY AMATHILLOPSIDAE PIRLOT, 1934



Parepimeria bidentata Schellenberg, 1931 Figure 36 | page 67 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1136676]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

## FAMILY AMPELISCIDAE KRØYER, 1842



Byblis securiger (K.H. Barnard, 1931) Figure 38 | page 69 (Copyright permission from the British Antarctic Survey [ID 10009526]).

## FAMILY CYPHOCARIDIDAE LOWRY & STODDART, 1997



Cyphocaris anonyx Boeck, 1871 Figure 39 | page 70

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 31795]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History; and from Martin Collins [right photo]). Photo from preserved specimen.



Cyphocaris challengeri Stebbing, 1888 Figure 40 | page 70

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 103555]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



*Cyphocaris richardi* Chevreux, 1905 Figure 41 | page 70 (Photo and copyright permission from Volker Siegel).

## FAMILY DEXAMINIDAE LEACH, 1814



Polycheria kergueleni (Stebbing, 1888) Figure 42 | page 72 (Photo and copyright permission from Hugo Guímaro/Yves Cherel). Photo from preserved specimen.

## FAMILY EPIMERIIDAE BOECK, 1871



*Epimeria (Epimeriella) macronyx* (Walker, 1906) Figure 43 | page 73 (Copyright permission from the Royal Belgium Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels [Belgium]).

## FAMILY EURYTHENEIDAE STODDART & LOWRY, 2004



*Eurythenes gryllus* (Lichtenstein in Mandt, 1822) (probably E. *gryllus sensu stricto*) Figure 44 | page 75 (Photo and copyright permission from Volker Siegel).



Eurythenes obesus (Chevreux, 1905) Figure 45 | page 75

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1157042]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

## FAMILY EUSIRIDAE STEBBING, 1888



*Eusirus antarcticus* Thompson, 1880 Figure 46 | page 77 (Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli).



*Eusirus microps* Walker, 1906 Figure 47 | page 77 (Photo and copyright permission from Volker Siegel).



*Eusirus perdentatus* Chevreux, 1912 Figure 48 | page 77 (Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli).



*Eusirus propeperdentatus* Andres, 1979 Figure 49 | page 77 (Photo and copyright permission from Volker Siegel).

## FAMILY LILJEBORGIIDAE STEBBING, 1899



*Liljeborgia* sp. Schellenberg, 1931 Figure 50 | page 79 (Photo and copyright permission from Anna M. Jażdżewska).

### FAMILY LYSIANASSIDAE DANA, 1849



*Charcotia obesa* Chevreux, 1906 Figure 51 | page 80 (Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences).



Cheirimedon femoratus (Pfeffer, 1888) Figure 52 | page 80 (Photo and copyright permission from Meike Seefeldt).



Debroyerella fougneri (Walker, 1903) Figure 53 | page 80 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1113983]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

continue...



*Hippomedon kergueleni* (Miers, 1875) with a close up of posterolateral corner of epimerit 3. Figure 54 | page 80 (Photo and copyright permission from Meike Seefeldt).



Lepidepecreum urometacarinatum Andres, 1985 Figure 55 | page 80 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 306583]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen with broken pereiopods.



*Orchomenella* sp. Walker, 1903 Figure 56 | page 80 (Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli).



Parawaldeckia kidderi (Smith, 1876) Figure 57 | page 80

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1115507]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



*Tryphosella macropareia* (Schellenberg, 1926) Figure 58 | page 80 (Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences).

## FAMILY OEDICEROTIDAE LILLJEBORG, 1865



*Oediceroides* sp. Chevreux, 1911 Figure 59 | page 84 (Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli).

## FAMILY PHOXOCEPHALIDAE G.O. SARS, 1981



*Heterophoxus videns* K.H. Barnard, 1930 Figure 60 | page 85 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1088960]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

## FAMILY SCOPELOCHEIRIDAE LOWRY & STODDART, 1997



Paracallisoma sp. Chevreux, 1903 Figure 61 | page 86 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1157031]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

## FAMILY STEGOCEPHALIDAE DANA, 1855



*Parandania boecki* (Stebbing, 1888) Figure 62 | page 87 (Photo and copyright permission from José Seco & José Xavier).

## FAMILY URISTIDAE HURLEY, 1963



*Pseudorchomene plebs* (Hurley, 1965) Figure 63 | page 89 (Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences).



*Pseudorchomene* rossi (Walker 1903) Figure 64 | page 89 (Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences).



Tryphosinae *incertae sedis intermedia* (Schellenberg, 1926) Figure 65 | page 89 (Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences).



Uristes gigas Dana, 1852 Figure 66 | page 89 (Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences).



Uristes murrayi (Walker, 1903) Figure 67 | page 89 (Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences).
# SUBORDER HYPERIDEA Milne Edwards, 1830

- » FAMILY CYLLOPODIDAE BOVALLIUS, 1887
- » FAMILY HYPERIIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY PHROSINIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY VIBILIIDAE DANA, 1852

# FAMILY CYLLOPODIDAE BOVALLIUS, 1887



*Cyllopus lucasii* Bate, 1862 Figure 68 | page 93 (Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA 380046] and from Martin Collins [right photo]).

## FAMILY HYPERIIDAE DANA, 1852



Hyperia macrocephala (Dana, 1853) Figure 69 | page 95

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1196375]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



*Hyperia gaudichaudii* Milne Edwards, 1840 Figure 70 | page 95 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 101020]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



Hyperiella antarctica Bovallius, 1887 Figure 71 | page 95

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 301638]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



*Hyperiella dilatata* Stebbing, 1888 Figure 72 | page 95 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 250633]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



Hyperiella macronyx (Walker, 1906) Figure 73 | page 95

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1154663]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Dried specimen. Photo from preserved specimen.



Hyperoche luetkenides Walker, 1906 Figure 74 | page 95 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1090279]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



Hyperoche capucinus H. Barnard, 1930 Figure 75 | page 95

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1090280]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



*Themisto gaudichaudii* Guérin, 1825 Figure 76 | page 95 (Copyright permission from the British Antarctic Survey [ID 10001055]).

# FAMILY PHROSINIDAE DANA, 1853



*Primno macropa* Guérin-Méneville, 1836 Figure 77 | page 102 (Photo and copyright permission from José Seco & José Xavier).

# FAMILY VIBILIIDAE DANA, 1852



Vibilia sp. Stebbing, 1888 Figure 78 | page 103 (Right image: Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences) and Vibilia sp. (Left image: Photo and copyright permission from José Seco & José Xavier).

# SUBORDER SENTICAUDATA Lowry & Myers, 2013

- » FAMILY CALLIOPIIDAE G.O. SARS, 1893
- » FAMILY ISCHYROCERIDAE STEBBING, 1899
- » FAMILY PHOTIDAE BOECK, 1871
- » FAMILY PODOCERIDAE LEACH, 1814
- » FAMILY PONTOGENEIIDAE STEBBING, 1906

# FAMILY CALLIOPIIDAE G.O. SARS, 1893



*Oradarea* sp. Shoemaker, 1930 Figure 79 | page 105 (Photos and copyright permission from Eugenia Moreira).



Stenopleura atlantica Stebbing, 1888 Figure 80 | page 105 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 143470]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

# FAMILY PONTOGENEIIDAE STEBBING, 1906



*Bovallia gigantea* Pfeffer, 1888 Figure 81 | page 111 (Photos and copyright permission from Eugenia Moreira).



*Djerboa furcipes* Chevreux, 1906 Figure 82 | page 111 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 143470]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



Gondogeneia antarctica (Chevreux, 1906) Figure 83 | page 111 (Photo and copyright permission from Eugenia Moreira).



Liouvillea oculata Chevreux, 1912 Figure 84 | page 111

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 143517]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



Paramoera fissicauda (Dana, 1852) Figure 85 | page 111 (Photo and copyright permission from Hugo Guímaro/Yves Cherel). Photo from preserved specimen.



Paramoera walkeri (Stebbing, 1906) Figure 86 | page 111 (Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli).



Prostebbingia brevicornis (Chevreux, 1906) Figure 87 | page 111 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 1080432]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



Prostebbingia serrata Schellenberg, 1926 Figure 88 | page 111

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 143489]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



*Schraderia gracilis* Pfeffer, 1888 Figure 89 | page 111 (Photo by Cédric d'Udekem d'Acoz; Copyright from Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences).

# **ORDER ISOPODA**

Latreille, 1817

- » FAMILY AEGIDAE WHITE, 1850
- » FAMILY ARCTURIDIDAE POORE, 2001
- » FAMILY CHAETILIIDAE DANA, 1849
- » FAMILY SEROLIDAE DANA, 1852
- » FAMILY SPHAEROMATIDAE LATREILLE, 1825

# FAMILY AEGIDAE WHITE, 1850



Aega semicarinata Miers, 1875 Figure 90 | page 118

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 235091]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

# FAMILY ARCTURIDIDAE POORE, 2001



Arcturides cornutus Studer, 1882 Figure 92 | page 120 (Photo and copyright permission from Charles O. Coleman [ZMB 5502]).

# FAMILY CHAETILIIDAE DANA, 1849



Glyptonotus antarcticus Eights, 1852 Figure 93 | page 121 (Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli). Photo from preserved specimen

# FAMILY SEROLIDAE DANA, 1852



*Ceratoserolis trilobitoides* (Eights, 1833) Figure 94 | page 122 (Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 123946]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Dorsal view (left) and ventral view (right). Photo from preserved specimen.



Septemserolis septemcarinata Miers, 1875 Figure 95 | page 122

(Photo by Sven Tränkner and copyright permission from Angelika Brandt). Dorsal view (left) and ventral view (right). Photo from preserved specimen.



Spinoserolis latifrons (White, 1847) Figure 96 | page 122 (Dorsal side [left] and ventral side [right]; Photo by Sven Tränkner and copyright permission from Angelika Brandt). Dorsal view (left) and ventral view (right). Photo from preserved specimen.

# FAMILY SPHAEROMATIDAE LATREILLE, 1825



Cassidinopsis emarginata (Guérin-Méneville, 1843) Figure 97 | page 124

(Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 280468]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.

# ORDER LOPHOGASTRIDA

Boas, 1883

» FAMILY GNATHOPHAUSIIDAE UDRESCU, 1984

## FAMILY GNATHOPHAUSIIDAE UDRESCU, 1984



Neognathophausia gigas (Willemoes-Suhm, 1873) Figure 98 | page 126

(Top image: Photo by Diana Macpherson and copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA140051]; Bottom image: Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 283802]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Photo from preserved specimen.



Neognathophausia ingens (Dohrn, 1870) Figure 99 | page 126

(Top image: Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA]; Bottom image: Photo by Nicolas Raymond [USNM 235177]; Copyright permission from Smithsonian Institution, US National Museum of Natural History). Latter photo from preserved specimen.

# ORDER MYSIDA

Latreille, 1817

» FAMILY MYSIDAE HAWORTH, 1825

» FAMILY PETALOPHTHALMIDAE CZERNIAVSKY, 1882

# FAMILY MYSIDAE HAWORTH, 1825



Antarctomysis maxima (Holt & Tattersall, 1906) Figure 100 | page 129 (Photo and copyright permission from Stefano Schiaparelli).



Antarctomysis ohlinii Hansen, 1908 Figure 101 | page 129 (Copyright permission from the British Antarctic Survey). Photo from preserved specimen.

# FAMILY PETALOPHTHALMIDAE CZERNIAVSKY, 1882



Petalophthalmus armiger (Willemoes-Suhm, 1875) Figure 103 | page 132 (Photo by Jeff Forman and copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA]). Photo from preserved specimen.

# SUBCLASS THECOSTRACA Gruvel, 1905

# ORDER LEPADIFORMES

Buckeridge & Newman, 2006

» FAMILY LEPADIDAE DARWIN, 1852

# FAMILY LEPADIDAE DARWIN, 1852



Lepas (Anatifa) australis (Darwin, 1851) Figure 104 | page 134 (Photo and copyright permission from Jonathan Waters).

## CLASS OSTRACODA Gruvel, 1905

# ORDER MYODOCOPIDA Sars, 1866

» FAMILY CYPRIDINIDAE BAIRD, 1850

# FAMILY CYPRIDINIDAE BAIRD, 1850



*Gigantocypris muelleri* (Skogsberg, 1920) Figure 105 | page 136 (Copyright permission from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA 37979]).

# TABLES

Month	<b>Regression</b> A	Coefficient B	Size range (mm)	n Krill	Dominant adult maturity stage	Reference
Several combined	0.00056	3.62	24-58	30/mm	n.a.	(Chekunova & Rynkova 1974)
October	0.00236	3.251	13-58	279	resting	(Siegel 1993)
December	0.00086	3.551	15-59	250	gravid	(Siegel 1993)
January	0.00205	3.325	23-60	228	gravid-spent	(Siegel 1993)
February	0.00083	3.561	13-60	228	gravid	(Siegel 1993)
February	0.00165	3.380	16-59	261	spent	(Siegel 1993)
February	0.00503	3.283		343		(Färber-Lorda 1994)
March	0.00193	3.325	30-62	143	spent	(Siegel 1993)
April	0.0018	3.3436	20-61	1085	spent	(Sahrhage 1978)
April	0.0017	3.4327	20-60	708	spent	(Miller 1986)
June	0.00353	3.151	21-59	339	resting	(Siegel 1993)
January - February	0.00158	3.40	25-58	114	prespawning-gravid	
January - March	0.00229	3.35	22-42	49	adolescent	(Clarke 1976)
February - March	0.0018	3.3831		145	n.a.	(Jażdżewski et al. 1978)
February - March	0.00298	3.432	23-47	5082	n.a.	(Rojas et al. 1981)
February - March	0.00385	3.20	26-59	3299	gravid-spent	(Morris et al. 1988)

TABLE 1.Length-weight relationships for krill Euphausia<br/>superba not segregated by sex

 $BMs = a^*AT^b$  (wet weight in mg, length in mm), <sup>1)</sup> refers to BL in mm, <sup>2)</sup> refers to Standard 3 length S3

Month	Sex	Regression A	Coefficient B	Size range (mm)	n Krill	Dominant adult maturity stage	Reference
Several years	MF	0.0034 0.0009	3.1761 3.5792	3-61		all	(Lockyer 1973)
Several years	F M	0.00128 0.00352	3.428 3.144			all	(Nemoto et al. 1981)
October	F M	0.00236 0.00242	3.251 3.247	13-58 13-59	138 141	resting resting	(Siegel 1993)
November	F M	0.00315 0.00430	3.207 3.102	10-57 10-57	406 458	resting resting	(Siegel 1993)
December	F M	0.00083 0.00115	3.561 3.457	15-59 15-59	114 114	gravid gravid	(Siegel 1993)
January	MF	0.00156 0.00282	3.403 3.234	23-60 23-60	114 114	gravid-spent gravid-spent	(Siegel 1993)
February	MF	0.00111 0.00211	3.507 3.302	16-58 16-59	129 132	spent spent	(Siegel 1993)
June	F M	0.00193	3.176 3.084	21-59 21-55	380 340	resting resting	(Siegel 1993)
December - February	F M	0.0019 0.0009	3.36 3.56				(Strelnikova 1985)
January - February	F M	0.00423 0.00573	3.170 3.080	23-47 3) 23-46 3)	92 58	gravid pre-spawning	(Retamal & Quintana 1982)
February - March	ч И	0.00265 0.00531	3.464 3.256	23-47 3) 23-46 3)	2325 2757		(Rojas et al. 1981)
February - March	X г г г г	0.00613 0.00289 0.01088 0.00975 0.03548	3.0776 3.270 2.9077 2.9809 2.590	34-57 31-58 31-58 41-58 39-56	1882 1417 940 477 65	gravid-spent gravid-spent nly non-gravid only gravid only spent	(Morris et al. 1988)
BMs = a*AT <sup>b</sup> (wet weig	ht in mg	z, length in mm),	M males, F fem	ales, <sup>3)</sup> refers to "St	andard 2 L	ength" from tip of	the rostrum to posterior

# TABLE 2.Length-weight relationships for krill Euphausiasuperbaseparated by males and females

margin of the  $6^{\text{th}}$  abdominal segment

## SOUTHERN OCEAN | 207

Month	Sex	Regression A	Coefficient B	Size range (mm)	n Krill	Dominant adult maturity stage	Reference
October 1	Μ	0.00060	3.030	13-58	138		(C;] 1003)
October	Γı	0.00080	2.971	13-59	141	resung	(C771 Lagerc)
N	Μ	0.00076	3.071	10-57	406		(COD 1 1 003)
INOVEINDET	Ц	0.00105	2.965	10-57	458	resung	(079661 1770)
	Μ	0.00019	3.435	23-60	114		(00011 .07)
December	Ц	0.00025	3.357	23-60	114	gravid	(276Gel 1995)
F	Μ	0.00036	3.277	23-60	114		/003/
January	Ц	0.00075	3.066	23-60	114	gravid-spent	(276gel 1995)
Ļ	Μ	0.00009	3.694	16-58	129		/00011
February	Н	0.00031	3.306	16-59	132	spent	(Siegel 1993)
January - February	all	0.00007	3.760	28-58	114	prespawning-gravid	(Retamal & Quintana 1982)
February - March	all	0.00010	3.799		145		(Rojas et al. 1981)
	Μ	0.00238	2.93	34-57	1861	gravid	
	μ	0.00024	3.55	37-58	1404	gravid-spent	
February - March	ĹĿ	0.00139	3.0737	37-58	933	only non-gravid	(Morris et al. 1988)
	Ĺ	0.00199	3.0438	41-58	471	only gravid	
	all	0.00106	3.15	31-58	3265	gravid-spent	
$BMdw = a^*AT^b (Body N )$	Aass dry	weight in mg, tot	al length (AT) ir	n mm, M = males, F	' = females)		

TABLE 3.Length-weight relationships for krill Euphausia<br/>superba

# REFERENCES

## A

Adams NJ, Klages NT (1987) Seasonal variation in the diet of king penguin *Aptenodytes patagonicus* at Sub-Antarctic Marion Island. Journal of Zoology 212:303-324

Agrawal S, Leach EL, Leese F, Held C (2013) Isolation and characterization of 10 polymorphic loci for the giant Antarctic isopod, *Glyptonotus antarcticus*. Conservation Genetics Resources 5:963-965

Ahyong ST (2010) The Marine Fauna of New Zealand: King Crabs of New Zealand, Australia and the Ross Sea (Crustacea: Decapoda: Lithodidae), Vol 123. NIWA Biodiversity Memoir, Wellington, NZ

Ahyong ST, Dawson EW (2006) Lithodidae from the Ross Sea, Antarctica, with descriptions of two new species (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura). Zootaxa 1303:45-68

Ainley DG, Ballard G, Barton KJ, Karl BJ, Rau GH, Ribic CA, Wilson PR (2003) Spatial and temporal variation of diet within a presumed metapopulation of Adélie penguins. The Condor 105:95-106

Ainley DG, Fraser WR, Sullivan CW, Torres JJ, Hopkins TL, Smith WO (1986) Antarctic mesopelagic micronekton: evidence from seabirds that pack ice affects community structure. Science 232:847-849

Alonso G (1981) *Gammaropsis deseadensis* n.sp., a new species of marine amphipod from Puerto Deseado (Santa Cruz, Argentina). Neotropica 27:185-189

Alonso de Pina GM (1995) A new species of *Oradarea* and notes on some other eusirids from Antarctica (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Cahiers de Biologie Marine 36:251-258

Alonso de Pina GM, Rauschert M, De Broyer C (2008) A catalogue of the Antarctic and sub-Antarctic Phoxocephalidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Gammaridea) with taxonomic, distribution and ecological data. Zootaxa 1752:1-40

Alonso MK, Crespo E, Pedraza S, García N, Coscarella M (2000) Food habits of the South American sea lion, *Otaria flavescens*, off Patagonia, Argentina. Fishery bulletin 98:250-263

Alvarez Colombo GL, Viñas MD (1994) Relaciones peso seco-talla y volumen-talla en *Themisto gaudichaudii*, principal anfipodo hiperído del Mar Epicontinental Argentino. Revista de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero 9:5-10

Amar R, M. L. Roman ML (1973) Invertebrates marines des VII'eme et XV 6me Expeditions Antarctiques Frangaises en Terre Adklie. Tanaidaces et Isopodes. Tethys 5:561-599

Andres HG (1979) Gammaridea (Amphipoda, Crustacea) der Antarktis-Expedition 1975/1976. Auswertung der Dauerstation sudlich von Elephant Island. Meeresforschung 27:88-102

Andres HG (1982) Die Gammaridea (Crustacea: Amphipoda) der Deutschen Antarktis-Expeditionen 1975/76 und 1977/78. 2. Eusiridae. Mitteilungen aus den Hamburgischen Zoologischen Museum und Institut 79:159-185

Andres HG (1983) Die Gammaridea (Crustacea: Amphipoda) der Deutschen Antarktis-Expeditionen 1975/76 und 1977/78 3. Lysianassidae. Mitteilungen aus den Hamburgischen Zoologischen Museum und Institut 80:183-220

Andres HG (1985) Die Gammaridea (Crustacea: Amphipoda) der Deutschen Antarktis-Expeditionen 1975/76 und 1977/78. 4. Acanthonotozomatidae, Paramphithoidae und Stegocephalidae. Mitteilungen aus den Hamburgischen Zoologischen Museum und Institut 82:119-153

Andres HG, Lörz A.-N., Brandt A (2002) A common but undescribed huge species of *Eusirus* Krøyer, 1845 (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Eusiridae) from Antarctica. Mitteilungen aus den Hamburgischen Zoologischen Museum und Institut 99:109-126

Angel MV (1999) Ostracoda. In: Boltovskoy D (ed) South Atlantic zooplankton, Book 1. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, the Netherlands

Angel MV, Blachowiak-Samolyk K, Drapun I, Castillo R (2007) Changes in the composition of planktonic ostracod populations across a range of latitudes in the North-east Atlantic. Progress in Oceanography 73:60-78

Arkhipkin A, Brickle P, Laptikhovsky V (2003) Variation in the diet of the Patagonian toothfish with size, depth and season around the Falkland Islands. Journal of Fish Biology 63:428-441

Arkhipkin A, Brickle P, Laptikhovsky V, Butcher L, Jones E, Potter M, Poulding D (2001) Variation in the diet of the red cod with size and season around the Falkland Islands (south-west Atlantic). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK 81:1035-1040

Arntz W, Gorny M (1991a) Shrimp (Decapoda, Natantia) occurrence and distribution in the eastern Weddell Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 11:169-177

Arntz WE, Gorny M (1991b) Shrimp (Decapoda, Natantia) occurrence and distribution in the eastern Weddell Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 11:169-177

Arntz WE, Thatje S, Linse K, Avila C, Ballesteros M, Barnes DK, Cope T, Cristobo FJ, De Broyer C, Gutt J (2006) Missing link in the Southern Ocean: sampling the marine benthic fauna of remote Bouvet Island. Polar Biology 29:83-96

Aronson RB, Blake DB (2001) Global climate change and the origin of modern benthic communities in Antarctica. American Zoologist 41:27-39

Aronson RB, Frederich M, Price R, Thatje S (2015a) Prospects for the return of shell-crushing crabs to Antarctica. Journal of Biogeography 42:1-7

Aronson RB, Smith KE, Vos SC, McClintock JB, Amsler MO, Moksnes P-O, Ellis DS, Kaeli J, Singh H, Bailey JW (2015b) No barrier to emergence of bathyal king crabs on the Antarctic shelf. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:12997-13002

Atkinson A, Shreeve RS, Pakhomov EA, Priddle J, Blight SP, Ward P (1996) Zooplankton response to a phytoplankton bloom near South Georgia, Antarctica. Marine Ecology Progress Series Oldendorf 14:195-210

Auel H, Ekau W (2009) Distribution and respiration of the high-latitude pelagic amphipod *Themisto gaudi-chaudii* in the Benguela Current in relation to upwelling intensity. Progress in Oceanography 83:237-241

## В

Baba K (2005) Deep-sea chirostylid and galatheid crustaceans (Decapoda: Anomura) from the Indo-West Pacific, with a list of species. Galathea Reports 20:1-317

Baird HP, Miller KJ, Stark JS (2012) Genetic population structure in the Antarctic benthos: insights from the widespread amphipod, *Orchomenella franklini*. PloS one 7:e34363

Baker AC (1959) The distribution and life history of *Euphausia triacantha* Holt and Tattersall. Discovery Reports 29:309-340

Baker AC, Boden BP, Brinton E (1990) A practical guide to the euphausiids of the world. Natural History Museum Publications, London:96

Barlow KE, Boyd IL, Croxall JP, Reid K, Staniland IJ, Brierley AS (2002) Are penguins and Seals in competition for Antarctic krill at South Georgia ? Marine Biology 140:205-213

Barnard JL (1962a) Benthic marine Amphipoda of southern California 1. Families Aoridae, Photidae, Ischyroceridae, Corophiidae, Podoceridae. Pacific Naturalist 3:1-72

Barnard JL (1962b) South Atlantic abyssal amphipods collected by R.V." Vema". Abyssal Crustacea, Book 1. Vema Research Series, Columbia University Press, New York

Barnard JL (1964) Revision of some families, genera and species of gammaridean Amphipoda. Crustaceana 7:49-74

Barnard JL (1966) Benthic Amphipoda of Submarine canyons of southern California. Part V. Systematics: Amphipoda. Allan Hancock Pacific Expeditions 27:1-166

Barnard JL, Karaman GS (1991) The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda (Except marine gammaroids). Records of the Australian Museum 13:1-866

Barnard KH (1930) Crustacea. Part XI. Amphipoda. British Antarctic ("Terra Nova") Expedition, 1910. Natural History Report, Zoology 8:307-454

Barnard KH (1932) Amphipoda. Discovery Reports 5:1-326

Barrera-Oro E, Casaux R (1990) Feeding selectivity in *Notothenia neglecta*, Nybelin, from Potter Cove, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Antarctic Science 2:207-213

Barrera-Oro ER, Piacentino GL (2007) Feeding habits of juvenile *Trematomus newnesi* (Pisces, Nototheniidae) at Potter Cove, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Polar Biology 30:789-796

Barrera-Oro ER, Winter DJ (2008) Age composition and feeding ecology of early juvenile *Notothenia rossii* (Pisces, Nototheniidae) at Potter Cove, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Antarctic Science 20:339-341

Barrett RT, Camphusysen CJ, Anker-Nilssen T, Chardine JW, Furness RW, Garthe S, Hüppop O, Leopold MF, Montevecchi WA, Veit RR (2007) Diet studies of seabirds: a review and recommendations. ICES Journal of Marine Science 64:1675-1691
Bary BM (1956) Notes on ecology, systematics, and development of some Mysidacea and Euphausiacea (Crustacea) from New Zealand. Pacific Science 10:431-467

Basher Z, Bowden DA, Costello MJ (2014) Diversity and distribution of deep-sea shrimps in the Ross Sea region of Antarctica. PloS one 9:e103195

Basher Z, Costello MJ (2014) Shrimps (Crustacea: Decapoda). In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Raymond B, Ropert-Coudert Y, van de Putte A (eds) The CAML / SCAR-MarBIN Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge

Bayly IAE (1982) The genus *Drepanopus* (Copepoda: Calanoida): a review of species in Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic waters, with a description of *D. bispinosus*, sp. nov. Marine and Freshwater Research 33:161-172

Beddard FE (1886) Report on the Isopoda collected by H.M.S. "Challenger" during the years 1873-1876. Part 2. Challenger Reports 17:1-178

Belchier M, Peatman T, Brown J (2012) The biology, ecology and development of fishery management advice for the anomuran crabs at South Georgia (CCAMLR subarea 48.3). CCAMLR Science 19:1-15

Bellan-Santini D (1972a) Amphipodes provenant des contenus stomachaux de trois espèces de poissons Nototheniidae récoltés en Terre Adélie (Antarctique). Tethys 4:683-702

Bellan-Santini D (1972b) Invertébrés marins des XIIème et XVème Expéditions Antarctiques Françaises en Terre Adélie. 10 Amphipodes Gammariens. Tethys Suppl 4:157-238

Bellan-Santini D, Ledoyer M (1974) Gammariens (Crustacea, Amphipoda) des Iles Kerguelen et Crozet. Tethys 5:635-708

Benassi G, Ferrari I, Menozzi P, McKenzie KG (1994) Planktic ostracodes from the Antarctic and Subantarctic collected by the 1989-1990 Italian Antarctic Expedition. Ree Aust Mus 46:25-37

Berge J, De Broyer C, Vader W (2000) Revision of the Antarctic and sub-Antarctic species of the family Stegocephalidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda) with description of two new species. Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique: Biologie 70:217-233

Berge J, Vader W (2001) Revision of the amphipod (Crustacea) family Stegocephalidae. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 133:531-592

Berón MP, Coria NR, Favero M (2002) Monitoreo de la dieta post-reproductiva del pingüino papua (*Py-goscelis papua*) en Isla Laurie (Orcadas del Sur, Antártida): período 1997-1999. Ornitologia Neotropical 13:413-422

Birstein YA, Vinogradov ME (1955) Pelagicheskie gammaridy (Amphipoda, Gammaridea) Kurilo-Kamchatskoi Vpadiny [Pelagic gammarids (Amphipoda, Gammaridea) at the Kuril-Kamtchatka Trench]. Trudy Instituta Okeanologii Akademija Nauk SSSR 12:210-287

Blankley WO (1982) Feeding ecology of three inshore fish species at Marion Island (Southern Ocean). South African Journal of Zoology 17:164-170

Bocher P, Cherel Y, Alonzo F, Razouls S, Labat JP, Mayzaud P, Jouventin P (2002) Importance of the large copepod *Paraeuchaeta antarctica* (Giesbrecht, 1902) in coastal waters and the diet of seabirds at Kerguelen, Southern Ocean. Journal of Plankton Research 24:1317-1333

Bocher P, Cherel Y, Hobson KA (2000a) Complete trophic segregation between South Georgian and common diving petrels during breeding at Iles Kerguelen. Marine Ecology Progress Series 208:249-264

Bocher P, Cherel Y, Labat JP, Mayzaud P, Razouls S, Jouventin P (2001) Amphipod-based food web: *Them-isto gaudichaudii* caught in nets and by seabirds in Kerguelen waters, southern Indian Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 223:261-276

Bocher P, Labidoire B, Cherel Y (2000b) Maximum dive depths of common diving petrels (*Pelecanoides urinatrix*) during the annual cycle at Mayes Island, Kerguelen. Journal of Zoology 251:517-524

Boltovskoy D (1999) South Atlantic zooplankton. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands

Boschi EE, Fischbach CE, Lorio MI (1992) Catálogo ilustrado de los crustáceos estomatópodos y decápodos marinos de Argentina. Frente Maritimo 10:7-94

Bost CA, Koubbi P, Genevois F, Ruchon L, Ridoux V (1994) Gentoo penguin *Pygoscelis papua* diet as an indicator of planktonic availability in the Kerguelen Islands. Polar Biology 14 147-153

Bowman TE (1973) Pelagic amphipods of the genus *Hyperia* and closely related genera (Hyperiidea: Hyperiidea). Smithsonian Institution Press

Bowman TE (1978) Revision of the pelagic amphipod genus *Primno* (Hyperiidea: Phrosinidae). Smithsonian Institution Press

Boxshall GA (1989) Parasitic copepods of fishes: a new genus of the Hatschekiidae from New Caledonia, and new records of the Pennellidae, Sphyriidae and Lernanthropidae from the South Atlantic and South Pacific. Systematic Parasitology 13:201-222

Boxshall GA (1998) Host specificity in copepod parasites of deep-sea fishes. Journal of Marine Systems 15:215-223

Boyko CB (2016) *Serolis cornuta* Studer, 1879. Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at http://wwwmarinespeciesorg/aphiaphp?p=taxdetails&id=884719 on 2016-09-13

Bradford JM (1971) New and little-known species of Heterorhabdidae (Copepoda: Calanoida) from the Southwest Pacific. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 5:120-140

Bradford-Grieve JM, 1994. - Pelagic Calanoid Copepoda: Megacalanidae, Calanidae, Paracalanidae, Mecynoceridae, Eucalanidae, Spinocalanidae, Clausocalanidae. New Zealand Oceanographic Institute Memoir, 102: 1-160.

Bradford-Grieve JM, Markhaseva EL, Rocha CEF, Abiahy B (1999) Copepoda. In: Boltovskoy D (ed) South Atlantic Zooplankton, Book 2. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, NHL

Branch GM, Attwood CG, Gianakouras D, Branch ML (1993) Patterns in the benthic communities on the shelf of the sub-Antarctic Prince Edward Islands. Polar Biology 13:23-34

Branch M, Griffiths C, Kensley B, Sieg J (1991) The benthic Crustacea of Subantarctic Marion and Prince Edward Islands: Illustrated keys to the species and results of the 1982-1989 University of Cape Town Surveys. South African Journal of Antarctic Research 21:4-44

Brandt A (1991) Colonization of the Antarctic shelf by the Isopoda (Crustacea, Malacostraca). Berichte Zur Polarforschung 98:1-240

Brandt A, De Broyer C, De Mesel I, Ellingsen K, Gooday A, Hilbig B, Linse K, Thomson M, Tyler P (2007) The biodiversity of the deep Southern Ocean benthos. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 362:39-66

Brian A (1944) Copepodes parasites de Peces y Cetaceos del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales. Anales del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" 41:193-220

Brickle P, Arkhipkin AI, Laptikhovsky V, Stocks A, Taylor A (2009) Resource partitioning by two large planktivorous fishes *Micromesistius australis* and *Macruronus magellanicus* in the Southwest Atlantic. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 84:91-98

Brickle P, Laptikhovsky V, Pompert J, Bishop A (2003) Ontogenetic changes in the feeding habits and dietary overlap between three abundant rajid species on the Falkland Islands' shelf. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK 83:1119-1125

Briggs JC (1974) Marine Zoogeography. McGraw-Hill, New York

Brown CR, Klages NT (1987) Seasonal and annual variation in diets of Macaroni (*Eudyptes chrysolophus chrysolophus*) and southern rockhopper (*E. chrysocome chrysocome*) penguins at sub-Antarctic Marion Island. Journal of Zoology 212:7-28

Brown SG, Lockyer CH (1984) Whales. In: Laws RM (ed) Antarctic Ecology. Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA

Brusca RC, Moore W, Schuster M (2016) Invertebrates. Sinauer Associated. Inc, Publishers, Massachusetts, USA

Burton H, van den Hoff J (2002) Humans and the southern elephant seal *Mirounga leonina*. Australian Mammalogy 24:127-139

Bushula T, Pakhomov E, Kaehler S, Davis S, Kalin R (2005) Diet and daily ration of two nototheniid fish on the shelf of the sub-Antarctic Prince Edward Islands. Polar Biology 28:585-593

# С

Casaux R (1998) The contrasting diet of *Harpagifer antarcticus* (Notothenioidei, Harpagiferidae) at two localities of the South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Polar Biology 19:283-285

Casaux R, Baroni A, Carlini A (1997a) The diet of the Weddell seal *Leptonychotes weddelli* at Harmony Point, South Shetland Islands. Polar Biology 18:371-375

Casaux R, Baroni A, Ramón A (2003) Diet of Antarctic fur seals *Arctocephalus gazella* at the Danco Coast, Antarctic Peninsula. Polar Biology 26:49-54

Casaux R, Baroni A, Ramón A, Favero M, Silva P (2008) Aspects of the foraging behaviour of the Antarctic Tern *Sterna vittata gaini* at Harmony Point, South Shetland Islands. Polar Biology 31:327-331

Casaux R, Barrera-Oro E (2013) Dietary overlap in inshore notothenioid fish from the Danco Coast, western Antarctic Peninsula. Polar Research 32:21319

Casaux R, Coria N, Barrera-Oro E (1997b) Fish in the diet of the Antarctic shag *Phalacrocorax bransfield-ensis* at Laurie Island, South Orkney Islands. Polar Biology 18:219-222

Casaux R, Favero M, Silva P, Baroni A (2001) Sex differences in diving depths and diet of Antarctic shags at the South Shetland Islands. Journal of Field Ornithology 72:22-29

Castelló J (2004) Isopods (Crustacea, Isopoda) from the Spanish "Bentart-94/95" expeditions to the South Shetland Islands (sub-Antarctic). Polar Biology 28:1-14

Catard A, Weimerskirch H, Cherel Y (2000) Exploitation of distant Antarctic waters and close shelf-break waters by white-chinned petrels rearing chicks. Marine Ecology Progress Series 194:249-261

Chekunova VI, Rynkova TI (1974) Energy requirements of the Antarctic crustacean *Euphausia superba* DANA. Oceanology 14:434-440

Cherel Y (2008) Isotopic niches of emperor and Adélie penguins in Adélie Land, Antarctica. Marine Biology 154:813-821

Cherel Y, Bocher P, De Broyer C, Hobson KA (2002a) Food and feeding ecology of the sympatric thin-billed *Pachyptila belcheri* and Antarctic *P. desolata* prions at Iles Kerguelen, Southern Indian Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 228:263-281

Cherel Y, Bocher P, Trouvé C, Weimerskirch H (2002b) Diet and feeding ecology of blue petrels *Halobaena caerulea* at Iles Kerguelen, Southern Indian Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 228:283-299

Cherel Y, Boxshall GA (2004) *Sarcotretes* (Copepoda: Pennellidae) parasitizing myctophid fishes in the Southern Ocean: new information from seabird diet. Journal of Parasitology 90:1288-1292

Cherel Y, Connan M, Jaeger A, Richard P (2014) Seabird year-round and historical feeding ecology: blood and feather  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values document foraging plasticity of small sympatric petrels. Marine Ecology Progress Series 505:267-280

Cherel Y, Duhamel G (2003) Diet of the squid *Moroteuthis ingens* (Teuthoidea: Onychoteuthidae) in the upper slope waters of the Kerguelen Islands. Marine Ecology Progress Series 250:197-203

Cherel Y, Guinet C, Tremblay Y (1997) Fish prey of Antarctic fur seals *Arctocephalus gazella* at Ile de Croy, Kerguelen. Polar Biology 17:87-90

Cherel Y, Hobson K, Weimerskirch H (2005) Using stable isotopes to study resource acquisition and allocation in procellariiform seabirds. Oecologia 145:533-540

Cherel Y, Hobson KA, Guinet C, Vanpe C (2007) Stable isotopes document seasonal changes in trophic niches and winter foraging individuals specialization in diving predators from the Southern Ocean. Journal of Animal Ecology 76:826-836

Cherel Y, Klages N (1998) A review of the food of albatrosses. In: Robertson G, Gales R (eds) Albatross Biology and Conservation. Surrey Beatty & Sons, Chipping Norton, Australia

Cherel Y, Kooyman GL (1998) Food of emperor penguins (*Aptenodytes forsteri*) in the western Ross Sea, Antarctica. Marine Biology 130:335-344

Cherel Y, Pütz K, Hobson KA (2002c) Summer diet of king penguins (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) at the Fakland Islands, southern Atlantic ocean. Polar Biology 25:898-906

Cherel Y, Ridoux V (1992) Prey species and nutritive-value of food fed during summer to king penguin *Aptenodytes patagonica* chicks at Possession Island, Crozet archipelago. Ibis 134:118-127

Cherel Y, Tremblay Y, Guinard E, Georges JY (1999) Diving behaviour of female northern rockhopper penguins, *Eudyptes chrysocome moseleyi*, during the brooding period at Amsterdam Island (Southern Indian Ocean). Marine Biology 134:375-385

Cherel Y, Weimerskirch H, Trouvé C (2000) Food and feeding ecology of the neritic-slope forager blackbrowed albatross and its relationships with commercial fisheries in Kerguelen waters. Marine Ecology Progress Series 207:183-199

Cherel Y, Weimerskirch H, Trouvé C (2002d) Dietary evidence for spatial foraging segregation in sympatric albatrosses (*Diomedea* spp.) rearing chicks at Iles Nuageuses, Kerguelen. Marine Biology 141:1117-1129

Chevreux E (1903) Campagnes scientifiques de S.A.le P. Albert 1erde Monaco. Note préliminaire sur les amphipodes de la familles des Lysianassidae recueillis par la "Princesse Alice" dans les eaux profondes de l'Atlantique et de la Méditerranée. Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France 28:81-97

Chevreux E (1905a) Description d'un amphipode (*Cyphocaris richardi* nov. sp.) provenant des pêches au filet à grande ouverture de la dernière campagne du yacht "Princesse Alice", 1904. Bulletin du Musée Océanographique de Monaco 24:1-5

Chevreux E (1905b) Diagnoses d'amphipodes nouveaux provenant de l'expédition antarctique du "Français". I Lysianassidae. Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France 30:159-165

Chevreux E (1906) Crustaces amphipodes. Masson et Cie, Paris

Chevreux E (1911) Sur quelques amphipodes des iles Sandwich du Sud. Anales del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Buenos Aires 21:403-407

Chevreux E (1912) Deuxieme expedition dans l'Antarctique, dirigee par le Dr. Charcot, 1908-1910. Diagnoses d'amphipodes nouveaux. Bulletin du Museum national d'Histoire naturelle 18:208-218

Chevreux E (1913) Amphipodes. Deuxieme Expedition Antarctique Francaise (1908-1910) commandee par le Dr. Jean Charcot. Sciences Naturelles: Documents Scientifiques:79-186

Chiesa IL, Alonso de Pina GM (2007) A new species of *Uristes* Dana, 1849 (Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea: Uristidae) from the Beagle Channel, Argentina. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 120:446-458

Chilton C (1912) The Amphipoda of the Scottish National Antarctic Expedition. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 48:455-520

Clark MR (1985) The food and feeding of seven fish species from the Campbell Plateau, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 19:339-363

Clarke A (1976) Some observations on krill (*Euphausia superba* Dana) maintained alive in the laboratory. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin 43:111-118

Clarke A, Holmes LJ (1987) Notes on the biology and distribution of *Pasiphaea* species from the Southern Ocean. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin, No 74:17-30

Clarke A, Johnston NM (2003) Antarctic marine benthic diversity. Oceanography and Marine Biology: an Annual Review 41:47-114

Clarke J, Kerry K, Irvine L, Phillips B (2002) Chick provisioning and breeding success of Adélie penguins at Béchervaise Island over eight successive seasons. Polar Biology 25:21-30

Clarke S, Reid WD, Collins MA, Belchier M (2008) Biology and distribution of South Georgia icefish (*Pseudochaenichthys georgianus*) around South Georgia and Shag Rocks. Antarctic Science 20:343-353

Clausen AP, Pütz K (2003) Winter diet and foraging range of gentoo penguins (*Pygoscelis papua*) from Kidney Cove, Falkland Islands. Polar Biology 26:32-40

Coleman CO (2007) Acanthonotozomellidae, Amathillopsidae, Dikwidae, Epimeriidae, Iphimediidae, Vicmusiidae. In: De Broyer C (ed) Census of Antarctic Marine Life Synopsis of the Amphipoda of the Southern Ocean Vol 2, Book 77. Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique: Biologie

Collins MA, Allcock AL, Belchier M (2004) Cephalopods of the South Georgia slope. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 84:415-419

Collins MA, Rodhouse PGK (2006) Southern ocean cephalopods. Advances in Marine Biology 50:191-265

Collins MA, Ross KA, Belchier M, Reid K (2007) Distribution and diet of juvenile Patagonian toothfish on the South Georgia and Shag Rocks shelves (Southern Ocean). Marine Biology 152:135-147

Collins MA, Shreeve RS, Fielding S, Thurston M (2008) Distribution, growth, diet and foraging behaviour of the yellow-fin notothen *Patagonotothen guntheri* (Norman) on the Shag Rocks shelf (Southern Ocean). Journal of Fish Biology 72:271-286

Conlan KE (1990) Revision of the crustacean amphipod genus *Jassa* Leach (Corophioidea: Ischyroceridae). Canadian Journal of Zoology 68:2031-2075

Connan M, Cherel Y, Mabille G, Mayzaud P (2007a) Trophic relationships of white-chinned petrels from Crozet Islands: combined stomach oil and conventional dietary analyses. Marine Biology 152:95-107

Connan M, Cherel Y, Mayzaud P (2007b) Lipids from stomach oil of procellariiform seabirds document the importance of myctophid fish in the Southern Ocean. Limnology and Oceanography 52:2445-2455

Connan M, Mayzaud P, Hobson KA, Weimerskirch H, Cherel Y (2010) Food and feeding ecology of the Tasmanian short-tailed shearwater (*Puffinus tenuirostris*, Temminck): insights from three complementary methods. Journal of Oceanography, Research and Data 3:19-32

Connan M, Mayzaud P, Trouvé C, Barbraud C, Cherel Y (2008) Interannual dietary changes and demographic consequences in breeding blue petrels from Kerguelen Islands. Marine Ecology Progress Series 373:123-135

Connan M, McQuaid CD, Bonnevie BT, Smale MJ, Cherel Y, Klages N (2014) Combined stomach content, lipid and stable isotope analyses reveal spatial and trophic partitioning among three sympatric albatrosses from the Southern Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 497:259-272

Cook de S (in press) New Zealand Marine Invertebrates. Christchurch, Canterbury University Press

Cook TR, Lescroël A, Cherel Y, Kato A, Bost C-A (2013) Can foraging ecology drive the evolution of body size in a diving endotherm? PloS ONE 8:e56297

Cooper J, Fourie A, Klages N (1992) The diet of the white-chinned petrel *Procellaria aequinoctialis* at sub-Antarctic Marion Island. Marine Ornithology 20:17-24

Cooper J, Klages NW (1995) The diets and dietary segregation of sooty albatrosses (*Phoebetria* spp.) at subantarctic Marion Island. Antarctic Science 7:15-23

Cortés E (1997) A critical review of methods of studying fish feeding based on analysis of stomach contents: Application to elasmobranch fishes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 54 726-738

Creet S, Van Franeker J, Van Spanje T, Wolff W (1994) Diet of the pintado petrel *Daption capense* at King George Island, Antarctica, 1990/91. Marine Ornithology 22:221-229

Crescenti N, Costanzo G, Guglielmo L (1994) Developmental stages of *Antarctomysis ohlinii* Hansen, 1908 (Mysidacea) in Terra Nova Bay, Ross Sea, Antarctica. Journal of crustacean biology:383-395

Croxall JP (1993) Diet. In: Laws RM (ed) Antarctic seals: research methods and techniques. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Croxall JP, Furse JR (1980) Food of chinstrap penguins *Pygoscelis antarctica* and Macaroni penguins *Eudyptes chrysolophus* at Elephant Island group, South Shetland Islands. Ibis 122:237-245

Croxall JP, Hill HJ, Lidstonescott R, Oconnell MJ, Prince PA (1988) Food and Feeding Ecology of Wilsons Storm Petrel *Oceanites oceanicus* at South Georgia. Journal of Zoology 216:83-102

Croxall JP, Pilcher MN (1984) Characteristics of krill *Euphausia superba* eaten by Antarctic fur seals *Arcto-cephalus gazella* at South Georgia. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin, 63:117-125

Croxall JP, Prince PA (1987) Seabirds as predators on marine resources, especially krill, at South Georgia. In: Croxall JP (ed) Seabirds: Feeding Ecology and Role in Marine Ecosystems. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Croxall JP, Prince PA, Baird A, Ward P (1985a) The diet of the Southern rockhopper penguin *Eudyptes chrysocome chrysocome* at Beauchene Island, Falkland Islands. Journal of Zoology 206:485-496

Croxall JP, Prince PA, Reid K (1997) Dietary segregation in South Georgia seabirds. Journal of Zoology 242:531-556

Croxall JP, Prince PA, Ricketts C (1985b) Relationships between prey life-cycles and the extent, nature and timing of seal and seabird predation in the Scotia Sea. In: Siegfried WR, Condy PR, Laws RM (eds) Antarctic Nutrient Cycles and Food Webs. Springer-Verlag, Berlin

Croxall JP, Reid K, Prince PA (1999) Diet, provisioning and productivity responses of marine predators to differences in availability of Antarctic krill. Marine Ecology Progress Series 177:115-131

Cruz J, Lalas C, Jillett J, Kitson J, Lyver POB, Imber M, Newman J, Moller H (2001) Prey spectrum of breeding sooty shearwaters (*Puffinus griseus*) in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 35:817-829

Cuzin-Roudy J, Irisson J-O, Penot F, Kawaguchi S, Vallet C (2014) Southern Ocean euphausiids. In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Raymond B, Ropert-Coudert Y, van de Putte A (eds) The CAML / SCAR-MarBIN Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge

### D

Daly KL, Zimmerman JJ (2004) Comparisons of morphology and neritic distributions of *Euphausia crystallorophias* and *Euphausia superba furcilia* during autumn and winter west of the Antarctic Peninsula. Polar Biology 28:72-81

Darwin C (1854) A monograph on the subclass Cirripedia, with figures of all species. Ray Society, London

Dauby P, Nyssen F, De Broyer C (2003) Amphipods as food resources for higher trophic levels in the Southern Ocean. In: Huikes AHL, Gieskes WWC, Rozema J, Schomo RML, van der Vries SM, Wolff WJ (eds) Antarctic Biology in a Global context. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden

De Broyer C, Jażdżewska A (2014) Biogeographic patterns of Southern Ocean benthic amphipods. In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Raymond B, Ropert-Coudert Y, van de Putte A (eds) The CAML / SCAR-MarBIN Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge

De Broyer C, Jażdżewski K (1993) Contribution to the marine biodiversity inventory: a checklist of the Amphipoda (Crustacea) of the Southern Ocean. Institut royal des sciences naturelles de Belgique

De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths HJ, Raymond B, Udekem d'Acoz Cd, Van de Putte AP, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Ropert-Coudert Y (2014) Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge, UK

De Broyer C, Lowry JK, Jażdżewski K, Robert H (2007) Catalogue of the Gammaridean and Corophiidean Amphipoda (Crustacea) of the Southern Ocean, with distribution and ecological data. In: De Broyer C (ed) Census of Antarctic Marine Life: Synopsis of the Amphipoda of the Southern Ocean Vol I Book 77. Bulletin de l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Biologie

De Broyer C, Rauschert M (1999) Faunal diversity of the benthic amphipods (Crustacea) of the Magellan region as compared to the Antarctic (preliminary results). Scientia Marina 63:281-293

Dearborn JH (1965) Food of Weddell seals at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. Journal of Mammalogy 46:37-43

Delord K, Barbraud C, Bost CA, Cherel Y, Guinet C, Weimerskirch H (2013) Atlas of top predators from French Southern Territories in the Southern Indian Ocean. CEBC-CNRS, France

Delord K, Cotté C, Péron C, Marteau C, Pruvost P, Gasco N, Duhamel G, Cherel Y, Weimerskirch H (2010) At-sea distribution and diet of an endangered top predator: relationship between white-chinned petrels and commercial longline fisheries. Endangered Species Research 13:1-16

Diez MJ, Lovrich GA (2010) Reproductive biology of the crab *Halicarcinus planatus* (Brachyura, Hymenosomatidae) in sub-Antarctic waters. Polar Biology 33:389-401

Dimitrijević D, Paiva VH, Ramos JA, Seco J, Ceia FR, Chipev N, Valente T, Barbosa A, Xavier JC (2018) Isotopic niches of sympatric Gentoo and Chinstrap Penguins: evidence of competition for Antarctic krill? Polar Biology 41:1655-1669

Driscoll RM, Reiss CS, Hentschell BT (2015) Temperature-dependent growth of *Thysanoessa macrura*: inter-annual and spatial variability around Elephant Island, Antarctica. Marine Ecology Progres Series 529:49-61

Duarte WE, Moreno CA (1981) The specialized diet of Harpagifer bispinis. Hydrobiologia 80:241-250

d'Udekem d'Acoz C (2008) Shelf and abyssal *Liljeborgia* Bate, 1861 of the Southern Ocean (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Liljeborgiidae). Bulletin de l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Biologie 78:45-286

d'Udekem d'Acoz C (2009) New records of *Liljeborgia* from Antarctic and sub-Antarctic seas, with the description of two new species (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Liljeborgiidae). Bulletin de l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Biologie 79:243-304

d'Udekem d'Acoz C, Havermans C (2012) Two new *Pseudorchomene* species from the Southern Ocean, with phylogenetic remarks on the genus and related species (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea: Lysianassidae: Tryphosinae). Zootaxa 3310:1-50

d'Udekem d'Acoz C, Havermans C (2015) Contribution to the systematics of the genus *Eurythenes* S.I. Smith in Scudder, 1882 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea: Eurytheneidae). Zootaxa 3971:1-80

d'Udekem d'Acoz C, Schön I, Robert H (2018) The genus *Charcotia* Chevreux, 1906 in the Southern Ocean, with the description of a new species (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Lysianassoidea). Belgian Journal of Zoology 148

d'Udekem d'Acoz C, Verheye ML (2017) *Epimeria* of the Southern Ocean with notes on their relatives (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Eusiroidea). European Journal of Taxonomy 359:1-553

Duhamel G (1987) Ichtyofauna des secteurs Indien Occidental et Atlantique Oriental de l'Ocean Austral: biogeographie, cycles biologiques et dynamique despopulations. PhD thesis, University of Paris VI

Duhamel G, Hureau J (1985) The role of zooplankton in the diets of certain sub-Antarctic marine fish. In: Siegfried WR, Condy PR, Laws RM (eds) Antarctic nutrient cycles and food webs. Springer-Verlag. Berlin

# Е

Edgar GJ, Burton HR (2000) The biogeography of shallow-water macrofauna at Heard Island. Proc Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania (Vol. 133, No. 2, pp. 23-26)

Emison WB (2000) Revision of *Eusirus perdentatus* Chevreux, 1912 and *E. propeperdentatus* Ansdres, 1979 (Crustacea: Amphipoda), Vol 145. ANARE Reports, Kingston, Tasmania

Errhif A, Razouls C, Mayzaud P (1997) Composition and community structure of pelagic copepods in the Indian sector of the Antarctic Ocean during the end of the austral summer. Polar Biology 17:418-430

Espitalier-Noel G, Adams N, Klages N (1988) Diet of the imperial cormorant *Phalacrocorax atriceps* at sub-Antarctic Marion Island. Emu 88:43-46

Everson I (2000) Krill: biology, ecology and fisheries. Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford

### F

Färber-Lorda J (1990) Somatic length relationships and ontogenetic morphometric differentiation of *Euphausia superba* and *Thysanoessa macrura* of the southwest Indian Ocean during summer (February 1981). Deep-Sea Research I 37:1135-1143

Färber-Lorda J (1994) Length-weight relationships and coefficient of condition of *Euphausia superba* and *Thysanoessa macrura* (Crustacea: Euphausiacea) in southwest Indian Ocean during summer. Marine Biology 118:645-650

Färber-Lorda J, Mayzaud P (2010) Morphology and total lipids in *Thysanoessa macrura* from the southern part of the indian Ocean during summer: spatial and sex differences. Deep Sea Research Part II 57:565 - 571

Favero M, Casaux R, Silva P, Barrera-Oro E, Coria N (1998) The diet of the Antarctic shag during summer at Nelson Island, Antarctica. Condor:112-118

Feldkamp T (2010) Genetische Struktur und Diversität ausgewählter antarktischer Amphipoden. Diplomarbeit, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, unpublished

Fenwick GD (1978) Decapoda of the Snares Islands, New Zealand. New Zealand journal of marine and freshwater research 12:205-209

Fijn RC, Van Franeker JA, Trathan PN (2012) Dietary variation in chick-feeding and self-provisioning Cape Petrel *Daption capense* and Snow Petrel *Pagodroma nivea* at Signy Island, South Orkney Islands, Antarctica. Marine Ornithology 40:81-87

Fischer W, Hureau J (1985) FAO species identification sheets for fishery purposes, southern ocean, CCAMLR Covention Area fishing areas 48,58 and 88., Vol 2. FAO, Rome

Flores H, Kock K-H, Wilhelms S, Jones CD (2004) Diet of two icefish species from the South Shetland Islands and Elephant Island, *Champsocephalus gunnari* and *Chaenocephalus aceratus*. Polar Biology 27:119-129

Forman JS, Horn PL, Stevens DW (2016) Diets of deepwater oreos (Oreosomatidae) and orange roughy *Hoplostethus atlanticus*. Journal of Fish Biology 88:2275-2302

Foster BA (1978) The marine fauna of New Zealand: barnacles (Cirripedia: Thoracica). Memoirs of the New Zealand Oceanographic Institute 69:1-160

Foster BA, Cargill JM, Montgomery JC (1987) Planktivory in *Pagothenia borchgrevinki* (Pisces: Nototheniidae) in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. Polar Biology 8:49-54

Foster BA, Montgomery JC (1993) Planktivory in benthic nototheniid fish in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. Environmental Biology of Fishes 36:313-318

Freeman AN (1998) Diet of Westland Petrels *Procellaria westlandica*: The importance of fisheries waste during chick-rearing. Emu 98:36-43

# G

Garcia de la Rosa S, Sanchez F, Figueroa D (1997) Comparative feeding ecology of patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) in the Southwestern Atlantic. CCAMLR Science 4:105-124

García Raso JE, Manjón-Cabeza ME, Ramos A, Ilaso I (2005) New record of Lithodidae (Crustacea, Decapoda, Anomura) from the Antarctic (Bellingshausen Sea). Polar Biology 28:642-646

Garth JS (1958) Brachyura of the Pacific coast of America, *Oxyrhyncha*. Allan Hancock Pacific Expeditions 21:501-854

Gibbons M, Spiridonov V, Tarling G (1999) Euphausiacea. In: Boltovskoy D (ed) South Atlantic Zooplankton. Backhyus Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands

Giesbrecht W (1902) Zoologie Copepoden. Expédition Antarctique Belge. Résultats du Voyage du SY Belgica en 1897-1898-1899 sous le commandement de A. Gerlache de Gomery. Rapports Scientifiques publiés aux frais du Government Belge, sous la direction de la Commission de la Belgica 10:1-49

Gislason A, Astthorsson OS (1992) Zooplankton collected by sediment trap moored in deep water south of Iceland. Sarsia 77:219-224

Goebel ME, Lipsky JD, Reiss CS, Loeb VJ (2007) Using carapace measurements to determine the sex of Antarctic krill, *Euphausia superba*. Polar Biology 30:307-315

González AF, Trathan PN, Yau C, Rodhouse PG (1997) Interactions between oceanography, ecology and fishery biology of the ommastrephid squid *Martialia hyadesi* in the South Atlantic. Marine Ecology Progress Series 152:205-215

Goodall RNP, Galeazzi AR (1985) A review of the food habits of the small cetaceans of the Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic. In: Siegfried W, Condy PR, Laws RM (ed) Antarctic Nutrient Cycles and Food Webs. Springer-Verlag, Berlin

Gorny M (1999) On the biogeography and ecology of the Southern Ocean decapod fauna. Scientia Marina 63:367-382

Green K, Burton HR (1987) Seasonal and geographical variation in the food of Weddell seals, *Leptonychotes weddelli*, in Antarctica. Australian Wildlife Research 14:475-489

Green K, Burton HR (1993) Comparison of the stomach contents of southern elephant seals, *Mirounga leonina*, at Macquarie and Heard Islands. Marine Mammal Science 9:10-22

Green K, Burton HR, Watts DJ (1995) Studies of the Weddell seal in the Vestfold Hills, east Antarctica. Australian Antarctic Division

Green K, Kerry K, Disney T, Clarke M (1998a) Dietary studies of light-mantled sooty albatrosses *Phoebetria palpebrata* from Macquarie and Heard Islands. Marine Ornithology 26:19-26

Green K, Williams R (1986) Observations on food remains in faeces of elephant, leopard and crabeater seals. Polar Biology 6:43-45

Green K, Williams R, Green MG (1998b) Foraging ecology and diving behaviour of Macaroni Penguins *Eudyptes chrysolophus* and Heard Island. Marine Ornithology 26:27-34

Green K, Wong V (1992) The diet of gentoo penguins *Pygoscelis papua* in early winter at Heard Island. Corella 16:129-132

Griffiths HJ, Whittle RJ, Roberts SJ, Belchier M, Linse K, Thatje S (2014) Decapoda: Crabs and lobsters. In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Raymond B, Ropert-Coudert Y, van de Putte A (eds) The CAML / SCAR-MarBIN Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge

Gurjanova E (1962) Bokoplavy severnoj chasti Tikhogo Okeana (Amphipoda, Gammaridea) (Amphipods of the northern part of Pacific Ocean (Amphipoda, Gammaridea). Opredeliteli po faune SSSR, Akademiia Nauk SSSR 74:1-440

## Η

Hahn S (1998) The food and chick feeding of blackbellied stormpetrel (*Fregetta tropica*) at King George Island, South Shetlands. Polar Biology 19:354-357

Haie HM (1946) Isopoda Valvifera. Rept. B.A.N.Z.A.R. Expedition 1929-31. Reports, Series B (Zoology and Botany), 5: 163-212

Haithcock Pequegnat L (1965) The bathypelagic mysid *Gnathophausia* (Crustacea) and its distribution in the Eastern Pacific Ocean. Pacific Science 19:399-421

Hall-Aspland S, Rogers T (2004) Summer diet of leopard seals (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) in Prydz Bay, Eastern Antarctica. Polar Biology 27:729-734

Haraldsson M, Siegel V (2014) Seasonal distribution and life history of *Thysanoessa macrura* (Euphausiacea, Crustacea) in high latitude waters of the Lazarev Sea, Antarctica. Marine Ecology Progress Series 495:105-118

Havermans C (2012) DNA barcoding, phylogeography and phylogeny of the Lysianassoidea (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from the Southern Ocean and the World's deep seas. PhD, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Havermans C (2016) Have we so far only seen the tip of the iceberg? Exploring species diversity and distribution of the giant amphipod *Eurythenes*. Biodiversity 17:1-2

Havermans C, Auel H, Hagen W, Held C, Ensor NS, Tarling GA (2019) Predatory zooplankton on the move: *Themisto* amphipods in high-latitude marine pelagic food webs. In: Sheppard C (ed), Book 82. Advances in Marine Biology

Havermans C, Nagy ZT, Sonet G, De Broyer C, Martin P (2011) DNA barcoding reveals new insights into the diversity of Antarctic species of *Orchomene sensu lato* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea). Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 58:230-241

Havermans C, Sonet G, d'Acoz CdU, Nagy ZT, Martin P, Brix S, Riehl T, Agrawal S, Held C (2013) Genetic and morphological divergences in the cosmopolitan deep-sea amphipod *Eurythenes gryllus* reveal a diverse abyss and a bipolar species. PloS one 8:e74218

Hays GC, Webb PI, Frears SL (1998) Diet changes in the carbon and nitrogen content of the copepod *Metridia lucens*. Journal of plankton research 20:727-737

Held C (2003) Molecular evidence for cryptic speciation within the widespread Antarctic crustacean *Ceratoserolis trilobitoides* (Crustacea, Isopoda). In: Huiskes AHL, Gieskes WWC, Rozema J, Schorno RML, van der Vies SM, Wolff WJ (eds) Antarctic Biology in a Global Context. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands

Held C, Wägele J-W (2005) Cryptic speciation in the giant Antarctic isopod *Glyptonotus antarcticus* (Isopoda: Valvifera: Chaetiliidae). Scientia marina 69:175-181

Henderson JR, Britain G (1888) Report on the Anomura collected by HMS Challenger during the years 1873-76. Eyre & Spottiswoode

Hendrickx M. E. (2003) The temperate species of the genus *Munida* Leach (Crustacea, Decapoda, Galatheidae) in the east Pacific, with the description of a new species and additional records for tropical-subtropical species. Biologie 73:115-136

Hendrickx, M. E. and J. C. Hernandez-Payan (2018). Redescription of the mysid *Petalophthalmus armiger* Willemoes-Suhm, 1875 (Crustacea: Mysida: Petalophthalmidae) and distribution off western Mexico. Zootaxa 4444 (3): 283-298.

Hill HJ (1990) A new method for the measurement of Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba* Dana from predator food samples. Polar Biology 10:317-320

Hindell MA (1988) The diet of the royal penguin Eudyptes schlegeli at Macquarie island. Emu 88:219-226

Hindell MA (1989) The Diet of Gentoo Penguins *Pygoscelis papua* at Macquarie Island - Winter and Early Breeding-Season. Emu 89:71-78

Hinojosa I, Boltaña S, Lancellotti D, Macaya E, Ugalde P, Valdivia N, Vásquez N, Newman WA, Thiel M (2006) Geographic distribution and description of four pelagic barnacles along the south east Pacific coast of Chile - a zoogeographical approximation. Revista Chilena de Historia Natural 79:13-27

Ho JS (1992) Does *Sphyrion lumpi* (Kroyer) (Copepoda, Sphyriidae) occur in the Sea of Japan? With discussion on the origin and dispersal of *Sphyrion* Cuvier, 1830. Report of the Sado Marine Biological Station 22:37-48

Hoff Jvd, Burton HR, Davies R (2003) Diet of male southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina* L.) hauled out at Vincennes Bay, East Antarctica. Polar Biology 26:27-31

Hogans W (1988) Review of *Sarcotretes* Jungersen, 1911 (Copepoda: Pennellidae) from midwater and demersal fishes in the north Atlantic Ocean. Canadian Journal of Zoology 66:1371-1375 Horn P, Dunn M, Forman J (2013) The diet and trophic niche of orange perch, *Lepidoperca aurantia* (Serranidae: Anthiinae) on Chatham Rise, New Zealand. Journal of Ichthyology 53:310-316

Horne R (1985) Diet of Royal and Rockhopper Penguins at Macquarie Island. Emu 85:150-156

Horton T, De Broyer C, Costello M, Bellan-Santini D (2013) Epimeriidae Boeck, 1871. In: Horton T, Lowry J, De Broyer C, Bellan-Santini D, Coleman CO, Daneliya M, Dauvin J-C, Fišer C, Gasca R, Grabowski M, Guerra-García JM, Hendrycks E, Holsinger J, Hughes L, Jaume D, Jażdżewski K, Just J, Kamaltynov RM, Kim Y-H, King R, Krapp-Schickel T, LeCroy S, Lörz A-N, Senna AR, Serejo C, Sket B, Tandberg AH, Thomas J, Thurston M, Vader W, Väinölä R, Vonk R, White K, Zeidler W (eds) World Amphipoda Database. Accessed at http://www.marinespecies.org/amphipoda/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=101379 on 2016-12-13

Horton T, Lowry J, De Broyer C (2014) *Tryphosella intermedia* (Schellenberg, 1926). In: Horton T, Lowry J, De Broyer Co (eds). World Amphipoda Database (Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=237739 on 2015-02-12)

Horton T, Lowry J, De Broyer C, Bellan-Santini D, Coleman CO, Corbari L, Costello MJ, Daneliya M, Dauvin J-C, Fišer C, Gasca R, Grabowski M, Guerra-García JM, Hendrycks E, Hughes L, Jaume D, Jażdżewski K, Kim Y-H, King R, Krapp-Schickel T, LeCroy S, Lörz A-N, Mamos T, Senna AR, Serejo C, Sket B, Souza-Filho JF, Tandberg AH, Thomas JD, Thurston M, Vader W, Väinölä R, Vonk R, White K, Zeidler W (2019) World Amphipoda Database. Tryphosinae incertae sedis intermedia (Schellenberg, 1926). Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at: http://wwwmarinespeciesorg/aphiaphp?p=taxdetails&id=765087 on 2019-10-22

Horton T, Thurston MH (2015) A revision of the genus *Paracallisoma* Chevreux, 1903 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Scopelocheiridae: Paracallisominae) with a redescription of the type species of the genus *Paracallisoma* and the description of two new genera and two new species from the Atlantic Ocean. Zootaxa 3995:91-132

Hubold G (1985) Stomach contents of the Antarctic silverfish *Pleuragramma antarcticum* from the southern and eastern Weddell Sea (Antarctica). Polar Biology 5:43-48

Hubold G, Ekau W (1990) Feeding patterns of post-larval and juvenile notothenioids in the southern Weddell Sea (Antarctica). Polar Biology 10:255-260

Hubold G, Hagen W (1997) Seasonality of feeding and lipid content in juvenile *Pleuragramma antarcticum* (Pisces: Nototheniidae) from the southern Weddell Sea. Antarctic communities Species, structure and survival Cambridge University Press, New York:277-283

Hull CL (1999) Comparison of the diets of breeding royal (*Eudyptes schlegeli*) and rockhopper (*Eudyptes chrysocome*) penguins on Macquarie Island over three years. Journal of Zoology 247:507-529

Hulsemann K (1985) Two species of *Drepanopus* Brady (Copepoda Calanoida) with discrete ranges in the Southern Hemisphere. Journal of plankton research 7:909-925

Hurley D (1965) A common but hitherto undescribed species of *Orchomenella* (Crustacea amphipoda - Family Lysiassidae) from Ross Sea. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand - Zoology 6:107-113

## Ι

Ichii T, Kato H (1991) Food and daily food consumption of southern minke whales in the Antarctic. Polar Biology 11:479-487

Ichii T, Shinohara N, Fujise Y, Nishiwaki S, Matsuoka K (1998) Interannual changes in body fat condition index of minke whales in the Antarctic. Marine Ecology Progress Series 175:1-12

Imber MJ (1973) The food of grey-faced petrels (*Pterodroma macroptera gouldi* [Hutton]), with special reference to diurnal vertical migration of their prey. Journal of Animal Ecology 42:645-662

Imber MJ (1981) Diets of storm petrels *Pelagodroma* and *Garrodia* and of prions *Pachyptila* (Procellariiformes): ecological separation and bill morphology. Proceedings of the symposium on birds of the sea and shore, 1979, J Cooper (ed) African Seabird Group, Cape Town:63-88

Imber MJ (1996) The food of Cook's Petrel *Pterodroma cookii* during its breeding season on Little Barrier Island, New Zealand. Emu 96:189-194

Ivanovic ML, Brunetti NE (1994) Food and feeding of Illex argentinus. Antarctic Science 6:185-193

Iwasaki N, Nemoto T (1987) Pelagic shrimps (Crustacea: Decapoda) from the Southern Ocean between 150 E and 115 E. Memoirs of National Institute of Polar Research Ser E, Biology and medical science 38:1-40

# J

Jażdżewski K (1981) Amphipod crustaceans in the diet of pygoscelid penguins of the King George Island, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Polish Polar Research 2:133-144

Jażdżewski K, Dzik J, Porębski J, Rakusa-Suszczweski S, Witek Z, Wolnomiejski N (1978) Biological and populational studies on krill near South Shetland Islands, Scotia Sea and South Georgia in the summer 1976. Polar Archives of Hydrobiology 25:607-631

Jażdżewski K, Konopacka A (1999) Necrophagous lysianassoid Amphipoda in the diet of Antarctic tern at King George Island, Antarctica. Antarctic Science 11:316-321

Jażdżewski K, Presler E (1988) Hyperiid amphipods collected by the Polish Antarctic Expedition in the Scotia Sea and in the South Shetland Islands area. Crustaceana Supplement:61-71

John DD (1936) The southern species of the genus Euphausia. Discovery Reports 14:193-324

Κ

Kaiser S (2014) Antarctic and sub-Antarctic isopod crustaceans (Peracarida, Malacostraca). In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Raymond B, Ropert-Coudert Y, van de Putte A (eds) The CAML / SCAR-MarBIN Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge

Kane JE (1963) Stages in the early development of *Parathemisto gaudichaudii* (Guér):(Crustacea Amphipoda Hyperiidea), the development of secondary sexual characters and of the ovary. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand, 3: 35-45

Kane JE (1966) The distribution of *Parathemisto gaudichaudii* (Guer.), with observations on its life-history in the 0° to 20° E sector in the Southern Ocean. Discovery Reports 34:163-198

Karnovsky NJ, Hobson KA, Iverson SJ (2012) From lavage to lipids: estimating diets of seabirds. Marine Ecology Progress Series 451:263-284

Kato A, Williams TD, Barton TR, Rodwell S (1991) Short-term variation in the winter diet of gentoo penguins *Pygoscelis papua* at South Georgia during July 1989. Marine Ornithology 19:31-38

Kazachenko VN, Titar VM (1985) Special Features of the Geographical Distribution and Practical Significance of the Parasitic Copepods of Fishes of the Pacific! In: Hargis WJ (ed) Parasitology and Pathology of Marine Organisms of the World Ocean, Book 25. NOAA Tecnhical Report NMFS

Kellermann A (1987) Food and feeding ecology of postlarval and juvenile *Pleuragramma antarcticum* (Pisces; Notothenioidei) in the seasonal pack ice zone off the Antarctic Peninsula. Polar Biology 7:307-315

Kellermann A (1990) Food and feeding dynamics of the larval Antarctic fish *Nototheniops larseni*. Marine Biology 106:159-167

Kensley BF (1980) Marine isopods from Marion, Prince Edward, and Crozet Islands (Crustacea, Isopoda). South African Museum

Kent S, Seddon J, Roberston G, Wienecke BC (1998) Diet of adélie penguins *Pygoscelis adeliae* at Shirley Island, East Antarctica, January 1992. Marine Ornithology 26:7-10

Kiest KA (1993) A relationship of diet to prey abundance and the foraging behavior of *Trematomus bernacchii*. Polar Biology 13:291-296

Kilgallen NM, Lowry JK (2015) A review of the scopelocheirid amphipods (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Lysianassoidea), with the description of new taxa from Australian waters. Zoosystematics and Evolution 91:1-43

Kils U (1979) Swimming speed and escape capacity of Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba*. Meeresforsch 27:264-266

Kim J-H, Jażdżewska A, Choi H-G, Kim W (2014) The first report on Amphipoda from Marian Cove, King George Island, Antarctic. Oceanological and Hydrobiological Studies 43:106-113

Kirkwood J, Burton H (1988) Macrobenthic species assemblages in Ellis Fjord, Vestfold Hills, Antarctica. Marine Biology 97:445-457

Kirkwood JM (1982) A guide to the Euphausiacea of the Southern Ocean. ANARE Research Notes 1:1-45

Kirkwood JM (1984) A guide to the Decapoda of the Southern Ocean. ANARE Research Notes 11:1-47

Kitson J, Cruz J, Lalas C, Jillett J, Newman J, Lyver POB (2000) Interannual variations in the diet of breeding sooty shearwaters (*Puffinus griseus*). New Zealand Journal of Zoology 27:347-355

Klages N (1989) Food and feeding ecology of emperor penguins in the eastern Weddell Sea. Polar Biology 9:385-390

Klages N, Gales R, Pemberton D (1989) Dietary segregation of macaroni and rockhopper penguins at Heard Island. Wildlife Research 16:599-604

Klages N, Gales R, Pemberton D (1990) The stomach contents of Antarctic petrels *Thalassoica antarctica* feeding young chicks at Scullin Monolith, Mawson Coast, Antarctica. Polar Biology 10:545-547

Klages NT, Brooke ML, Watkins BP (1988) Prey of Northern rockhopper penguins at Gough Island, south Atlantic Ocean. Ostrich 59:162-165

Knox GA (2007) The biology of the Southern Ocean. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press/Taylor & Francis, USA

Kock K-H, Jones C (2002) The biology of the icefish *Cryodraco antarcticus* Dollo, 1900 (Pisces, Channich-thyidae) in the southern Scotia Arc (Antarctica). Polar Biology 25:416-424

Kock K-H, Wilhelms S, Everson I, Gröger J (1994) Variations in the diet composition and feeding intensity of mackerel icefish *Champsocephalus gunnari* at South Georgia (Antarctic). Marine Ecology Progress Series 108: 43-57

Komai T, Takeuchi I, Takeda M (1996) Deep-sea shrimp (Crustacea: Decapoda: Caridea) from the Antarctic Sea collected during the JARE-35 cruise. Proceedings of the NIPR Symposium on Polar Biology 9:179-206

Kouwenberg JHM, Razouls C, Desreumaux N (2014) Southern Ocean pelagic copepods. In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Raymond B, Ropert-Coudert Y, van de Putte A (eds) The CAML / SCAR-MarBIN Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge

Krapp-Schickel T (2011) New Antarctic stenothoids sensu lato (Amphipoda, Crustacea). European Journal of Taxonomy 2:1-17

Kruk N, Chavtur V (2003) History of the study of pelagic Ostracoda of the Southern Ocean. Hydrobiological Journal 39:84-92

Kussakin OG (1967) Isopoda and Tanaidacea from the coastal zones of the Antarctic and Subantarctic. Biological Results of the Soviet Antarctic Expedition (1955-58) 3 Issl Fauny Morei 4:220-380 (in Russian)

Kussakin OG (1982) Supplement to the isopod crustacean fauna from the shelf zones of the Antarctic (From the material of the Soviet Antarctic Expedition 1965-1968). In: Kafanov AI, Kussakin OG (eds) Fauna and distribution of Crustaceans from the Southern and Antarctic Waters. Vladivostok: Academy of Sciences of the USSR (Far East Science Center)

Kuun P (1998) Morphometrics and preliminary biology of the caridean shrimp *Nauticaris marionis* Bate, 1888, at the Prince Edward Islands (Southern Ocean), 37 °50E, 46 °45S. MSc Thesis, Rhodes University, Grahamstown

Kuun P, Pakhomov E, McQuaid C (1999) Morphometric relationships of the caridean shrimp *Nauticaris marionis* Bate, 1888 at the Prince Edward Islands (Southern Ocean). Polar Biology 22:216-218

### L

La Mesa M, Catalano B, Greco S (2011) Larval feeding of *Chionodraco hamatus* (Pisces, Channichthyidae) in the Ross Sea and its relation to environmental conditions. Polar Biology 34:127-137

La Mesa M, Dalú M, Vacchi M (2004a) Trophic ecology of the emerald notothen *Trematomus bernacchii* (pisces, nototheniidae) from Terra Nova Bay, Ross Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 27:721-728

La Mesa M, Eastman J, Vacchi M (2004b) The role of notothenioid fish in the food web of the Ross Sea shelf waters: a review. Polar Biology 27:321-338

La Mesa M, Eastman JT, Licandro P (2007) Feeding habits of *Bathydraco marri* (Pisces, Notothenioidei, Bathydraconidae) from the Ross Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 30:541-547

La Mesa M, Vacchi M, Sertorio TZ (2000) Feeding plasticity of *Trematomus newnesi* (Pisces, Nototheniidae) in Terra Nova Bay, Ross Sea, in relation to environmental conditions. Polar Biology 23:38-45

LaCock G, Hecht T, Klages N (1984) The winter diet of gentoo penguins at Marion Island. Ostrich 55:188-191

Lake S, Burton H, van den Hoff J (2003) Regional, temporal and fine-scale spatial variation in Weddell seal diet at four coastal locations in east Antarctica. Marine Ecology Progress Series 254:293-305

Lancraft TM, Reisenbichler KR, Robison BH, Hopkins TL, Torres JJ (2004) A krill-dominated micronekton and macrozooplankton community in Croker Passage, Antarctica with an estimate of fish predation. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 51:2247-2260

Laptikhovsky V (2005) A trophic ecology of two grenadier species (Macrouridae, Pisces) in deep waters of the Southwest Atlantic. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 52:1502-1514

Laptikhovsky V, Reyes PR (2009) Distribution and reproductive biology of a subantarctic deep-sea lobster, the Patagonian lobsterette *Thymops birsteini* (Zarenkov and Semenov, 1972) (Decapoda, Astacidea, Nephropidae). Journal of Natural History 43:35-46

Laws RM (1977) Seals and Whales of the Southern Ocean. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 279:81-96

Laws RM (1984) Antarctic Ecology, Vol 2 vols. London: Academic Press

Lea MA, Cherel Y, Guinet C, Nichols PD (2002) Antarctic fur seals foraging in the Polar Frontal Zone: interannual shifts in diet as shown from fecal and fatty acid analyses. Marine Ecology Progress Series 245:281-297

Lea MA, Guinet C, Cherel Y, Hindell M, Dubroca L, Thalmann S (2008) Colony-based foraging segregation by Antarctic fur seals at the Kerguelen Archipelago. Marine Ecology Progress Series 358:273-287

Ledoyer M (1995) Mysidacés (Crustacea) de Kerguelen, Crozet et Bouvet (Océan Austral) récoltés par la Japonaise, le Marion-Dufresne (1972-82) et dans des contenus stomacaux d'oiseaux. Journal of Natural History 29:601-618

Leese F, Agrawal S, Held C (2010) Long-distance island hopping without dispersal stages: transportation across major zoogeographic barriers in a Southern Ocean isopod. Naturwissenschaften 97:583-594

Lescroël A, Ridoux V, Bost CA (2004) Spatial and temporal variation in the diet of the gentoo penguin (*Py-goscelis papua*) at Kerguelen Islands. Polar Biology 27:206-216

Libertelli MM, Coria N, Marateo G (2003) Diet of the Adélie penguin during three consecutive chick rearing periods at Laurie Island. Polish Polar Research 24:133-142

Lindley J (1977) Continuous plankton records: the distribution of the Euphausiacea (Crustacea: Malacostraca) in the north Atlantic and the North Sea, 1966-1967. Journal of Biogeography: 121-133

Linkowski T, Presler P, Zukowski C (1983) Food habits of nototheniid fishes (Nototheniidae) in Admiralty Bay (King George Island, South Shetland Islands). Polar Biology Reserach 4:79-96

Linse K, Griffiths HJ, Barnes DKA, Clarke A (2006) Biodiversity and biogeography of Antarctic and sub-Antarctic mollusca. Deep-Sea Research II 58:91-104

Lockyer CH (1973) Wet weight, volume and length correlation in the Antarctic krill. Discovery Reports 36:152-155

Loerz A-N, Brandt A (2003) Diversity of Peracarida (Crustacea, Malacostraca) caught in a suprabenthic sampler. Antarctic Science 15:433-438

Lorentsen S-H, Klages N, Røv N (1998) Diet and prey consumption of Antarctic petrels *Thalassoica antarctica* at Svarthamaren, Dronning Maud Land, and at sea outside the colony. Polar Biology 19:414-420

Lörz A-N, Maas EW, Linse K, Coleman CO (2009) Do circum-Antarctic species exist in peracarid Amphipoda? A case study in the genus *Epimeria* Costa, 1851 (Crustacea, Peracarida, Epimeriidae). ZooKeys 18:91-128

Lowry JK, Kilgallen NM (2014) A revision of the lysianassid genus *Waldeckia* with the description of four new species (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Lysianassidae, Waldeckiinae subfam. nov.). Zootaxa 3784:301-345

Lowry JK, Kilgallen NM (2015) *Debroyerella* gen. nov. and *Ulladulla* gen. nov., two new lysianassoid genera (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Lysianassoidea). Zootaxa 3920:153-162

Lowry JK, Stoddart HE (1983a) The amphipod genus *Parawaldeckia* in New Zealand waters (Crustacea: Lysianassoidea). Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 13:261-277

Lowry JK, Stoddart HE (1983b) The shallow-water gammaridean Amphipoda of the subantarctic islands of New Zealand and Australia: Lysianassoidea. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 13:279-394

Lowry JK, Stoddart HE (2002) The lysianassoid amphipod genera *Lepidepecreoides* and *Lepidepecreum* in Southern Waters (Crustacea: Lysianassidae: Tryphosinae). Records of the Australian Museum 54:335-364

Lowry JK, Stoddart HE (2011) The tryphosine genera *Photosella* gen. nov. and *Tryphosella* Bonnier, 1893 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea: Lysianassidae: Tryphosinae) in Australian waters. Zootaxa 2956:1-76

Lu CC, Williams R (1994) Contribution to the biology of squid in the Prydz Bay region, Antarctica. Antarctic Science 6:223-229

Lucas JS, Hodgkin EP (1970) Growth and reproduction of *Halicarcinus australis* (Haswell) (Crustacea, Brachyura) in the Swan estuary, Western Australia. Aust J mar Freshwat Res 21:149-162

Luque SP, Arnould JPY, Miller EH, Cherel Y, Guinet C (2007) Foraging behaviour of sympatric Antarctic and subantarctic fur seals: does their contrasting duration of lactation make a difference? Marine Biology 152:213-224

Luxmoore R (1982) The reproductive biology of some serolid isopods from the Antarctic. Polar Biology 1:3-11

Lynnes A, Reid K, Croxall J (2004) Diet and reproductive success of Adélie and chinstrap penguins: linking response of predators to prey population dynamics. Polar Biology 27:544-554

### Μ

Mackey AP, Atkinson A, Hill SL, Ward P, Cunningham NJ, Johnston NM, Murphy EJ (2012) Antarctic macrozooplankton of the southwest Atlantic sector and Bellingshausen Sea: Baseline historical distributions related to temperature and food, with projections for subsequent ocean warming. Deep-Sea Reseach II 59-60:130-146

Mackintosh N.A., 1934. - Distribution of the macroplankton in the Atlantic sector of the Antarctic. 'Discovery' Rep., 9: 65-160.

Macpherson E (1984) Crustáceos Decápodos del Banco Valdivia (Atlántico sudoriental). Resultados Expediciones Científicas 12:39-105

Macpherson E (2004) A new species and new records of lithodid crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda: Lithodidae) from the Crozet and Kerguelen Islands area (Subantarctica). Polar Biology 27:418-422

Main CE, Collins MA, Mitchell R, Belchier M (2009) Identifying patterns in the diet of mackerel icefish (*Champsocephalus gunnari*) at South Georgia using bootstrapped confidence intervals of a dietary index. Polar Biology 32:569-581

Makarov RR, Denys CJ (1981) Stages of sexual maturity of *Euphausia superba*, Dana. BIOMASS Handbook 11:1-13

Margulis L, Schwartz KV (1998) Five Kingdoms: an illustrated guide to the Phyla of life on earth. 3rd edition. Freeman: New York, NY (USA)

Marr JWS (1962) The natural history and geography of the Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba* Dana). Discovery Reports 32:33-464

Marschoff E, Rombolá E, Coria N (2008) The uropod as a proxy for total length distribution in Antarctic krill: an assessment of different models. Polar Biology 31:717-724

Matthews LH (1932) Lobster-krill anomuran Crustacea that are the food of whales, Discovery Reports, 5: 467-484

Mauchline J (1980) Measurement of body length of Euphausia superba Dana. BIOMASS Handbook 4:1-9

Mauchline J, Fisher LR (1969) The biology of euphausiids. Advances in Marine Biology 7:1-454

Mazdygan E, Chavtur V (2011) The composition and distribution of pelagic ostracods (Ostracoda: Myodocopa) in antarctic waters adjacent to the d'Urville Sea. Russian Journal of Marine Biology 37:263-271

McClatchie S, Hutchinson D, Nordin K (1989) Aggregation of avian predators and zooplankton prey in Otago shelf waters, New Zealand. Journal of plankton research 11:361-374

McKenzie K, Ferrari I, Benassi G (2000) Planktonic Ostracoda in the Ross Sea: Their distribution and associated environmental factors. In: Faranda F.M., Guglielmo L., Ianora A. (eds) Ross Sea Ecology. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg

Mees J, Meland K (2014) *Gnathophausia gigas* Willemoes-Suhm, 1875. In: Mees J, Meland K (eds) World List of Lophogastrida, Stygiomysida and Mysida. Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=119927 on 2015-01-26

Mees J, Meland K (2015) *Gnathophausia ingens* (Dohrn, 1870). In: Mees J, Meland K (eds) (2012 onwards) World List of Lophogastrida, Stygiomysida and Mysida Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at http://wwwmarinespeciesorg/aphiaphp?p=taxdetails&id=119929 on 2016-09-09

Meland K, Aas P (2013) A taxonomical review of the *Gnathophausia* (Crustacea, Lophogastrida), with new records from the northern mid-Atlantic ridge. Zootaxa 3664:199-225

Melrose IMJ (1975) The marine fauna of New Zealand: Family Hymenosomatidae (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura). Memoirs of the New Zealand Oceanographic Institute 34:1-123

Meyer-Rochow VB (1980) Cuticular surface structures in *Glyptonotus antarcticus* - a marine isopod from the Ross Sea (Antarctica). Zoomorphology 94:209-216

Michels J., Schnack-Schiel SB, Pasternak A., Mizdalski E., Isla E. & Gerdes D., 2012. - Abundance, population structure and vertical distribution of dominant calanoid copepods on the Weddell Sea shelf during a spring phytoplankton bloom. Polar Biology, 35: 369-386.

Miers EJ (1883) Revision of the Idotheidae, a Family of sessile-eyed Crustacea. Journal of the Linnean Society of Zoology 16:1-88

Miller DGM (1983) Variation in body length measurement of *Euphausia superba* Dana. Polar Biology 2:17-20

Miller DGM (1986) Results from biological investigations of krill (*Euphausia superba*) in the southern Indian Ocean during SIBEX I. Memoirs of National Institute of Polar Research 40:117-139

Milne R, Griffiths CL (2013) Additions to and revisions of the amphipod (Crustacea: Amphipoda) fauna of South Africa, with a list of currently known species from the region. African Natural History 9:61-90

Miloslavich P, Díaz JM, Klein E, Alvarado JJ, Díaz C, Gobin J, Escobar-Briones E, Cruz-Motta JJ, Weil E, Cortes J (2010) Marine biodiversity in the Caribbean: regional estimates and distribution patterns. PloS one 5:e11916

Mizroch SA, Rice DW, Breiwick JM (1984) The sei whale, *Balaenoptera borealis*. Marine Fisheries Review 46:25-29

Moguilevsky A, Gooday A (1977) Some observations on the vertical distribution and stomach contents of *Gigantocypris muelleri* Skogsberg 1920 (Ostracoda, Myodocopina). Aspects of ecology and zoogeography of recent and fossil Ostracoda Junk, The Hague:263-270

Monod T (1931) Tanaidacés et isopodes subantarctique de la collection Kohl-Larsen du Senckenberg Museum. Senckenbergiana 13:10-30

Montague T, Cullen J, Fitzherbert K (1986) The diet of the short-tailed shearwater *Puffinus tenuirostris* during its breeding season. Emu 86:207-213

Montalti D, Casaux R, Coria N, Soave G, Grilli MG (2009) The importance of fish in the diet of the South Polar Skua (*Stercorarius maccormicki*) at the South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Emu 109:305-309

Montgomery JC, Foster BA, Cargill JM (1989) Stomach evacuation rate in the planktivorous Antarctic fish *Pagothenia borchgrevinki*. Polar Biology 9:405-408

Moore PJ, Wakelin MD (1997) Diet of the yellow-eyed penguin *Megadyptes antipodes*, South Island, New Zealand, 1991-1993. Marine Ornithology 25:17-29

Moran JDW, Piasecki W (1994) External morphology of the male and female of *Sphyrion lumpi* (Krøyer,1845) (Copepoda; Siphonostomatoida; Sphyriidae). Hydrobiologia 292-293:171-178

Moreira E, Juáres M, Barrera-Oro E (2014) Dietary overlap among early juvenile stages in an Antarctic notothenioid fish assemblage at Potter Cove, South Shetland Islands. Polar Biology 37:1507-1515

Moreno CA, Osorio HH (1977) Bathymetric food habit changes in the antarctic fish, *Notothenia gibberifrons* Lönnberg.(Pisces: Nototheniidae). Hydrobiologia 55:139-144

Morris DJ, Watkins JL, Ricketts C, Buchholz F, Priddle J (1988) An assessment of the merits of length and weight measurements of Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba*. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin, 79:27-50

Mouat B, Collins MA, Pompert J (2001) Patterns in the diet of *Illex argentinus* (Cephalopoda: Ommastrephidae) from the Falkland Islands jigging fishery. Fisheries Research 52:41-49

Murano M (1999) Mysidacea. In: Boltovskoy D (ed) South Atlantic zooplankton, Book 2. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, the Netherlands

Murphy EJ, Cavanagh RD, Hofmann EE, Hill SL, Constable AJ, Costa DP, Pinkerton MH, Johnston NM, Trathan PN, Klinck JM, Wolf-Gladrow DA, Daly KL, Maury O, Doney SC (2012) Developing integrated models of Southern Ocean food webs: including ecological complexity, accounting for uncertainty and the importance of scale. Progress in Oceanography 102:74-92

## Ν

Naylor E, Brandt A (2015) Intertidal Marine Isopods. Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series). In: Crothers JH, Hayward PJ (eds), Book 3. Linnean Society of London, London

Nemoto T (1962) Food of baleen whales collected in recent Japanese Antarctic whaling expeditions. Scientific reports of the Whales Research Institute 16:89-103

Nemoto T (1970) Feeding pattern of baleen whales in the ocean. Marine food chains:241-252

Nemoto T, Doi T, K. N (1981) Biological characteristics of krill caught in the Southern Ocean. In: Anonymous (ed) BIOMASS Selected contributions to the Woods Hole Conference on Living Resources of the Southern Ocean, Woods Hole, 1976, Book 2. CCAMLR, Woods Hole

Nemoto T, Okiyama M, Iwasaki N, Kikuchi T (1988) Squid as predators on krill (*Euphausia superba*) and prey for sperm whales in the Southern Ocean. In: Sahrhage D (ed) Antarctic Ocean and Resources Variability. Springer-Verlag, Berlin

Nemoto T, Okiyama M, Takahashi M (1985) Aspects of the roles of squid in food chains of marine Antarctic ecosystems. In: Siegfried WR, Condy PR, Laws RM (eds) Antarctic nutrient cycles and food webs. Springer-Verlag, Berlin

Nicholls GE (1938) Amphipoda Gammaridea. Scientific Reports Australasian Antarctic Expedition 1911-14. Series C, Zoology and Botany 2:1-145

Nicol S (1993) A comparison of Antarctic petrel (*Thalassoica antarctica*) diets with net samples of Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba*) taken from the Prydz Bay region. Polar Biology 13:399-403

Niersteasz HF (1931) Die isopoden der Siboga-Expedition. 3 Isopoda Genuina. 2. Flabellifera. Siboga Expeditie 2c:123-233

Nierstrasz HF (1941) Die Isopoden der Siboga-Expedition. IV. Isopoda Genuina. III. Gnathiidea, Anthuridea, Valvifera, Asellota, Phreatocoidea. Siboga Expeditie Monographie 32d:235-305

Nilsson-Cantell CA (1930) Thoracic cirripedes collected in 1925-1927. Discovery Reports 2:233-260

Nilsson-Cantell CA (1939) Thoracic cirripedes collected in 1925-1936. Discovery Reports 18:223-238

Nordenstam A (1933) Marine Isopoda of the families Serolidae, Idotheidae, Pseudidotheidae, Arcturidae, Parasellidae and Stenetriidae mainly from the South Atlantic. Further Zoological Results of the Swedish Antarctic Expedition 1901-1903 3:1-284

North AW, Ward P (1990) The feeding ecology of larval fish in an Antarctic fjord, with emphasis on *Champsocephalus gunnari*. In: Kerry KR, Hempel G (eds) Antarctic Ecosystems: Ecological Change and Conservation Proceedings of the 5th SCAR Symposium on Antarctic Biology. Springer Verlag, Berlin

Nye V, Copley JT, Linse K (2013) A new species of *Eualus* Thallwitz, 1892 and new record of *Lebbeus antarcticus* (Hale, 1941) (Crustacea: Decapoda: Caridea: Hippolytidae) from the Scotia Sea. Deep Sea Research Part II 92:145-156

Nyegaard M, Arkhipkin A, Brickle P (2004) Variation in the diet of *Genypterus blacodes* (Ophidiidae) around the Falkland Islands. Journal of Fish Biology 65:666-682

### 0

Offredo C, Ridoux V (1986) The diet of emperor penguins *Aptenodytes forsteri* in Adélie Land, Antarctica. Ibis 128:409-413

Ommanney FD (1936) *Rhincalanus gigas* (Brady), a copepod of the southern macroplankton. Discovery Reports 13:277-384

Øritsland T (1977) Food consumption of seals in the Antarctic pack ice. In: Llano GA (ed) Adaptations within Antarctic ecosystem. Washington, DC: Smithsonian

Ottestad P., 1936. - On Antarctic copepods from the "Norvegia" Expedition 1930-1931. Scient. Results Norw. Antarct. Exped., 15: 1-44.

### Р

Pakhomov E, Froneman P (2000) Composition and spatial variability of macroplankton and micronekton within the Antarctic Polar Frontal Zone of the Indian Ocean during austral autumn 1997. Polar Biology 23:410-419

Pakhomov E, Pankratov S (1995a) Food web of juvenile Antarctic fish. Oceanology 34:521-532

Pakhomov E, Perissinotto R, McQuaid C (1996a) Prey composition and daily rations of myctophid fishes in the Southern Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 134:1-14

Pakhomov EA (1998a) Diet of two Antarctic dragonfish (Pisces: Bathydraconidae) from the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean. Antarctic science 10:55-61

Pakhomov EA (1998b) Feeding plasticity of the Antarctic fish *Trematomus hansoni* Boulenger, 1902 (Pisces: Nototheniidae): the influence of fishery waste on the diet. Polar Biology 19:289-292

Pakhomov EA, Froneman PW, Kuun PJ, Balarin M (1999) Feeding dynamics and respiration of the bottomdwelling caridean shrimp *Nauticaris marionis* Bate, 1888 (Crustacea: Decapoda) in the vicinity of Marion Island (Southern Ocean). Polar Biology 21:112-121

Pakhomov EA, Kuun P, McQuaid CD (2000) Biology of the bottom-dwelling shrimp *Nauticaris marionis* Bate, 1888 at the sub-Antarctic Prince Edward Islands. Polar Biology 23:522-530

Pakhomov EA, Pankratov SA (1995b) Food web of juvenile Antarctic fish. Oceanology 34:521-532

Pakhomov EA, Perissinotto R (1996) Trophodynamics of the hyperiid amphipod *Themisto gaudichaudi* in the South Georgia region during late austral summer. Marine Ecology Progress Series 134:91-100

Pakhomov EA, Perissinotto R, McQuaid CD (1996b) Prey composition and daily rations of myctophid fishes in the Southern Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 134:1-14

Park J-Y (1996) Redescription of *Arcturides cornutus* Studer, 1882 from the Crozet Islands and Prince Edward Island (Crustacea, Isopoda, Valvifera). Bulletin Zoologisch Museum 15:29-36

Park T (2000) Taxonomy and distribution of the calanoid copepod family Heterorhabdidae. Bulletin of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography of the University of California, San Diego 31: I-XI:1-269

Paulin C (1975) Feeding of the Adélie penguin Pygoscelis adeliae. Mauri Ora 3:27-30

Pearson DL, Hamilton AL, Erwin TL (2011) Recovery plan for the endangered taxonomy profession. Bioscience 61:58–63

Pérez-Barros P, D'Amato ME, Guzman NV, Lovrich GA (2008) Taxonomic status of two South American sympatric squat lobsters, *Munida gregaria* and *Munida subrugosa* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Galatheidae), challenged by DNA sequence information. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 94:421-434

Perissinotto R, McQuaid C (1990) Role of the sub-antarctic shrimp *Nauticaris marionis* in coupling benthic and pelagic food-webs. Marine Ecology Progress Series 64:81-87

Petryashov VV (2014a) Deep-sea fauna of European seas: An annotated species check-list of benthic invertebrates living deeper than 2000 m in the seas bordering Europe. Mysida, Lophogastrida. Invertebrate Zoology 11:183-191

Petryashov VV (2014b) Lophogastrida and mysida (Crustacea: Malacostraca: Peracarida) of the Southern Ocean. In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Raymond B, Ropert-Coudert Y, van de Putte A (eds) The CAML / SCAR-MarBIN Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge

Pilling GM, Purves MG, Daw TM, Agnew DA, Xavier JC (2001) The stomach contents of Patagonian toothfish around South Georgia (South Atlantic). Journal of Fish Biology 59:1370-1384

Pinaud D, Cherel Y, Weimerskirch H (2005) Effect of environmental variability on habitat selection, diet, provisioning behaviour and chick growth in yellow-nosed albatrosses. Marine Ecology Progress Series 298:295-304

Pinkerton MH, Forman J, Bury SJ, Brown J, Horn P, O'Driscoll RL (2013) Diet and trophic niche of Antarctic silverfish *Pleuragramma antarcticum* in the Ross Sea, Antarctica. Journal of fish biology 82:141-164

Pinkerton MH, Forman J, Stevens DW, Bury SJ, Brown J (2012) Diet and trophic niche of *Macrourus* spp. (Gadiformes, Macrouridae) in the Ross Sea region of the Southern Ocean. Journal of Ichthyology 52:787-799

Plötz J (1986) Summer diet of Weddell seals (*Leptonychotes weddelli*) in the Eastern and southern Weddell sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 6:97-102

Pohle G, Mantelatto FLM, Negreiros-Fransozo ML, Fransozo A (1999) Larval decapoda (Brachyura). In: Boltovskoy D (ed) South Atlantic Zooplankton, Book 2. Backhyus Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands

Poore GCB (2004) Marine decapod Crustacea of southern Australia. A guide to identification (with chapter on Stomatopoda by Shane Ahyong). Melbourne, CSIRO Publishing

Prince PA (1980) The food and feeding ecology of blue petrel (*Halobaena caerulea*) and Dove prion (*Pa-chyptila desolata*). Journal of the Zoological Society of London 190:59-76

Prince PA, Copestake PG (1990) Diet and aspects of fairy prions breeding at South Georgia. Notornis 37:59-69

Puddicombe RA, Johnstone GW (1988) The breeding season diet of Adélie penguins at the Vestfold Hills, East Antarctica. Hydrobiologia 165:239-253

Pusch C, Hulley P, Kock K-H (2004) Community structure and feeding ecology of mesopelagic fishes in the slope waters of King George Island (South Shetland Islands, Antarctica). Deep-Sea I, 51:1685-1708

Pütz K (1995) The post-moult diet of emperor penguins (*Aptenodytes forsteri*) in the eastern Weddell Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 15:457-463

Pütz K, Ingham RI, Smith JG, Croxall JP (2001) Population trends, breeding success and diet composition of gentoo (*Pygoscelis papua*), magellanic (*Sphenicus magellanicus*) and rockhopper (*Eudyptes chrysocome*) penguins breeding in the Falklands Islands. Polar Biology 24:793-807

# Q

Quillfeldt P (2002) Seasonal and annual variation in the diet of breeding and non-breeding Wilson's stormpetrels on King George Island, South Shetland Islands. Polar Biology 25:216-221

Quillfeldt P, Michalik A, Veit-Köhler G, Strange IJ, Masello JF (2010) Inter-annual changes in diet and foraging trip lengths in a small pelagic seabird, the thin-billed prion *Pachyptila belcheri*. Marine Biology 157:2043-2050

# R

Rakusa-Suszczewski S, Stepnik R (1980) Three species of krill from Admiralty Bay (King George, South Shetlands), in summer 1978/79. Polish Archives of Hydrobiology 27:273-284

Ratcliffe N, Trathan PN (2011) A review of the diet and at sea-distribution of penguins breeding within the CCAMLR convention area. CCAMLR Science 18:75-114

Raya Rey A, Schiavini A (2005) Inter-annual variation in the diet of female southern rockhopper penguin (*Eudyptes chrysocome chrysocome*) at Tierra del Fuego. Polar Biology 28:132-141

Razouls C (1994) Manuel d'identification des principales espèces de copépodes pélagiques antarctiques et subantarctiques. Annales de l'Institut Océanographique 70:1-204

Razouls C, de Bovée F, Kouwenberg J, Desreumaux N (2005-2020) Diversity and Geographic Distribution of Marine Planktonic Copepods. Available at http://copepodes.obs-banyuls.fr/en [Accessed May 20, 2020].

Reid K, Arnould JPY (1996) The diet of Antarctic fur seals *Arctocephalus gazella* during the breeding season at South Georgia. Polar Biology 16:105-114

Reid K, Croxall JP, Edwards TM (1997a) Interannual variation in the diet of the Antarctic prion *Pachyptila desolata* at South Georgia. Emu 97:126-132

Reid K, Croxall JP, Edwards TM, Hill HJ, Prince PA (1997b) Diet and feeding ecology of the diving petrels *Pelecanoides georgicus* and *P. urinatrix* at South Georgia. Polar Biology 17:17-24

Reid K, Measures J (1998) Discriminating the sex of Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba* using carapace measurements. Polar Biology 19:145-147

Reinhardt K, Hahn S, Peter HU, Wemhoff H (2000) A review of the diets of Southern Hemisphere skuas. Marine Ornithology 28:7-19

Retamal M, Quintana R (1982) Basic biological studies relating to the population dynamics of the krill, *Euphausia superba* Dana, 1850. INACH Ser Cient 28:175-190

Retamal MA (1981) Catalogo ilustrado de los crustaceos decapodos de Chile, Vol 44. Gayana, Zoologia

Richardson HE (1906) Sur les Isopodes de l'expedition franqaise antarctique. Bulletin Museum, Paris (C R

Acad Sci):187-188

Richardson HE (1908) Crustaces, Isopodes. Expedition Antarctique Franqaise (1903-1905) commandee par le Dr. Jean Charcot. Mem 2 Crustach:1-21

Richardson MG (1975) The dietary composition of some Antarctic fish. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin 41:113-120

Richer de Forges B (1977) Etude du crabe des iles Kerguelen: *Halicarcinus planatus* (Fabricius). Comite National Francais des Recherces Antarctiques 42:71-133

Richoux NB, Jaquemet S, Bonnevie BT, Cherel Y, McQuaid CD (2010) Trophic ecology of grey-headed albatrosses from Marion Island, Southern Ocean: insights from stomach contents and diet tracers. Marine Biology 157:1755-1766

Ridoux V (1994) The diets and dietary segregation of seabirds at the subantarctic Crozet Islands. Marine Ornithology 22:1-192

Ridoux V, Offredo C (1989) The diets of five summer breeding seabirds in Adélie Land, Antarctica. Polar Biology 9:137-145

Roberts J, Xavier JC, Agnew DJ (2011) The diet of toothfish species *Dissostichus eleginoides* and *Dissostichus mawsoni* with overlapping distributions around the South Sandwich Ilsands, Southern Ocean. Journal of Fish Biology 79:138-154

Robertson G, Williams R, Green K, Robertson L (1994) Diet composition of emperor penguin chicks *Aptenodytes forsteri* at two Mawson Coast colonies, Antarctica. Ibis 136:19-31

Rodhouse PG, White MG, Jones MRR (1992) Trophic relations of the cephalopod *Martialia hyadesi* (Teuthoidea: Ommastrephidae) at the Antarctic Polar Front, Scotia Sea. (SC-CCAMLR-XI/BG/11)

Rohde K, Ho J-S, Smales L, Williams R (1998) Parasites of Antarctic fishes: Monogenea, Copepoda and Acanthocephala. Marine and Freshwater Research 49:121-125

Rojas M, Rodrigo J, Palma S, Pequeño R (1998) Food of the grouper *Caprodon longimanus* from Alejandro Selkirk Island, Chile (Perciformes: Serranidae). Revista de Biología Tropical 46:943-949

Rojas O, Martinez C, Guisado C (1981) Analisis de la estructura problacional del krill Antartico (*Euphausia superba*, Dana), obtenido en Febrero y Marzo de 1975. Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Chile 38:85-104

Rosas-Luis R, Sánchez P, Portela JM, del Rio JL (2014) Feeding habits and trophic interactions of *Doryteuthis gahi*, *Illex argentinus* and *Onykia ingens* in the marine ecosystem off the Patagonian Shelf. Fisheries Research 152:37-44

Rosecchi E, Tracey D, Webber W (1988) Diet of orange roughy, *Hoplostethus atlanticus* (Pisces: Trachichthyidae) on the Challenger Plateau, New Zealand. Marine Biology 99:293-306

# S

Sahrhage D (1978) Zur Längen-Gewichts-Beziehung beim antarktischen Krill (*Euphausia superba*). Meeresforsch 26:47-49

Saunders RA, Collins MA, Foster E, Shreeve R, Stowasser G, Ward P, Tarling GA (2014) The trophodynamics of Southern Ocean *Electrona* (Myctophidae) in the Scotia Sea. Polar Biology 37:789-807

Schnack-Schiel SB & Hagen W., 1994. - Life cycle strategies and seasonal variations in distribution and population structure of four dominant calanoid copepod species in the eastern Weddell Sea, Antarctica. Journal of Plankton Research, 16 (11): 1543-1566.

Schellenberg A (1926) Die Gammariden der Deutschen Südpolar-Expedition 1901-1903. Deutsche Südpolar-Expedition 1901-1903. Zoology 18:235-414

Schellenberg A (1931) Gammariden und Caprelliden des Magellangebietes, Sudgeorgiens und der Westantarktis.Further Zoological Results of the Swedish Antarctic Expedition 1901-1903, Vol 2. P.A. Norstedt and Söner, Stockholm

Schiavini A, Raya Rey A (2004) Long days, long trips: foraging ecology of female rockhopper penguins *Eudyptes chrysocome chrysocome* at Tierra del Fuego. Marine Ecology Progress Series 275:251-262

Schneppenheim R, Weigmann-Haass R (1986) Morpohological and electrophoretic studies of the genus *Themisto* (Amphipoda: Hyperiidea) from the South and North Atlantic. Polar Biology 6:215-225

Schotte M (2014a) *Septemserolis septemcarinata* (Miers, 1875). In: Schotte M, Boyko CB, Bruce NL, Poore GCB, Taiti S, Wilson GDF (eds) World Marine, Freshwater and Terrestrial Isopod Crustaceans database. Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia. php?p=taxdetails&id=262983 on 2015-01-30

Schotte M (2014b) *Spinoserolis latifrons* (White, 1847). In: Schotte M, Boyko CB, Bruce NL, Poore GCB, Taiti S, Wilson GDF (eds) World Marine, Freshwater and Terrestrial Isopod Crustaceans database. World Register of Marine Species at http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=258134 on 2015-01-30

Schultz GA (1978) Nonasellote isopod crustaceans from Anvers Island and other Antarctic locations. Antarctic Research Series 28:21-42

Seefeldt MA (2012) Morphological analyses to clarify the systematics of *Uristes murrayi* (Walker, 1903) species complex in the Southern Ocean (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea). Masterarbeit, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, unpublished

Sheppard EM (1933) Isopod Crustacea Pt. I. The farnily Serolidae. Discovery Reports 7:253-362

Sheppard EM (1957) Isopod Crustacea: Part 11: The Suborder Valvifera. Families: Idotheidae, Pseudidotheidae and Xenarcturidae farn. nov. With a supplement to Isopod Crustacea, Part I. The Farnily Serolidae. Discovery Reports 29:141-198

Shin H-C, Nicol S (2002) Using the relationship between eye diameter and body length to detect the effects of long-term starvation on Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 239:157-167

Shreeve R, Collins MA, Tarling GA, Main C, Ward P, Johnston N (2009) Feeding ecology of myctophid fishes in the northern Scotia Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 386:221-236

Siegel V (1982) Relationship of various length measurements of *Euphausia superba* Dana. Meeresforsch 29:114-117

Siegel V (1987) Age and growth of Antarctic Euphausiacea (Crustacea) under natural conditions. Marine Biology 96:483-495

Siegel V (1993) Review of length-weight relationships for Antarctic krill. SC-CAMLR SelSciPap 9:145-155

Siegel V (2016) Introducing Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba* Dana, 1850. In: Siegel V (ed) Biology and Ecology of Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba*. Springer, Dordrecht

Siegel V, Mühlenhardt-Siegel U (1988) On the occurrence and biology of some Antarctic Mysidacea (Crustacea). Polar Biology 8:181-190

Siegel V, Watkins JL (2016) Distribution, biomass and demography of Antarctic krill, *Euphausia superba*. In: Siegel V (ed) The biology and ecology of Antarctic krill, *Euphausia superba*. Springer, Dordrecht

Siniff D, Stone S (1985) The role of the leopard seal in the tropho-dynamics of the Antarctic marine ecosystem. In: Siegfried W.R., Condy P.R., Laws R.M. (eds) Antarctic Nutrient Cycles and Food Webs. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg

Siqueira SGL, Serejo CS (2014) *Cheirimedon foscae* sp. nov. (Amphipoda: Lysianassidae: Tryphosinae) from the deep sea Campos Basin, Southwestern Atlantic Ocean. Zootaxa 3873:145-154

Skinner J, Klages N (1994) On some aspects of the biology of the Ross seal *Ommatophoca rossii* from King Haakon VII Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biology 14:467-472

Smith CR, Grange LJ, Honig DL, Naudts L, Huber B, Guidi L, Domack E (2012) A large population of king crabs in Palmer Deep on the west Antarctic Peninsula shelf and potential invasive impacts. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 279:1017-1026

Solyanik GA (1965) Some information on Antarctic seals. Sov Antarctic Exped Inform Bull 5:179-182

Stark JS (2000) The distribution and abundance of soft-sediment macrobenthos around Casey Station, East Antarctica. Polar Biology 23:840-850

Stebbing TRR (1888) Report on the Amphipoda collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-1876. Zoology 29:1-173

Steele W, Klages N (1986) Diet of the blue petrel at sub-Antarctic Marion Island. South African Journal of Zoology 21:253-256

Stephensen K (1927) Crustacea from the Auckland and Campbell Islands. Papers from Dr. T. Mortensen's Pacific Expedition 1914-16. XL. Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening i Kobenhavn 83:289-390

Stephensen K (1947) Tanaidacea, Isopoda, Arnphipoda and Pycnogonida. Sci Res Norvegian Antarctic Expedition, Oslo 27:1-90

Stevens D (2012a) Notes on the diet of seven grenadier fishes (Macrouridae) from the lower continental slope of Chatham Rise, New Zealand. Journal of Ichthyology 52:782-786

Stevens DW (2004) Stomach contents of the Antarctic toothfish (*Dissostichus mawsoni*) from the western Ross Sea, Antarctica. Document WG-FSA-04/31 CCAMLR, Hobart, Australia

Stevens DW (2012b) Notes on the diet of seven grenadier fishes (Macrouridae) from the lower continental slope of Chatham Rise, New Zealand. Journal of Ichthyology 52:782-786

Stevens DW, Dunn MR, Pinkerton MH, Forman JS (2014) Diet of Antarctic toothfish (*Dissostichus maw-soni*) from the continental slope and oceanic features of the Ross Sea region, Antarctica. Antarctic Science 26:502-512

Stoddart HE, Lowry JK (2004) The deep-sea lysianassoid genus *Eurythenes* (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Eury-theneidae n. fam.). Zoosystema 26:425-468

Strelnikova VM (1985) Weight-length relationship and caloric content of Antarctic krill (in Russian). Biol Morya Vladivostok 5:45-49

Studer T (1882) Über eine neue art Arcturus und eine neue Gattung der Idotheiden. Sitzungsberichte der Gesellschaft naturforschender Freunde zu Berlin 1882:56-58

Sun S, Wang R (1996) Study on the relationship between the diameter of the compound eye and the growth of the Antarctic krill. Antarctic Research Series 7:87-93

### Т

Takahashi M, Nemoto T (1984) The food of some Aantarctic fish in the western Ross Sea in summer 1979. Polar Biology 3:237-239

Taki K (2011) Distribution and population structure of *Thysanoessa inspinata* and its dominance among euphausiids off northeastern Japan. Journal of plankton research 33:891-906

Tamura T, Konishi K (2009) Feeding habits and prey consumption of Antarctic minke whale (*Balaenoptera bonaerensis*) in the Southern Ocean. Journal of Northwest Atlantic fishery science 42:13-25

Tanaka O (1960) Pelagic Copepoda. Biological Results of the Japanese Antarctic Research Expedition, Book 10. Spec. Publs Seto mar. biol. Lab.

Tapella F, Lovrich GA (2006) Morphological differences between '*subrugosa*' and '*gregaria*' morphs of adult *Munida* (Decapoda: Anomura: Galatheidae) from the Beagle Channel, southern South America. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 86:1149-1155

Targett TE (1981) Trophic ecology and structure of coastal Antarctic fish communities. Marine Ecology Progress Series 4:243-263

Tarling GA (1995) Mesoscale zooplankton distribution patterns and euphausiid population ecology in the south-west Atlantic. PhD Thesis, Univ. Southampton, UK,

Tarverdiyeva M, Pinskaya I (1980) The feeding of fishes of the families Nototheniidae and Chaenichthyidae on the shelves of the Antarctic Peninsula and the South Shetlands. Journal of Ichthyology 20:50-60

Tattersall OS (1961) Report on some Mysidacea from the deeper waters of the Ross Sea. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 137:553-571

Temperoni B, Viñas M, Buratti C (2013) Feeding strategy of juvenile (age - 0 + year) Argentine hake *Merluccius hubbsi* in the Patagonian nursery ground. Journal of fish biology 83:1354-1370

Thatje S, Arntz WE (2004) Antarctic reptant decapods: more than a myth? Polar Biology 27:195-201

Thatje S, Hall S, Hauton C, Held C, Tyler P (2008) Encounter of lithodid crab *Paralomis birsteini* on the continental slope off Antarctica, sampled by ROV. Polar Biology 31:1143-1148

Thatje S, Schiel S, Arntz WE (2003) Developmental trade-offs in Subantarctic meroplankton communities and the enigma of low decapod diversity in high southern latitudes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 260:195-207

Thomas G (1982) The food and feeding ecology of the light-mantled sooty albatross at South Georgia. Emu 82:92-100

Thurston MH (1974a) Crustacea Amphipoda from Graham Land and the Scotia Arc, collected by Operation Tabarin and the Falkland Islands Dependencies Survey, 1944-1959. British Antarctic Survey Scientific Reports 85:1-89

Thurston MH (1974b) The Crustacea Amphipoda of Signy Island, South Orkney Islands. British Antarctic Survey Scientific Reports 71:1-133

Thurston MH (1990) Abyssal necrophagous amphipods (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in the northeast and tropical Atlantic Ocean. Progress in Oceanography 24:257-274

Tibbs JF (1965) Observations of the *Gigantocypris* (Crustacea: Ostracoda) in the Antarctic Ocean. Limnology and Oceanography 10:480-482

Tiefenbacher L (1990) Beiträge zur Taxonomie von *Nematocarcinus longirostris* Bate, 1888 und *Nematocarcinus lanceopes* Bate, 888, neu für die westliche Antarktis. Spixiana 13:229-235

Tierney M, Emmerson L, Hindell M (2009) Temporal variation in Adélie penguin diet at Béchervaise Island, east Antarctica and its relationship to reproductive performance. Marine Biology 156:1633-1645

Tremblay Y, Cherel Y (1999) Synchronous underwater foraging behavior in penguins. Condor 101:179-185

Tremblay Y, Cherel Y (2000) Benthic and pelagic dives: a new foraging behaviour in rockhopper penguins. Marine Ecology Progress Series 204:257-267

Tremblay Y, Cherel Y (2003) Geographic variation in the foraging behaviour, diet and chick growth of rockhopper penguins. Marine Ecology Progress Series 251:279-297

Tremblay Y, Cook TR, Cherel Y (2005) Time budget and diving behaviour of chick-rearing Crozet shags. Canadian Journal of Zoology 83:971-982

Tremblay Y, Guinard E, Cherel Y (1997) Maximum diving depths of northern rockhopper penguins (*Eudyptes chrysocome moseleyi*) at Amsterdam Island. Polar Biology 17:119-122

### U

Uyeno D, Wakabayashi K, Nagasawa K (2012) A new species of parasitic copepod, *Sarcotretes umitakae* sp. n. (Siphonostomatoida, Pennellidae), on the rattail (Actinopterygii, Macrouridae) from the East China Sea, Japan. ZooKeys:1

### V

Vacchi M, La Mesa M (1995) The diet of the Antarctic fish *Trematomus newnesi* Boulenger, 1902 (Nototheniidae) from Terra Nova Bay, Ross Sea. Antarctic Science 7:37-38

Vacchi M, Mesa ML, Castelli A (1994) Diet of two coastal nototheniid fish from Terra Nova Bay, Ross Sea. Antarctic Science 6:61-65

Van Franeker J, Williams R, Imber M, Wolff W (2001) Diet and foraging ecology of Southern Fulmar *Fulmarus glacialoides*, Antarctic Petrel *Thalassoica antarctica*, Cape Petrel *Daption capense*, and Snow Petrel *Pagodroma nivea* ssp. on Ardery Island, Wilkes Land, Antarctica. Van Franeker JA Mirrors in ice: fulmarine petrels and Antarctic ecosystems, PhD dissertation, University of Groningen

Verheye ML, Backeljau T, d'Udekem d'Acoz C (2016) Looking beneath the tip of the iceberg: diversification of the genus *Epimeria* on the Antarctic shelf (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Polar Biology 39:925-945

Vervoort W (1957) Copepoda from Antarctic and sub-antarctic plankton samples. Rep BANZ Antarctic Res Exped 1929-1931: 1-160

Vidal R, Acuña E, Rey Méndez M (2011) Dieta de la merluza *Merluccius gayi* (Guichenot, 1848) del norte de Chile. Boletín Instituto Español de Oceanografia 13:35-45

Vinogradov G (1999) Amphipoda. In: Boltovskoy D (ed) South Atlantic zooplankton, Book 2. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, the Netherlands

Vinogradov G, Hernández F, Tejera E (2004) Pelagic amphipods from the Cape Verde Islands (TFMCBM/98 cruise, Macaronesia 2000-Project). VIERAEA 32:7-27

Vinogradov ME, Volkov AF, ASemenova TN, Siegel-Causey D (1996) Hyperiid amphipods (Amphipoda, Hyperiidea) of the world oceans. Science Publishers Incorporated, Enfiled, New Hampshire, USA

Vinuesa JH (2007) Reproduction of *Munida gregaria* (Decapoda: Galatheidae) in San Jorge Gulf, Southwest Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Crustacean Biology 27:437-444

Visser A, Saito H, Saiz E, Kiørboe T (2001) Observations of copepod feeding and vertical distribution under natural turbulent conditions in the North Sea. Marine Biology 138:1011-1019

Volkman NJ, Kittel W, Trivelpiece WZ (1980) Diets of *Pygoscelis* Penguins at King George Island, Antarctica. Condor 82:373-378

### W

Wägele J-W (1986) Polymorphism and distribution of *Ceratoserolis trilobitoides* (Eights, 1833) (Crustacea, Isopoda) in the Weddell Sea and synonymy with *C. cornuta* (Studer, 1879). Polar Biology 6:127-137

Wakabara Y, Tararam AS, Valerio-Berardo MT, Ogihara RM (1990) Records of Amphipoda collected during I and III Brazilian Antarctic Expeditions. Relatorio interno do Instituto Oceanografico Universidade de Sao Paulo 30:1-9

Walker AO (1903) Amphipoda of the "Southern Cross" Antarctic Expedition. Journal of the Linnean Society of London, Zoology 28:290-307

Walker AO (1907) Crustacea. III. Amphipoda. National Antarctic Expedition 1901-1904. Natural History 3:1-39

Walter T, Palm H, Piepiorka S, Rückert S (2002) Parasites of the Antarctic rattail *Macrourus whitsoni* (Regan, 1913) (Macrouridae, Gadiformes). Polar Biology 25:633-640

Waluda CM, Hill SL, Peat HJ, Trathan PN (2012) Diet variability and reproductive performance of macaroni penguins *Eudyptes chrysolophus* at Bird Island, South Georgia. Marine Ecology Progress Series 466:261-274

Ward P (1984) Aspects of the biology of *Antarctomysis maxima* (Crustacea: Mysidacea). Polar Biology 3:85-92

Ward P (1985a) New records of *Lebbeus antarcticus* (Hale) (Crustacea: Decapoda) from the Antarctic Peninsula. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin 69:57-63

Ward P (1985b) On the biology of *Antarctomysis ohlini* (Crustacea: Mysidacea) at South Georgia. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin 67:13-23

Ward P, Atkinson A, Peck JM, Wood AG (1990) Euphausiid life cycles and distribution around South Georgia. Antarctic Science 2:43-52

Watanuki Y, Mori Y, Naito Y (1994) *Euphausia superba* dominates in the diet of Adélie penguins feeding under fast sea-ice in the shelf areas of Enderby Land in summer. Polar Biology 14:429-432

Watling L, Holman H (1980) New amphipoda from the Southern Ocean, with partial revisions of the Acanthonotozomatidae and Paramphithoidae. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 93:609-654

Watts J, Tarling GA (2012) Population dynamics and production of *Themisto gaudichaudii* (Amphipoda, Hyperiidae) at South Georgia, Antarctica. Deep-Sea II, 59:117-129

Webber R, Fenaughty CM, Clark MR (1990) A guide to come common offshore shrimp and prawn species of New Zealand, Vol 6

Weigmann-Haass R (1983) Zur Taxonomie und Verbreitung der Gattung *Cyllopus* Dana 1853 (Amphipoda: Hyperiidae) im antarktischen Teil des Atlantik, "Meteor" Forsch. Ergeb. 36

Weigmann-Haass R (1989a) Taxonomie und Verbreitung von *Vibilia antarctica* Stebbing 1888 im antarktischen Teil des Atlantik. Senckenbergiana Biologica 70:419-428

Weigmann-Haass R (1989b) Zur Taxonomie und Verbreitung der Gattung *Hyperiella Bovallius* 1887 im antarktischen Teil des Atlantik. Senckenbergiana Biologica 69:177-191

Weigmann-Haass R (1990) Zur taxonomie und Verbreitung der Gattung *Hyperoche Bovallius* 1887 im antarktischen Teil des Atlantik. Senckenbergiana Biologica 71:169-179

Weimerskirch H, Cherel Y (1998) Feeding ecology of short-tailed shearwaters: breeding in Tasmania and foraging in the Antarctic? Marine Ecology Progress Series 167:261-274

Weimerskirch H, Fradet G, Cherel Y (1999) Natural and experimental changes in chick provisioning in a long-lived seabird, the Antarctic prion. Journal of Avian Biology 30:165-174

West JA, Imber M (1986) Some foods of Buller's mollymawk *Diomedea bulleri*. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 13:169-174

White A (1847) List of the specimens of Crustacea in the collection of the British Museum. British Museum, London:1-143

Williams A, Koslow J, Terauds A, Haskard K (2001) Feeding ecology of five fishes from the mid-slope micronekton community off southern Tasmania, Australia. Marine Biology 139:1177-1192

Williams R (1983) The inshore fishes of Heard and McDonald Islands, Southern Indian Ocean. Journal of Fish Biology 23:283-292
Williams R (1985) Trophic Relationships Between Pelagic Fish and Euphausiids in Antarctic Waters. In: Siegfried W, Condy P, Laws R (eds) Antarctic Nutrient Cycles and Food Webs. Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Williams TD (1990) Foraging ecology and diet of gentoo penguins (*Pygoscelis papua*) at South Georgia during winter and an assessment of their winter prey consumption. (WG-CEMP-90/16)

Williams TD (1991) Foraging ecology and diet of gentoo penguins *Pygoscelis papua* at South Georgia during winter and an assessment of their winter krill consumption. Ibis 133:3-13

WoRMS (2014a) *Lepas (Anatifa) australis* Darwin, 1851. Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at http://wwwmarinespeciesorg/aphiaphp?p=taxdetails&id=733349 on 2015-03-02

WoRMS (2014b) *Paraeuchaeta antarctica* (Giesbrecht, 1902) In: Walter TC, Boxshall G (eds). World of Copepods database. Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=344974

WoRMS Editorial Board (2016) World Register of Marine Species. Available from http://www.marine-speciesorg/ at VLIZ Accessed 2016-09-13 doi:1014284/170

## Х

Xavier J, Velez N, Trathan P, Cherel Y, De Broyer C, Cánovas F, Seco J, Ratcliffe N, Tarling G (2018a) Seasonal prey switching in non-breeding gentoo penguins related to a wintertime environmental anomaly around South Georgia. Polar Biology 41:2323-2335

Xavier JC, Cherel Y, Medeiros R, Velez N, Dewar M, Ratcliffe N, Carreiro AR, Trathan PN (2018b) Conventional and molecular analysis of the diet of gentoo penguins: contributions to assess scats for non-invasive penguin diet monitoring. Polar Biology 41:2275-2287

Xavier JC, Croxall JP, Reid K (2003a) Inter-annual variation in the diet of two albatross species breeding at South Georgia: implications for breeding performance. Ibis 145:593-610

Xavier JC, Croxall JP, Trathan PN, Wood AG (2003b) Feeding strategies and diets of breeding grey-headed and wandering albatrosses at South Georgia. Marine Biology 143:221-232

Xavier JC, Louzao M, Thorpe SE, Ward P, Hill C, Roberts D, Croxall JP, Phillips RA (2013) Seasonal changes in the diet and feeding behaviour of a top predator indicate a flexible response to deteriorating oceanographic conditions. Marine Biology 160:1597-1606

Xavier JC, Rodhouse PG, Purves MG, Daw TM, Arata J, Pilling GM (2002) Distribution of cephalopods recorded in the diet of Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) around South Georgia. Polar Biology 25:323-330

Xavier JC, Trathan PN, Ceia FR, Tarling GA, Adlard S, Fox D, Edwards EW, Vieira RP, Medeiros R, De Broyer C (2017) Sexual and individual foraging segregation in Gentoo penguins *Pygoscelis papua* from the Southern Ocean during an abnormal winter. PloS one 12:e0174850

#### 250 | CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES

Xavier JC, Trathan PN, Croxall JP, Wood AG, Podestá GP, Rodhouse PG (2004) Foraging ecology and interactions with fisheries of wandering albatrosses at South Georgia. Fisheries Oceanography 13:324-344

### Y

Yamada Y, Ikeda T (2000) Development, maturation, brood size and generation length of the mesopelagic amphipod *Cyphocaris challengeri* (Gammaridea: Lysianassidae) off southwest Hokkaido, Japan. Marine Biology 137:933-942

Yamada Y, Ikeda T (2006) Production, metabolism and trophic importance of four pelagic amphipods in the Oyashio region, western subarctic Pacific. Marine Ecology Progress Series 308:155

Young JW, Blaber SJM (1986) Feeding ecology of three species of midwater fishes associated with the continental slope of eastern Tasmania, Australia. Marine Biology 93:147-156

Young JW, Hunt BPV, Cook TR, Llopiz JK, Hazen EL, Pethybridge HR, Ceccarelli D, Lorrain A, Olson RJ, Allain V (2015) The trophodynamics of marine top predators: Current knowledge, recent advances and challenges. Deep-Sea II, 113: 170-187

Young JW, Lamb TD, Le D, Bradford RW, Whitelaw AW (1997) Feeding ecology and interannual variations in diet of southern bluefin tuna, *Thunnus maccoyii*, in relation to coastal and oceanic waters off eastern Tasmania, Australia. Environmental Biology of Fishes 50:275-291

Zamzow JP, Aumack CF, Amsler CD, McClintock JB, Amsler MO, Baker BJ (2011) Gut contents and stable isotope analyses of the Antarctic fish, *Notothenia coriiceps* (Richardson), from two macroalgal communities. Antarctic Science 23:107-116

## Ζ

Zarenkov N (1968) Crustacea Decapoda collected in the Antarctic and Antoboreal regions by the Soviet Antarctic expeditions. Biological Report of the Soviet Antarctic Expedition (1955-1958) 4:153-201

Zarenkov N, Semenov V (1972) A new species of the genus *Nephropides* from the South West Atlantic. Zoology Journal of Moscow 51:599601

Zeidler W (1992) Hyperiid Amphipods (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Hyperiidea) collected recently from Eastern Australian Waters. Records of the Australian Museum 44:85-133

Zeidler W (2009) A review of the hyperiidean amphipod superfamily Lanceoloidea Bowman & Gruner, 1973 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Hyperiidea). Magnolia Press

#### SOUTHERN OCEAN | 251

Zeidler W (2015) A review of the hyperiidean amphipod genus *Hyperoche Bovallius*, 1887 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Hyperiidea: Hyperiidea), with the description of a new genus to accommodate *H. shihi* Gasca, 2005. Zootaxa 3905:151-192

Zeidler W, De Broyer C (2009) Catalogue of the Hyperiidean Amphipoda (Crustacea) of the Southern Ocean with Distribution and Ecological Data. Institut Royal des sciences naturelles de Belgique

Zeidler W, De Broyer C (2014) Amphipoda hyperiidea. In: De Broyer C, Koubbi P, Griffiths H, Danis B, David B, Grant S, Gutt J, Held C, Hosie G, Huettmann F, Post A, Raymond B, Ropert-Coudert Y, van de Putte A (eds) The CAML / SCAR-MarBIN Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge

Zeldis JR (1983) Ecology of Munida gregaria. PhD, University of Otago, NZ,

Zeldis JR (1985) Ecology of *Munida gregaria* (Decapoda, Anomura): Distribution and abundance, population dynamics and fisheries. Marine Ecology Progress Series 22:77-99

Zeldis JR, Jillett JB (1982) Aggregation of pelagic *Munida gregaria* (Fabricius) (Decapoda, Anomura) by coastal fronts and internal waves. Journal of Plankton Research 4:839-857

# CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES IN THE SOUTHERN OCEAN

José C. Xavier, Yves Cherel, Geoff Boxshall, Angelika Brandt, Tim Coffer, Jeff Forman, Charlotte Havermans, Anna M. Jażdżewska, Juliana Kouwenberg, Stefano Schiaparelli, Kareen Schnabe, Volker Siegel, Geraint A. Tarling, Sven Thatje, Peter Ward & Julian Gutt



# CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES IN THE SOUTHERN OCEAN



# CRUSTACEAN GUIDE FOR PREDATOR STUDIES IN THE SOUTHERN OCEAN

José C. Xavier, Yves Cherel, Geoff Boxshall, Angelika Brandt, Tim Coffer, Jeff Forman, Charlotte Havermans, Anna M. Jażdżewska, Juliana Kouwenberg, Stefano Schiaparelli, Kareen Schnabe, Volker Siegel, Geraint A. Tarling, Sven Thatje, Peter Ward & Julian Gutt